

Theology And Human Rights Advocacy Presentation: Why The United States of America Should Adopt The Convention Of The Rights of The Child to Save Children From Project 2025 And Reject Christian Nationalism (Trumpism)

By: Doctor (Honoris Causa) Reverend Stephen Sempel



Photo By The Associated Press News

"It is unforgivable that children are assaulted, violated, murdered and yet our conscience is not revolted nor our sense of dignity challenged. This represents a fundamental crisis of our civilization." - Graca Machel

Introduction:

Good Evening (to all who are reading this Paper/Presentation or watching this Presentation), I am Doctor (Honoris Causa (Humanitarianism, Ministry, Metaphysics and Divinity)) Reverend Stephen Sempel. I am also a Human Rights Consultant, Certified By The US Institute of Diplomacy And Human Rights. In This Presentation, I am Advocating for The Child Protection Laws For Immigrant Children And Children Of The United States of America – The Prevention Of Children In Cages Law Through The Adoption Of The Convention Of The Rights Of The Child.

So, in This Theology/Human Rights Teaching and Presentation is on former President Donald Trump's Zero Tolerance Policy during His 4 Years as President, where Families were separated (5,000 Minors were separated from their Families), Children were placed in Cages; a violation of The Convention Of The Rights Of The Child (which while the USA never signed the Convention of The Rights of The Child) as well as numerous Human Rights Violations; specifically The Universal Declaration of Human Rights which were adopted by the United Nations in 1948. So, through this Presentation, I will be Teaching on Human Rights, which ones were violated in Trump's Zero Tolerance Policy as well as any Human Rights which would be Violated in Project 2025; under another Trump Presidency if not Totalitarian Christian Nationalist Dictatorship. As a Reverend and Honorary Professor of Theology, I will also be Teaching on Christian Nationalism and why it should be not only be rejected, by why we as Christians and as American Citizens need to Reject Christian Nationalism/a Trump Dictatorship and help ensure the Safety of all Children (Foreign and Domestic) through the Adoption of the Convention of The Rights Of The Child.

The Goal of this Presentation is to help Educate those who call themselves of Christ as well as Ethically Good Individuals and those of Lawful Authority (and a Plea to US Congressmen and Senators and the current United States President) to Form Laws/join the International Community in the Protection of Children and the Safeguarding of their Rights as well as Human Rights.

Part: I

Now that the introduction is out of the way. Let's begin. So, to start this off, let's have a refresher on events; specifically Trump's Zero Tolerance Policy. According To The PBS News Hour Report: "How a Trump-era policy that separated thousands of migrant families came to pass" :

"The Trump administration's "zero tolerance" immigration policy separated over 5,000 children from their parents, with no tracking process or records that would allow them to be reunited. Atlantic staff writer Caitlin Dickerson joins Geoff Bennett to discuss her investigation into the policy."

"Geoff Bennett: We take a look now at an 18 month investigation into former President Trump's zero tolerance immigration policy. We need to take away children, the secret history of the U.S. government's family separation policy. That is the cover story of the latest issue of The Atlantic magazine. During the Trump administration, more than 5000 children were separated from their parents with no tracking process that would allow them to be reunited. I spoke with Atlantic staff writer Caitlin Dickerson about her exhaustive investigative piece, starting with the policy's origins."

"Caitlin Dickerson: The whole country was looking at and talking about zero tolerance. The focus was on Jeff Sessions, the Attorney General and Kirstjen Nielsen, who was the Homeland Security Secretary at the time. But I discovered this idea came from a man named Tom Homan. He was the head of ICE under the Trump administration, but he's been in border enforcement for decades starting as a border patrol agent and early 20s. He came up with the idea to separate families as a deterrent for migration. He first proposed it during the Obama administration. At the time, Jeh Johnson, the Homeland Security Secretary said no, declined to proceed, and then the idea resurfaces again under Trump, when it's ultimately approved."

"Geoff Bennett: So pull back the curtain if you can on the bureaucracy at the time, you say that there were sort of factions within the Trump administration, you had the careerists, the more moderate types. And then you had the hawks. And then you also had Stephen Miller, the hardline Immigration Senior Advisor, who was known for espousing white nationalist ideologies, give us

a sense of how all of those competing groups within the administration are talking about this policy?"

"Caitlin Dickerson: So it's really no surprise that people who are well known for their hawkish views on immigration like Stephen Miller, like Gene Hamilton, a close colleague of Miller's, who worked at the Department of Justice, were pushing for really harsh enforcement policies, including the separation of families. But one of the most interesting things I took away from this story was the degree to which people from within the bureaucracy, you know, people who held a political roles who had served under Presidents, both Republican and Democrat, also went along with zero tolerance, sometimes without even fully realizing it at the time. So some of them told me, you know, yes, I remember being in meetings about separating families or about prosecuting parents in a way that I knew would require parents to be separated from their children. But they would say, you know, it wasn't strategic at the time for me to speak up and push back against Stephen Miller, given how much influence he had over the president. Others told me that they thought the idea was so outlandish that it would never be approved. So unfortunately, as a result of that, you have a series of conversations that take place over the course of two years and many discussions and many opportunities that people had to push back and they just didn't take those opportunities. And so that's how the policy ends up making it over the finish line, and then to the implementation stage."

"Geoff Bennett: You also paint a picture of the lasting damage, the trauma that has really fallen, the families who were separated, you talk to a 36-year-old farmer from the highlands of Guatemala, who crossed the border with his five-year-old daughter. What did he tell you?"

"Caitlin Dickerson: So Nesarío Jacinto Korio (ph), I met him in 2018 over the phone, after he'd been deported back to Guatemala without his daughter. He was one of many parents who were told that if they agreed to their own deportation, they would get their children back. And of course, they didn't. Reunifications of separated families didn't start in earnest until they were mandated in a federal court case. At that point, many families had already been separated for months. And of course, now, some families are still separated and have been so for years. Nesarío (ph) was completely inconsolable when we first spoke. I mean, it was hard for him to even focus on the conversation we were having because he just wanted answers, you know, and he had very little information. He's in this rural area. His families living off \$4 a week if that, you know, if they're lucky enough to make it. He didn't have a TV to get information. He was sometimes relying on radio reports to try to figure out where his daughter was, and when he was going to get her back. And even today, when I interviewed him for this story, he tried to put Philomena on the phone to answer a few questions for me, she immediately burst into tears. I

mean, just thinking for a few minutes about what happened. Even though so much time has passed. This experience is still with them every day.”

“Geoff Bennett: As I was reporting the story from the White House covering the Trump administration, one of the things that I found the hardest to do was to get a sense from the border patrol agents who are the ones on the ground actually removing, in some cases forcibly tearing their kids, tearing the migrants kids away from their parents, how they were able to do that? Why they did that? Even though that was the stated policy.”

“Caitlin Dickerson: As separations playing out, you know, you hear very dramatic stories from parents and children and then you would talk to the administration and you would hear that everything was going very smoothly, very humanely, the clear communication was taking place and so you had these two completely divergent accounts of what was going on. Of course, reporters like us, we didn't get access to these facilities. We weren't able to watch it happen because we weren't allowed to at the time. And so I worked really hard to get other first-hand accounts of separations. I eventually connected with a woman named Neris González. She's a Salvadoran consular worker who is based in the Ursula Processing Center in South Texas, one of the biggest processing centers in the country. Naris watched hundreds of separations take place. And she said, she's still shaken today by what she saw, you know, a sea of parents and children being pulled apart all at the same time in this incredibly chaotic fashion. You know, literally children were being pulled on one arm by a border patrol agent and on the other arm by their parent, you know, screaming and crying. She says she's still haunted by the sounds of the facility today. She spent a lot of her time in the cells were separated, children were being held and, they would, you know, grab onto her legs, grab onto her belt, you know, anything that they could to try to just beg her for information, and then really asked her not to leave them alone at the end of the day, because they were so confused about what was going on. And clearly so shocked.”

“Geoff Bennett: And looking ahead, Caitlin, what does accountability look like? I remember asking it was then President Elect Joe Biden about this. And he said that the Trump administration officials responsible for this policy needed to be held to account. So far, the administration hasn't done that, what they've done is tried to reunite as many children and parents as they possibly can. But you interviewed the current DHS Secretary Alejandro Mayorkas, did he have anything to say about that?”

“Caitlin Dickerson: Secretary, Mayorkas told me that accountability falls to the DOJ. But as you know, Geoff, the DOJ has actually been defending family separation in court and civil litigation

brought by separated families seeking damages. There are cases against the government agencies involved but also individual officials and DOJ represents in both cases, they've defended the individuals involved in family separation, you know, including Stephen Miller, including Kirstjen Nielsen, and the like. And so you're really not seeing any efforts at this point made toward holding accountable those responsible, to those who were responsible. And at the same time, there is no law saying that family separations couldn't start again tomorrow. The Biden administration has been clear, it's not something they plan to do. But there are lots of Republican potential candidates in 2024, including President Trump, who still believe in this idea and would like to see it re-implemented.”

“Geoff Bennett: Caitlin Dickerson, the reporting for the cover story in the latest issue of the Atlantic. It is exhaustive, it is exceptional. Thanks so much for being with us.”

“Caitlin Dickerson: Thank you so much, Geoff. (1)”.

Trump’s Zero Tolerance Policy began with Racism; Stephen Miller, the architect of Trump’s Immigration Policy (which is known for its highly Racist set stances on Immigration) is factually a known White Nationalist/Racist (considering Racism is a Mental Illness (which I will be getting into later) it is Anti-Christ as well and Hatred is an Existential Threat To Human Life (something I will also be getting into later in this Presentation); so Trump’s Zero Tolerance Policy being quickly adopted where Children were specifically targeted to be Separated from Their Families in a Inhumane Tactic significantly decrease Immigration of those Racially Undesired (due to their ethnicity); bringing severe trauma to Children and Families was a clear violation of Articles in The Universal Declaration Of Human Rights as well as “The Convention on The Rights Of The Child” and Trump would return the US Policy to this and far worse in His Project 2025.

So, before we get further into this. Why Is Racism A Mental Illness? Why Is Racism Anti-Christ (Open Rebellion Against God) and why Is Hatred An Existential Threat To Human Life?

So, Why is Racism considered a Mental Illness? According to The Psychology Today Article: “After Charlottesville: Is Racism a Mental Illness? Charlottesville: Racism has effects on mental health—is it a mental illness?”:

“Many across the nation, and indeed, around the world, have been greatly disturbed, saddened and outraged by the incidents in Charlottesville, Virginia on August 11-12, 2017. This country was founded with the genocide of Native Americans and upon Black slavery, both original sins of our democracy. No matter how far we have come in the expression of our ideals, we still have to grapple with outright bigotry and hatred, as well as the more subtle forms of [racism](#) that impact vulnerable populations on a daily basis, in tangible and undeniable ways, through physical and mental health, [education](#), economics, the justice system, law enforcement and all other ways we construct ourselves as individuals and a society. I have great hope that the majority of us share the transcendent ideals of inclusion and creating a culture of belonging. However, these ideals are under threat, especially when we aren’t actively promoting them through our words, actions and institutions. Clearly, and as supported by empirical research, racism has [mental](#) and [physical](#) health effects on its victims. But are people who harbor racist views themselves suffering from a mental illness? Does racism have mental health effects on its perpetrators? Or can racism be a downstream effect of a mental illness? If so, then how might this impact how we view and treat those with avowed racist beliefs as well as those who harbor less-conscious forms of racism?”

“A case can be made that those who harbor racist beliefs are in fact exhibiting mental health problems, beginning with self-centeredness and lack of empathy, and continuing to [anxiety](#) and [paranoia](#) about other racial groups, and culminating in hatred, hostility and sociopathy. This implies that mental health-based programs, including psychoeducation, benign and productive relational contact between races (exposure), treatment of racial anxiety and perceptions of personal insecurity and vulnerability, and the cultivation of compassion and empathy, can be helpful in undercutting the elements of racism still existing in society. This should of course be combined with the political and social program of making it clear that racial hostility and bias are unacceptable at any level of society. I think racism is best seen as an outgrowth of self-centeredness, which leads to fear, anxiety and paranoia about other racial groups; cognitive and emotional difficulties in processing interactions with other races; hostility and hostile actions towards other racial groups; and devaluations of racial groups based on the incorrect assumption of the superiority of one’s own racial group. People can develop complexes about race, meaning a set of automatic emotions, thoughts and behaviors triggered when encountering another racial group, which tend to shut down [openness](#), friendliness and flexibility. (In the American context, I’m speaking specifically of White supremacy and white majority racism against racial minorities.) From a Buddhist perspective, self-centeredness is the fundamental delusion causing suffering. From self-centeredness springs greed, hatred and [jealousy](#), all to protect and defend the self against others.”

“The racist believes that their group is superior to others, and therefore devalues other groups. Since racial superiority has been debunked thoroughly in science (see Stephen Jay Gould’s *Mismeasure of Man* for example *** UPDATED - a reader alerted me to the [controversy](#) about this book, see comments; still, the point stands), belief in racial superiority is factually incorrect. Any differences between races are properly seen as cultural relics – our differences are primarily nurture, and rooted in profoundly different environments and opportunities individuals can experience. But when some individuals feel insecure or threatened, they need to claim superiority and power in order to feel better about themselves, and thus latch onto these beliefs of superiority. So racist beliefs can be a response to one’s own insecurity and ignorance about other racial groups and our common biological heritage.”

“We all have some level of insecurity, misunderstanding, anxiety and sometimes [mistrust](#) of other human beings. At some point or another, we all worry about acceptance and belonging, and whether another person or group has positive, negative, or neutral intentions towards us. Until we are either reassured by interactions, or through previous interactions and understandings have developed our own sense of safety and security, we might have a level of ignorance about others, and anxiety. When we feel vulnerable, our fear and mistrust of others rises. So racist beliefs and actions can spring from a pathological level of anxiety or paranoia about other racial groups. They could also rebound from perceptions of rejection by other groups. (See [my blog post on the rejection complex](#).) Self-centeredness results in cognitive, emotional and relational deficits. Relationally, self-centeredness devalues others. Emotionally, self-centeredness involves a lack of empathy for others, and resultant hostility. In the extreme case, a lack of empathy underlies [sociopathic](#) behavior causing actual harm to others. On a more subtle level, [empathic](#) failure underlies nearly all our wounds, of racism, sexism, homophobia, and so forth. Self-centeredness, anxiety and paranoia give rise to cognitive distortions. The individual with racist beliefs interprets the world in such a way as to support their ideology, and thus their own insecure self. The insecure self might feel more powerful to be united with others who share similar beliefs, a reinforcing and radicalizing spiral of [groupthink](#).”

“Moreover, individuals who are suffering from [personality disorders](#), psychoses, mood disorders, or cognitive dysfunction can exhibit racist beliefs or behavior as a consequence of their cognitive, emotional or relational problems. (Of course, most people with mental health issues do not exhibit racism as a product of their illness. Receiving racism does result in mental health issues, as noted above.) In all these ways, we see how racism can be a symptom of underlying mental health issues and a mental health issue in itself. Dr. Carl Bell analyzed these issues in several seminal articles, a few of which are referenced below. In addition, Drs. Bell and Dunbar proposed criteria for pathological bias, which are appended below. [Personality](#) disorders such as [narcissism](#) and antisocial personality, as well as other mental health conditions, might result in

racist beliefs and actions. (Some have wondered whether classifying racism as a mental health issue might allow violent perpetrators to plead ‘not guilty by reason of insanity.’ This is a possibility, but this would also require them to identify as racists, and therefore they should be subject to corrective treatments.) Buddhist teacher Jack Kornfield has said that “until we are enlightened, we are all at least a little mentally ill.” Since enlightenment is the transcendence of self-centeredness and the delusion of a separate and inherently existing self, I think this means we are all at least a little mentally ill until we are really related, until we all feel we belong and have a feeling of society. Racism, and all forms of hatred, are threats to mental health. Racism is not simply a moral, [spiritual](#), cultural and legal issue, it is also an issue with profound implications for mental health, for all affected. As I say in my upcoming book *Facebuddha: Transcendence in the Age of Social Networks*, “let’s get well soon (2)”.

So, is Racism a Mental Illness? Yes, in the aspect of Delusion (fixed belief systems that go against Reality (Scientific facts; etc.), Psychopathy, Narcissism (especially due to untreated Trauma) and other Personality Disorders. Racism also causes Trauma Mental/Emotional Abuse (through Verbal Abuse/Psychological Torture/Dehumanization) and escalates to Physical Trauma; such as Physical Violence and will result in Murder and ultimately Genocide. We have the Nazi’s and the Holocaust as a perfect example of the Modern Era. As of current, we have the Genocidal War that is the Israel-Gaza Conflict where Israel was placed on the UN’s Child Killing States List in June of 2024. Xenophobia can also be considered Mental Illness concerning Paranoia, and Delusion as well.

In Psychology, we as Humans are Creatures of Habit, so there are patterns to Behavior; there is no blue-moon incident/isolated incident. There is Pre-meditation concerning the desire to Harm Others and ultimately end Human Life. As a Legally Ordained Reverend, I do Shepherd and help Counsel Others as well, so I’m use to following diagnostic procedures and analysis of the Client/Patient...this is why I do treat Racists/Xenophobes as Mentally ill (in regards to having to treat them/dealing with their inner demons and making certain they get the Mental Health Help they desperately need because Racism is in and of itself a Mental Health Crisis ir-regardless outside of what is Spiritually causing the Compulsion to Harm Others and far worse; which I specialize in dealing with as an Deliverance Minister (I’ve been doing Minor and necessarily Major Exorcism for my Community for 3+ Years now) as the Young Priest for the assigned Community I minister in. So, yes Treating Racism as Mental Illness helps in regard to making certain traumas and other unresolved issues/other psychological ailments are treated with Love and Compassion so that the individual in question can be given the healing that they need and are restored to being a good individual (a better state than they have been in a very long time) that is a great and productive member of their community.

I will reiterate this fact, Psychologically speaking, when it comes to Trauma (Narcissists tend to have Trauma that hasn't been treated; so their continually trying to dominate/control others is highly noticeable...this also specifically applies directly to Racists; given untreated past Trauma that they are lashing out because and trying to dominate/harm/kill those they despise), People lash out to relieve themselves at it Emotionally; harming themselves, others or other objects for that matter. Again, there is no isolated incident. It is Prudent to Treat a Racist/Xenophobe as Mentally ill, to make certain they get the Mental Health Help they desperately need and to remove the Danger/Threat to Others (As a Deliverance Minister (3+ Years now), I also use Psychology to screen out the Issue with the Individual in question; if they are having a Mental Health Crisis (so if it's Psychological) or purely Spiritual in nature and sometimes as I've found out personally dealing with people under Vexation it can be both and the individual needs both Mental Health Treatment and Major Exorcism))). Hatred is an Existential Threat to Human Life and I will explore this shortly enough. Theologically Speaking Psychology has no knowledge of The Fallen Nature of Man.



Part: II

Continuing with Theology. What Is Christian Nationalism? Why is Christian Nationalism Anti-Christ (Why is Christian Dominion-ism Anti-Christ?) Why do us Christians Have Limitations and what are these Limitations?

So Before we begin all of this “What Is The Origin Of Hatred?”, “Why Do Demons Hate Humans And Harm Them?” and “Why Is Hatred An Existential Threat to Human Life?” So, I do apologize for the darkness that is to be explored here...but it has to be as well as what we as Christians are called to do in this Age of the Ruler of This World and why we Christians have to Stand Against The Ruler of This World, to Safeguard/Protect The Victims of Hatred and Wrong and to Safeguard Life. So, to start this off, let's look at what the Bible has to say about Hatred:

"For this is the message that you have heard from the beginning, that we should love one another. We should not be like Cain, who was of the evil one and murdered his brother. And why did he

murder him? Because his own deeds were evil and his brother's righteous. Do not be surprised, brothers, that the world hates you. We know that we have passed out of death into life, because we love the brothers. Whoever does not love abides in death. Everyone who hates his brother is a murderer, and you know that no murderer has eternal life abiding in him." 1 John 3:11-15
ESV

In 1st John 3:11-15, St. John states that those Who Hate Another is a Murderer (Murdering The Other in His Heart) and the Truth is not in Him...aka The Light Of The Lord is not in them. In 1st John 3:14-15, St. John states: "We know that we have passed from death to life, because we love each other. Anyone who does not love remains in death. Anyone who hates a brother or sister is a murderer, and you know that no murderer has eternal life residing in him" 1 John 3:14-15. In these two verses, St. John states in No Uncertain Terms that Those of Hatred are In Darkness and of The Darkness and They Are Not Saved; they...these Individuals are under the Power Of The Ruler Of This World.

I was given the Path of Church Discipline as a Priest, so I heavily utilize Exorcism concerning dealing with What Specifically Influences People to Harm/Kill Others and Worse; especially in Areas...the Compulsive desire to end Human Life...even their own as is the case of the Suicide Location of the Town/Community I'm assigned to Minister in (I recently did my 5th Consecration of that Location and I had to deal with very Heavy Spiritual Resistance from the Entity at that Infested Location (which is talked about in my Memorial Day Sermon); and understanding this in other parts of the World. So before we get further into "Hate/Hatred" and the Demonic Spirit Type Involved; a good question to raise is why do Demons Hate Humans? According to The Theology Article: "Why do demons hate people?" By Jesse Johnson; Demons Hate Humans Because:

"One would think that demons (who hate God) and people (most of whom hate God) would have at least an amicable relationship. After all, they are both opposed to God, and are both living in open rebellion against him. Shouldn't a shared enemy unite them? Yet going all the way back to the first satanically possessed serpent, fallen angels have a track record of hatred and violence against people. Satan propelled the world into sin, while demons afflict the weak and cripple the strong. Why? Why do demons hate people so much? Part of the reason has to do with the fall—not Adam's fall, but Lucifer's. Obviously Satan fell because of pride, and because of his desire to be like God. But Scripture also hints at why, and it goes back to creation."

“When God created the earth, it was good, beautiful, and perfect. Angels were part of creation. They did not exist before the first day, and one of their first acts of existence was applauding at God’s work ([Job 38:5-7](#)). They were created, then they were immediately struck by the beauty and majesty of God’s creation, the focal point of which is the earth. Angels remained unfallen on day 6. After all, at the end of Day 6, God declared everything he had created as good. The universe could change (and it would), but for the time being all was perfect. Yet a question remained: who would have dominion on the earth? Who would rule the animals, and who would be God’s regent over the planet? The angels clearly appreciated creation. They applauded at it, and they were in awe of its beauty ([Ezek 28:13](#)). But God did not give the earth to angels. As Paul writes, “Now it was not to angels that God subjected the world...” ([Hebrews 2:5](#)). Instead, God made man. He made Adam out of dirt, and Eve out of Adam. And then God gave them dominion of the earth instead ([Gen 1:26](#)).”

“This certainly would have been a shocking turn of events to the angels. Angels are spiritual, and people are literally made out of dirt. Angels are the sons of God, but people are in the image of God. Scripture expressly says that people are “a little lower than the angels” and that is certainly meant as an understatement ([Psalm 8:5](#)). Why did God make people (even after angels)? [Psalm 8:6](#) says, “You have given him dominion over the works of your hands; you have put all things under his feet.” This is exactly what some of the angels wanted. At the very least, Satan wanted dominion over the earth. He wanted to be like God. In fact, he compared his beauty to the beauty of man and creation ([Ezek 28:17](#)). And you have to admit, he has a point. The obvious question is: *What is man, that you are mindful of him, or the son of man, that you care for him? You made him for a little while lower than the angels; you have crowned him with glory and honor, putting everything in subjection under his feet.* ([Hebrews 2:6-8](#)) Why would God do that? Why would he turn the earth over to people rather than to angels? For starters, we are in his image. Moreover, by making us frail (“frail children of dust, and feeble as frail” the hymn writer says) God is more glorified through our dependence on him. Because we are frail and sinful, God is glorified through our forgiveness of sins. The gospel comes through us, not through angels. This is why Hebrews 2 immediately follows the question about why people would receive dominion with this observation: it was fitting for Jesus to also be made lower than the angels ([Hebrews 2:9](#)).”

“Angels can’t die. Yet the glory of God as seen in the gospel is bound up with the death of Jesus: *We see him who for a little while was made lower than the angels, namely Jesus, crowned with glory and honor because of the suffering of death, so that by the grace of God he might taste death for everyone. For it was fitting that he, for whom and by whom all things exist, in bringing many sons to glory, should make the founder of their salvation perfect through suffering.* In other words, God gave the earth to people (as opposed to angels) because the gospel comes through

the death of Jesus, and his death is vicarious because of his perfection, which is demonstrated through his suffering. The end result is that many sons come to glory. But this would not do for the devil. Deprived of his desired dominion, he was cast from heaven to earth ([Ezek 28:16](#)). And from that moment on, a cosmic war between Satan and people is played out on the earth. God's plan is to rescue people from sin through Jesus, and Satan's plan is to take out his jealous vengeance on people—the recipients of the earth. By opposing the gospel and afflicting people, he is seeking to undo God's design. By keeping people from the gospel attempting to mitigate God's glory, all the while exercising his rebellion against God by afflicting those to whom God gave the dominion that he felt should be his. This is why Satan began his attack in the garden. He attacked God's created order (by targeting Eve) and sought to undo their dominion. This is why angels cohabitated with people in Genesis 6, and this is why demons attack people. They have a desire for dominion, yet a hatred of us. The result is that they afflict us as a form of rebelling against God's order. God commanded that angels serve people, and instead some angels as part of their revolt attack us, as their way of rebelling against the fact that we have been given dominion (3)".

Again, due to Satan's Pride and His belief that They as Angels were Superior and He Himself was Superior to God; Rebelled Against Him. A Host of Angels Rebelled Against God with Lucifer; Lucifer and his Fallen Angels Fell from Heaven. They Cannot Repent. Since we Humans are made in God's Image and are God's Chosen Children; Demons Hate Us and in their Rebellion will take as Many Humans as possible with them. In my own Training in Exorcism, the question of why Demons Hated Humans and would often attack and try to claim dominion...was because we Humans look like the Creator and are Favored over the Angels; so in their Jealousy and Loathing...they will attack Humans to destroy and claim them for themselves. Father Gabrielle Amorth puts all of this succinctly in this Quote: "He created angels and men as free creatures...The first who had to choose, as we already had said, were the angels, who, in the case of demons, chose to tempt men in order to attract men to themselves" – Father Gabrielle Amorth.

Hatred began in the Fall of Lucifer and His Angels...for again God (He Himself) is Love and Hatred is Rebellion Against God. Lucifer's Rebellion is Where Hatred Began In Our Reality...before the World Was Formed...this is why Hatred is Separation From God, for it started with Lucifer's Rebellion and continued through the Fall of Adam and Eve and Cain becoming the First Murderer and continued throughout Human History. Hatred is Separation From God. Hatred is Destruction And Doom. You cannot try to be of Love while there is Hatred in you...you cannot serve God by Half Measure. Why can't you serve God by Half Measure you

might ask? Father Gabrielle Amorth in “An Exorcist Explains The Demonic: The Antics of Satan And His Army of Fallen Angels” explains further:

"God has given us everything; we must recognize only Him, adore only Him, and be guided by only Him, because inevitably, if we do not give to God, we necessarily give to Idols. “He who is not with me is against me,” Jesus says (Matt. 12:30). Half measures do not exist. Either we are of Christ, or we are of Satan. At times we would like to go halfway: serving Christ partway. Well, that is not possible. The devious method that the devil used with Adam and Eve works also with us: it leads us to think that evil and sin do not exist, that to sin, distancing ourselves from God, trying each thing for the pleasure of having experiences, is a gain. “So, in the end, what evil is there? (4)”

As stated, You are either of God (Love) or you are of Satan (Hatred and Everything else that is Anti-Christ); your actions will make this apparent. Hatred is Rebellion Against God. Those who are of Hatred; follow in the path of Lucifer’s Fallen Angels (which I will be getting into shortly enough). So, when it comes to Demonization concerning Church Doctrine, there is the “Spirit of Hate/Hatred” (which is Subservient to the “Spirit of Murder”); which Tempts/Gives Demonic Suggestion to the Individual, to Harm and Kill Others. It is Irrevocably Proven that Lucifer uses those with Hatred in Their Hearts to do Unspeakable Evils. So outside my brief overview; what Is “The Spirit of Hate” and “The Spirit of Murder?” According to the Article: The Different Kinds of Demonic Spirits” By Michael Bradly, “The Spirit of Hate” is Defined As:

“For the most part, demons are known by their “function names.” The function name will tell you what the demon specializes in once he becomes attached to a person, whether it be on the outside of the person or on the inside of the person. For instance, a spirit of lust will specialize in transmitting lust onto the person they are attached to. Once they transmit that feeling of lust onto this person, they will then try and get the person to act out on it. This is how many people end up engaging in fornication, adultery, or pornography. This is what you call a person coming under “direct demonic influence.” Most of these cases are not full possession type cases, so God will still hold the person completely responsible for their actions, even if a demon is sliming them with this lustful feeling, along with providing them with the actual compulsion to try and act out on it. God still held both Adam and Eve totally responsible for their actions in the Garden of Eden, even though it was the devil himself who tempted Eve to eat the fruit off that forbidden tree, and then Eve persuaded Adam to do the same thing.”

“Satan still has not changed his tactics and strategies, and he still uses both demons and other people to try to get us to fall into various types of sins. But whether we are coming under any kind of direct influence from demons or other people, the choice will always remain with us as to whether or not we will fall for the temptation to sin directly against the Lord. All demons can do is try and make you do bad and evil things. And unless you are dealing with a case of temporary possession, or someone who has become mentally ill in some way, most of the time the person will have all of his senses fully intact enough to know right from wrong, and will know what the full consequences of their acts will be if they decide to go all the way through with it.”

“A good example of this are the people who murder their loved ones in a fit of rage and jealousy. Many of the times, these crimes are premeditated, which means the person is actually thinking about it and planning on how to do it. Once they go into this kind of a planning stage, the demons will then keep the pressure on from the back end to try and get them to follow all the way through it. But the person can still stop it and call it off anytime they want to. They know full well what the consequences are going to be if they get caught – life imprisonment or the death penalty. But in many of these cases, they will still follow all the way through with it and actually kill their spouse or whoever else they have targeted. Demons with function names of murder, rage, and hate are many of the times the **driving force** behind these types of horrible crimes. But again, the person is still fully cooperating with these demons with their free will and as a result, they will be held totally accountable and responsible by both the law if they are ever caught, and by God Himself once they die and cross over to face Him head on for their own personal judgment.”

“Most of the time people have no idea that it really is demons who are operating behind the scenes providing more fuel to their fires with what they specialize in, such as spirits of hate, murder, jealousy, rage, anger, bitterness, and unforgiveness. Once a group of these types of demons attach to a person who may have been really hurt in a love affair, or a spouse being divorced by the other spouse, or a spouse catching another spouse in an adulterous love affair, they will then try and do everything they can to slime the person with what they specialize in so as to try and get them to act out on these negative feelings and emotions. This is why it will really help everyone if they knew what some of the basic function names of the demons were, so they can then immediately spot their negative influence when they do try and move in for an attack on someone who may be open to their negative and evil influence. The first basic rule of any type of warfare, whether it be spiritual warfare or natural warfare, is to know your enemy. And knowing the basic function names of the demons who are out there will give you a good head start, as their function names will tell you how they are “playing” that person, along with providing you with clues as to what their legal rights may be on that person.”

“Murder. These types of demons, and the ones who specialize in the occult, are the two worse kinds of demons you can ever come across. In this first group are the demons who specialize in trying to set people up to murder and kill. This will include demons who will try and get people to either kill themselves in the form of a suicide, or kill other people in cold-blooded murders. There is not a day that goes by where we do not see reported on our local news stations the murder or suicide of individual people in this life. In fact, it is so common and so prevalent, that we have become literally desensitized to all of it since we hear about it so much on a daily basis. It is only when it happens to someone who may be close to us that we are given the full realization of how evil of an act murder and suicide really is. And if it should happen to a very close loved one like your child, your spouse, or a parent, it will rip your heart into a million pieces and leave a hole in your soul that will never fully go away until you cross over to the other side to be with Jesus for all of eternity. Through the power of the Holy Spirit on the inside of you, God can help heal the emotional wounds of this kind of trauma, but you will never lose the actual memory of the event, or the sadness at losing a close loved one in such a horrible, evil, and senseless fashion. The Bible has already given us fair warning that Satan and his demons have come to kill, steal, and destroy, and until Jesus comes back to set up His Millennium Kingdom from the city of Jerusalem, we are all going to have to battle this kind of an evil reality on a regular basis whether we like it or not. As such, it will really help everyone if they can learn how the enemy will try and operate against them.”

“Here are some of the main function names of the demons who specialize in this kind of extreme evil activity: Murder, Hate, Rage, Anger, Violence, Death, Revenge, Destruction, Darkness, Suicide, Jealousy, Sadism, Fighting. As we have said before, demons usually travel in groups or clusters, with one demon being the chief demon and the rest of the demons being his underlings under his direct control and direction. In many of these types of cases, the chief demon will be a spirit of murder and then he will have his underlings having some of the functions names listed above. They will then move in and set up shop on someone if they have the appropriate legal rights to be able to do so, and they will then try and work and play that person over a period of time to either try and get them to kill themselves or other people, or possibly both, as murder-suicides are still very common in this day and age. Again, in most of these cases, the person is not in a fully possessed state. They still have most, if not all of their senses fully intact, and know basic right from wrong. The demons will then plant their thoughts, their suggestions, their pictures in their mind’s eye, and their strategies on how to do this – but the person can still resist these types of evil temptations at anytime and choose not to act out on them. Demons cannot make you do anything against your own free will. All they can do is try and persuade you to do it, along with trying to give you the actual desire and compulsion to want to do it. From there, the choice will be up to that person as to whether or not they will want to go all the way through with it and actually act out on these evil desires being implanted into them by the demons. It simply amazes me how so many people will blindly follow these kinds of evil demonic

suggestions and promptings, and actually carry out acts of pure, cold-blooded murders on either themselves or anyone else the demons have targeted for them. Just because you get an evil thought or an evil desire to kill either yourself or someone else does not mean that you have to actually try and carry it out. Again, people need to be taught the basics on how demons will try and play mind games with you so they can get you to do their evil bidding. The mind is the battlefield in the area of spiritual warfare, with both demons and God trying to reach you through your mind. God will be trying to transform and renew your mind through His Word, and demons will be trying to reach your mind so they can get you to act out on their evil suggestions (5)".

Again, I do Minor Exorcism and Major if absolutely necessary. In my 3+ Years of Exorcism; this is my Observation: If I want to Actively Hunt The Demonic, outside of the Occult; all I have to do is look where Hatred is Emanating from and Who In Particular. It is a Cause-and-Effect Relationship; the Effect is Individuals being Harmed around Me. The Cause is Individuals giving into Temptation/Demonic Suggestion To Harm And Kill Others; "The Spirit of Anger" and the "Spirit of Hate/Hatred" are Subservient to "The Spirit of Murder." Again there are Malevolent Spirits out there with the set goal to destroy those who aren't Converted to Lucifer. In The Theology Article "A Malevolent Spirit" By J. Davy Crockett III; explains in further detail:

"Breaking News!" blares the media as another tragic shooting, bombing, knifing, or other terroristic act occurs in a church, synagogue, or amongst any gathering of people. Just by going about daily life, people expose themselves to the real danger of being killed or injured by religious fanatics or violent, glory-seeking criminal shooters. Individuals or groups fueled by racial hatred cause death and destruction. A certain sameness becomes apparent as each atrocity unfolds. Details differ in each case, but the similarities between methods and results reveal the origin of these heinous crimes. The Holy Bible reveals this sinister connection, though relatively few today even know to look for it. Throughout history, mankind's proclivity for evil has been undeniable. But another aspect is not often acknowledged, one Jesus encountered when confronting the religious establishment of His day. The Pharisees and Sadducees hated Jesus because His message exposed their hypocrisy and evil intent. He told them, "You are of your father the devil, and the desires of your father you want to do. He was **a murderer** from the beginning, and does not stand in the truth, because there is no truth in him..." ([John 8:44](#))."

"The Bible teaches of a fallen angel who causes havoc in this age. John wrote in [Revelation 12:9](#): "So the great dragon was cast out, that serpent of old, called the Devil and Satan, who deceives the whole world; he was cast to the earth, and his angels were cast out with him." Notice, this evil spirit being is not alone, but has other deviant spirits assisting him in his influence. The New Testament contains many examples of Satan's minions wreaking havoc.

Jesus often cast out these malevolent spirits, and gave His disciples the power to do so as well ([Mark 6:12–13](#)). Luke’s Gospel has a vivid example of demons’ debilitating influence on humans and Christ’s power over them: “Then they sailed to the country of the Gadarenes, which is opposite Galilee. And when He stepped out on the land, there met Him a certain man from the city who had demons for a long time.... Jesus asked him, saying, ‘What is your name?’ And he said, ‘Legion,’ because **many demons** had entered him” ([Luke 8:26–39](#)). As the story unfolds, Jesus casts out these demons, banishing them at their request into a large heard of swine, which causes quite a stir as the pigs rush into the sea and drown.”

“The Apostle Paul repeatedly fought demonic activity as Satan tried to thwart the preaching of the Kingdom of God to the Gentiles. He referred to it in [Ephesians 2:2](#): “...you once walked according to the course of this world, according to **the prince of the power of the air, the spirit who now works in the sons of disobedience....**” He also referenced their influence in [1 Timothy 4:1](#): “Now the Spirit expressly says that in latter times some will depart from the faith, giving heed to **deceiving spirits and doctrines of demons....**”

“As interest in Christianity wanes, most people do not acknowledge the “prince of the power of the air” or his demonic forces. These evil spirits inspire macabre acts of slaughter, creating chaos. Is it a stretch to suppose that similarities between today’s common, murderous acts of terrorism indicate demonic influence? What is our defense? James, Jesus’ brother, gave simple but powerful instruction: “Therefore submit to God. **Resist the devil and he will flee from you.** Draw near to God and He will draw near to you. Cleanse your hands, you sinners; and purify your hearts, you double-minded” ([James 4:7–8](#)). We look forward to the time described in [Revelation 20:1–3](#), when at Christ’s return, Satan and his demons will be bound for a thousand years. Then, the ones who chain the world with their influence will instead be chained. God hasten that day (6)!”

So, again there are Malevolent Spirits out there that will destroy those who are not Converted to Lucifer. We as Christians through God’s Holy Spirit have a defense and an offense against Demons/Fallen Angels. Again Demons cannot make you do things against your will (outside of Possession), so they will give Demonic Suggestion/Compulsion to Harm and far worse. God will give you the strength against Temptations and Demonic Suggestion through His Holy Spirit by Reading His Word and Being Doers of His Word and a Life of Prayer and Faith...we must Submit to God and the Devil will flee. So, before we get even more further into this, what is Temptation? Why Is it Important to Guard Our Hearts? Why is it when Love is Diminished, that Hatred enters in and the Individual in Question now has Spiritual Evils...aka is under Spiritual

Enthrallment from the Ruler of This World? In “An Exorcist Explains The Demonic: The Antics Of Satan And His Army Of Fallen Angels;” Father Gabrielle Amorth Defines Temptation/Spiritual Enthrallment As:

“Jesus Himself submitted to temptation during the forty days He spent in the desert after His baptism in the Jordan (see Matt.4: 1-11) and later on. The devil tempts us both in our natural dimension, that is, in our interior wounds and weaknesses, and through the various occasions of sin that present themselves to us. Temptation is dangerous because it is difficult to uncover in the folds of our thoughts, words, works and omissions. Discernment is necessary; that is, we must have a well-trained eye and the spiritual intelligence that helps us to recognize the claw of the tempter and those who bring us straight to sin; we must reject them and instead accept the good inspirations that come from God. Therefore it is necessary to guard our heart and our external senses from indecent spectacles: each of us becomes what we see, what we listen to and what we read. Therefore, let us be discerning in what we see and listen to, and above all let us choose good friends. It is necessary to have a well-formed conscious. A good conscious is not achieved by elevating oneself or, worse yet, allowing the dominant culture to arbitrate good and bad. A good conscious is obtained by conforming one’s will to God’s will and to His teachings, which are given to us for our happiness and our salvation and are summarized in the highest degree in the Commandments. Temptation is conquest by vigilance, avoiding sin, and praying, because without the help of God we are not capable of conquering the seduction of sin. No one is exempt from temptation; some of the saints have had tremendous temptations even on their deathbeds. From their testimonies, we understand that as long as we have breath, we shall "never be free of temptation (4)”.

We will have Temptation throughout our lives up until our Last Breaths. Again, God will try to reach us through His Word and Utilizing The Holy Spirit while Lucifer will Tempt us continually and or/Spiritually Attack Us in Perpetuity concerning our Lifetime since He is literally after Your Soul. This is Father Gabrielle Amorth’s witness concerning Possession/Vexed Individuals and Temptation: “The demon acts when ,how, and where he wishes—during the day, at night, or even in a public situation, so that all can see. In these cases, it will be acting through the spiritual power of its angelic nature. Nothing in these cases is ascribable to the victim of the possession. Some have obvious and coarse manifestations, and others-such as the case of a mute spirit-do not even pronounce a word. These last are among the most difficult cases to treat. Who becomes possessed? [People of every faith or none. The devil does not look in the face of anyone.] No one can consider themselves excluded: they can be young or old, believers or atheists, Christians or those of other religions...In most cases, those who are distant from the Faith are more susceptible to this risk, but this is only an indication of the maxim that says the devil is more tranquil if he does not have to live with prayer, fasting, the Eucharist, and the other sacramental

practices. I also add that the Demon does not particularly like exercising his extraordinary action; he prefers by far to act through temptation in the first case, the external manifestation clearly unmasks his existence. In the second, hiding himself behind ignorance and slight faith (4)".

You as an Individual have to be very careful with what you read, what you listen to, what you watch (what you expose yourself to)/what you consume; you become. Thus when someone listens to Hatemongering, they become a "Being of Hatred;" a classification I use for an Individual Consumed/Dominated by "The Spirit of Hatred." So returning to Theology. What does Theology state about Hatred? Why Is Hatred So Dangerous (an Existential Threat To Human Life) and why is Hatred Separation From God? According to the Article "Why Is The Sin of Hatred so Dangerous?;" Hatred is So Dangerous because:

"The root of evil is rebellion against God, His nature and His will. People cave into their fleshly desires, whether instinctively or intentionally, when they set themselves against God. The Bible makes it clear that God is love; He is the source of love, the giver of true life, and because of that love will continue into eternity, since He is eternal. Hate is the sinful opposition to that love. It is the driving force behind much of the wicked action people take. Sometimes it is hating others, hating a process, or hating oneself. God's Word has much to say on the topic, emphasizing its toxic influence, pernicious nature, and how much it hurts the Lord. It is a selfish emotion that sets man against his creator and his brethren, damaging everything it touches because it allows people to see their fellow man as not also made in the image of God; to know the love of God is to be touched by true love, and embracing that enables people to overcome the fleshly drive to hate, and become more Christ-like. Like many topics, the Bible is not silent about hatred. It is the opposite emotion and behavior that Jesus Christ epitomized when He came to die on the cross, paying the price for the sins of humanity. The dictionary defines it as, "ill will or resentment that is usually mutual: prejudiced hostility or animosity."

"This definition does not seem to fully encapsulate hatred, as it is often the driving force for the worst of human behavior, including murder - the intentional ending of a life. God's Word gives many powerful statements about what hate is."

"Ultimately, hate can lead to people not seeing the object of their hatred as fully human, justifying bad behavior on either a petty or a grand scale. Many people experience anger, some even have tempers that manifest in ways that are detrimental to their relationships. Getting mad at someone does not mean the relationship is hateful. Even modern psychology identified differences between the two, with anger being a passing emotion, even for those who struggle

with anger management. Hate actively separates people from one another because it is an active decision to otherize, a word that means “to view or treat as intrinsically different from and alien to oneself.” This refers to someone being seen as so alien to oneself or a culture that they can be perceived as lesser, perhaps even less than human. Instead of seeing people as made in the image of God, they can be eliminated. Anger can lead to hate, and often fuels it, but that does not necessarily mean they are the same."

"Hate drives people to set themselves in opposition to God as well, meaning actions driven by hatred are rebellion. One of the most powerful statements in the Bible comes from one of the last epistles in the New Testament, written by one of the apostles. John wrote, “We know that we have passed from death to life, because we love each other. Anyone who does not love remains in death. Anyone who hates a brother or sister is a murderer, and you know that no murderer has eternal life residing in him” (1 John 3:14-15). This verse makes the bold claim that if someone calls themselves a Christian, but is hateful against anyone (especially another believer), they may not have the Holy Spirit, and may not be truly saved. Hate is intimately tied in with death, and just like Jesus called someone embracing lust an adulterer, someone harboring hate is murdering his brother in his heart. When this hate is acted upon, it leads to death. Sometimes that is spiritual or relational; unfortunately it can also be the ending of the life of another. Hate is dangerous, because when taken to its logical conclusion, it is the desire to eliminate the humanity of another (7)".

Hatred is an Existential Threat To Human Life (“Hate is dangerous, because when taken to its logical conclusion, it is the desire to eliminate the humanity of another (7)”). Again, Hatred is an Existential Threat To Human Life in regard to Psychology and even especially more so in regard to The Demonic/Spiritually. Hatred is Separation From God and Spiritual Death, which is Lucifer’s Aim. Lucifer will not Win a Conventional War Against God, so He will take as many Souls with Him; through His Conversion Process. What is Lucifer’s Conversion Process (The 3 Principles of Satanism) you might ask? Father Gabrielle Amorth in “An Exorcist Explains The Demonic: The Antics of Satan And His Army Of Fallen Angels” explains in detail: “What is their objective? Satanists wish to develop this depraved form of devotion through a diffusion of the theory and practice of three basic principles: you can do all that you wish, no one has the right to command you and you are the god of yourself. The first principle intends to confer full liberty to the adherent on everything he wishes to do, without limits. The second is the release from the principle of authority, that is, from any obligation to obey parents, the Church, the state and whoever places restrictions in the name of the common good. The third denies all the truth that comes directly from god: paradise, the inferno, purgatory, judgement, the Ten Commandments, the precepts of the Church, Mary and so forth. In appearance, these principles are seductive, especially for younger people, because they delude them into thinking that life is a beautiful

holiday in an imaginary land of playthings, where everything is permitted and where your “I” does not recognize any limits regarding pleasure and enjoyment (4)”.

Father Gabrielle Amorth goes further on this; “I wish to conclude with an important observation. It is not necessary to become a Satanist in order to serve the devil and become one of his followers. There are many, alas, who do not officially consecrate themselves to Satan but choose to follow his basic principles, and as a result they place their souls at great risk (4)”.

They place their souls at great and terrible Risk/Peril. Not only that, but they are Converted to Lucifer; knowingly or otherwise...by merely following his Three Basic Principles. Here is a quote from an Orthodox Theologian that puts Lucifer’s Conversion Process in much simpler terms: "Satan disguises submission to himself under the ruse of personal autonomy. He never asks us to become his servants. Never once did the serpent say to Eve, "I want to be your master." The shift in commitment is never from Christ to evil; it is always from Christ to self. And instead of his will, self-interest now rules and what I want reigns. And that is the essence of sin." - Unknown Theologian

So again, people sell their souls very cheaply...especially in regard to Hatred and doing what they wish to those they despise and being ruled by it... “The Spirit of Hate” and the Way of Cain...for again Lucifer will Destroy those who are not of Him. Again, with the Understanding of Temptation and Demonization; when People use “Ignorance” as their excuse when they harm someone in any way (be it verbal/physical or otherwise); that will never be an excuse...they gave into the Demonic Suggestion to Harm Someone; nothing more. The Individual In Question was Dominated/Consumed by the Type of Demonic Spirit and harmed Others...nothing more (I’ve had to experience this myself with dealing with People under Vexation who give into the Compulsion to harm others and I had to directly intervene and do Minor Exorcism and Major to remove them from the area (such as the case of the individual that was living the Sin of Vice that after a consecration of the town I did days prior...the entity that possessed them directly fixated/targeted myself and my coworkers and for 3 weeks had to deal with the issue and used a combination of Exorcism and my Mental Health Crisis Training (a Holistic Approach) to deal with the Individual to get them and intervention and cleaned up/off the drugs and alcohol and no longer a threat to the general population and being restored to a better state than they were before (which is our mission as Christians...the work of Salvation is to Restore Others (I explore this in-depth in my Easter Sermon)). So, I do not accept that as an Excuse, because of the Entity behind the Influence of the Action; as Father Gabrielle Amorth states: “I also add that the Demon does not particularly like exercising his extraordinary action; he prefers by far to act through

temptation in the first case, the external manifestation clearly unmasks his existence. In the second, hiding himself behind ignorance and slight faith (4)”.

This is my observation doing Exorcism for 3+ Years now; “If I want to actively Hunt the Demonic; outside of the Occult, all I have to do is look where Hatred is Emanating From and Who In Particular. It is a Cause-and-Effect Relation. The Effect is People are being Harmed around me. The Cause is Individuals giving into the Demonic Suggestion to Harm Others”. Again, outside of Mental Illness/ Sudden Onset of Mental Illness; there is the specific Spiritual Reason of the Act of Hatred that has led to Harm of Others. Acts of Hatred are Rebellion Against God. Hatred of Others is Anathema to God since God is Love and the Source of Love (1st John 4:7). Those Who Do not Have The Holy Spirit inside them are the ones Consumed by Hatred (1st John 3:11-15). Father Gabrielle Amorth also states this about Hatred: “Another culpable means of contracting spiritual evils that in some cases can be particularly weighty is perseverance in sin and vice; that is, living a life-stubbornly and with conviction-that is contrary to love (4)”.

Now that you know what Rebellion Against God is and why Hatred is an Existential Threat To Human Life, as what is Possession and why “The Spirit of Hatred” and the “Spirit of Murder” are incredibly dangerous...let’s take a look at Christian Nationalism, why it is not only Anti-Christ but why it has to be Opposed to Safeguard Human Life. So, what is the definition of “Christian Nationalism”? According to the Article on Christianity Today; entitled: “What Is Christian Nationalism”. Christian Nationalism is defined as:

“You’ve probably seen headlines recently about the evils of Christian nationalism, especially since December’s Jericho March in Washington, DC, and since a mob of Trump supporters—[many sporting](#) Christian signs, slogans, or symbols—rioted and stormed the US Capitol building on January 6. What is Christian nationalism, and how is it different from Christianity?”

“What is nationalism?”

“There are many definitions of nationalism and an active debate about how best to define it. I reviewed the standard academic literature on nationalism and found several recurring themes. Most scholars agree that nationalism starts with the belief that humanity is divisible into mutually distinct, internally coherent cultural groups defined by shared traits like language, religion, ethnicity, or culture. From there, scholars say, nationalists believe that these groups should each have their own governments; that governments should promote and protect a nation’s cultural identity; and that sovereign national groups provide meaning and purpose for human beings.”

“What is Christian nationalism?”

“Christian nationalism is the belief that the American nation is defined by Christianity, and that the government should take active steps to keep it that way. Popularly, Christian nationalists assert that America is and must remain a “Christian nation”—not merely as an observation about American history, but as a prescriptive program for what America must continue to be in the future. Scholars like Samuel Huntington have made a similar argument: that America is defined by its “Anglo-Protestant” past and that we will lose our identity and our freedom if we do not preserve our cultural inheritance.”

“Christian nationalists do not reject the First Amendment and do not advocate for theocracy, but they do believe that Christianity should enjoy a privileged position in the public square. The term “Christian nationalism,” is relatively new, and its advocates generally do not use it of themselves, but it accurately describes American nationalists who believe American identity is inextricable from Christianity.”

“What is the problem with nationalism?”

“Humanity is not easily divisible into mutually distinct cultural units. Cultures overlap and their borders are fuzzy. Since cultural units are fuzzy, they make a poor fit as the foundation for political order. Cultural identities are fluid and hard to draw boundaries around, but political boundaries are hard and semipermanent. Attempting to found political legitimacy on cultural likeness means political order will constantly be in danger of being felt as illegitimate by some group or other. Cultural pluralism is essentially inevitable in every nation.”

“Is that really a problem, or just an abstract worry?”

“It is a serious problem. When nationalists go about constructing their nation, they have to define who is, and who is not, part of the nation. But there are always dissidents and minorities who do not or cannot conform to the nationalists’ preferred cultural template. In the absence of moral authority, nationalists can only establish themselves by force. Scholars are almost unanimous that nationalist governments tend to become authoritarian and oppressive in practice. For example, in past generations, to the extent that the United States had a quasi-established official religion of Protestantism, it did not respect true religious freedom. Worse, the United States and many individual states used Christianity as a prop to support slavery and segregation.”

“What do Christian nationalists want that is different from normal Christian engagement in politics?”

“Christian nationalists want to define America as a Christian nation and they want the government to promote a specific cultural template as the official culture of the country. Some have advocated for an amendment to the Constitution to recognize America’s Christian heritage, others to reinstitute prayer in public schools. Some work to enshrine a Christian nationalist interpretation of American history in school curricula, including that America has a special relationship with God or has been “chosen” by him to carry out a special mission on earth. Others advocate for immigration restrictions specifically to prevent a change to American religious and ethnic demographics or a change to American culture. Some want to empower the government to take stronger action to circumscribe immoral behavior.”

“Some—again, like the scholar Samuel Huntington—have argued that the United States government must defend and enshrine its predominant “Anglo-Protestant” culture to ensure the survival of American democracy. And sometimes Christian nationalism is most evident not in its political agenda, but in the sort of attitude with which it is held: an unstated presumption that Christians are entitled to primacy of place in the public square because they are heirs of the true or essential heritage of American culture, that Christians have a presumptive right to define the meaning of the American experiment because they see themselves as America’s architects, first citizens, and guardians.”

“How is this dangerous for America?”

“Christian nationalism tends to treat other Americans as second-class citizens. If it were fully implemented, it would not respect the full religious liberty of all Americans. Empowering the state through “morals legislation” to regulate conduct always carries the risk of overreaching, setting a bad precedent, and creating governing powers that could be used later be used against Christians. Additionally, Christian nationalism is an ideology held overwhelmingly by white Americans, and it thus tends to exacerbate racial and ethnic cleavages. In recent years, the movement has grown increasingly characterized by fear and by a belief that Christians are victims of persecution. Some are beginning to argue that American Christians need to prepare to fight, physically, to preserve America’s identity, an argument that played into the January 6 riot.”

“How is Christian nationalism dangerous to the church?”

“Christian nationalism takes the name of Christ for a worldly political agenda, proclaiming that its program is *the* political program for every true believer. That is wrong in principle, no matter what the agenda is, because only the church is authorized to proclaim the name of Jesus and

carry his standard into the world. It is even worse with a political movement that champions some causes that are unjust, which is the case with Christian nationalism and its attendant illiberalism. In that case, Christian nationalism is calling evil good and good evil; it is taking the name of Christ as a fig leaf to cover its political program, treating the message of Jesus as a tool of political propaganda and the church as the handmaiden and cheerleader of the state (8)".

Christian Nationalism is not just Heretical (As stated in the Bible and Theology, we Christians are One Church across the World; we are of Christ and have Unity in Christ. Those who are Christian Nationalists do tend to Divide that which they are Spiritually To Not Do; doing Lucifer's Work for him (they are marked as such spiritually and we see the results of it)), but also a False Doctrine and False Belief. So, before we begin Christian Dominionism, let's have a refresher on the "Spirit of Domination", which is a subset of the "Spirit of Pride". According to the Article, "The Different Kinds of Demonic Spirits" By Michael Bradley, "The Spirit of Domination" is defined as:

"In this article are all of the main verses having to do with pride and how pride will come before the fall. Satan himself is a perfect example of someone who fell from grace and favor with the Lord as a result of too much pride being built up in his personality over a certain period of time. As we explained in that article, once too much pride starts to build up over time in your personality, you will then start to lose your ability to see what the real truth is in many of the important matters in your life. The only truth you will now see is what you think the truth is, even if that truth goes against God Almighty Himself. You will then start to become a little god yourself, thinking that all of the world revolves around you and your own set agendas. You will then become very selfish and narcissistic and will refuse to listen to any kind of correction or counsel the Lord will try and bring your way."

"Demons know how powerful and destructive of a thing pride can be in helping to bring a person down, so they will do everything they can behind the scenes to try and build up a person's ego through false flattery and vain imaginations. Here is a list of some of the different kinds of demons who will try and bring someone down through the use of pride: Pride, Arrogance, Haughtiness, Rebellion, Blasphemy, Control, Domination, Possessiveness, Contention, Quarreling, Critical, Judgmental, Selfish, Narcissistic, Unbelief, Skepticism, Greed, Paranoia, Deceit, Mockery. Once the spirit of pride enters into someone, either through demons and/or through a person's own human spirit, many of the above qualities will follow right along with it."

“How many prideful people do you know who also exhibit additional negative qualities such as being too judgmental and too critical of other people. Since their inflated sense of pride tells them they are always right and never wrong, then there is no room for anyone else who does not agree with them. As a result, they will become very judgmental and critical of anyone else who does not agree with them. As their pride continues to build up, they will then become more selfish and narcissistic, as all they will be able to see is what is in their own little world. As a result, everything else in their lives will become totally irrelevant, including the members of their own families. They will then start to want more and more, especially in the area of power, wealth, authority, control, money, and material goods. From there a strong spirit of greed will start to enter in and before you know it, they will have lost all contact with God, their best friends, and family members. They will then become an island and a god all to themselves. And then it happens, everything comes tumbling down around them. They lose all of their friends, their families, their wealth and money, and most importantly of all, they lose their connection and personal relationship to God Himself (5)”.

Again, Narcissism is attached the Spirit of Pride and Domination. Looking through the Different Types of Demonic Spirits and signs of demonization; you do discover at least the physical/mental relation concerning Cause and Effect. Again, the belief you can Dominate Others, is Anti-Christ certainly and is directly Open Rebellion Against God. Is the Person who believes they can control/dominate others and believe that they can do all that they wish to others; especially to those they Hate, are they a (Truly Saved) Christian? The Direct Answer is no. Does the person exhibiting all of these things need Mental Health Help (that they are possibly Mentally Ill)? Absolutely Yes! Is the Person under a type of Demonic Possession? Possibly, depending on the type (after doing the correct type of Diagnostic according to Exorcist rules (a Sacraments and exposure to Holy Items Test) to help with that determination (and as a minor note, I only do Minor Exorcism but do Major if absolutely necessary)); regardless it is still going to be Spiritual Enthrallment; the individual is knowingly or otherwise literally Dominated by the “Spirit of Hate”, “Spirit of Anger” or the “Spirit of Domination”; the types of Demonic Spirits that give temptation/Demonic Suggestion to the individual susceptible to carry out Unspeakable Acts against Others. We will now go much more deeply into the Church Doctrine and Theology on this point. So, returning to Christian Dominionism. What is it? According to the Gotquestions.org Article; “What is Christian Dominionism?”, Dominion Theology is Defined as:

“Dominionism, or Christian Dominionism is a term coined by social scientists and popularized by journalists to refer to a subset of American Christianity that is conservative, politically active, and believes that Christians should, and eventually will, take control of the government. The term is sometimes used as a “catch-all” by bloggers to describe any politically active Christian, but not every conservative, politically minded Christian is a Dominionist. Christian Dominionist

believe that God desires Christians to rise to power through civil systems so that His Word might then govern the nation. The belief that “[America is a Christian nation](#)” is sometimes called “soft dominionism”; the idea that God wants only Christians to hold government office and run the country according to biblical law is called “hard dominionism.”

“[Dominion theology](#)’s beliefs are based on [Genesis 1:28](#), which says, “Be fruitful, and multiply, and replenish the earth, and subdue it: and **have dominion** over the fish of the sea, and over the fowl of the air, and over every living thing that moveth upon the earth” (emphasis added). This verse is taken by Christian Dominionists as a divine mandate to claim dominion over the earth, physically, spiritually, and politically. However, this is taking a large step away from the text, which only says to have dominion over the creatures of earth, and to “subdue” the earth. It is likely that this verse simply means for humanity to a) multiply and expand over the face of the earth instead of staying in one place and b) keep and take care of all other living things. There were no political entities in [Genesis 1](#). However, dominion theology goes even further with this verse, leading to two other philosophies: Christian Reconstructionism and Kingdom Now theology. Christian Reconstructionism is an intellectually high-minded worldview, most popular among the more conservative branches of Christian faith. Reconstructionism says that dominion will be achieved by each Christian excelling in his or her individual field (Christian artists taking dominion of the art world, Christian musicians taking dominion of the music world, Christian businessmen taking dominion of the business world, etc., until all systems and fields are “subdued”).”

“[Kingdom Now theology](#), most popular among Charismatic and Pentecostal groups, focuses on taking dominion of the earth by way of spiritual battle. Kingdom Now adherents believe that long ago Satan stole the “keys of spiritual dominion” when he deceived Adam and Eve. Then, when Christ gave the “keys of the kingdom” to Peter in [Matthew 16:19](#), it was a sign that dominion had been returned to man. Now it is our job to “take back” what is rightfully ours – that is, to claim dominion over the earth and spiritually subdue it for Christ. Proponents of Kingdom Now theology believe that the capturing of this dominion includes having Christians in political office, plus a return of spiritual power, manifested by signs, miracles, and healing. Kingdom Now theology is taught in the book *When Heaven Invades Earth* by Bill Johnson of Bethel Church.”. While many well-meaning Christians are attracted to these philosophies, Christian Dominionism and its offshoots are unbiblical. Although these systems of thought are nominally based on biblical principles, both Christian Reconstructionism and Kingdom Now theology veer away from the heart and message of the gospel. It is understandable that Christians, troubled by abortion and the general moral chaos of a relativistic society, want to take control of the culture and steer it back towards sanity. But holding to Dominionism is not a

biblically viable option. In a way, the disciples were of a “kingdom now” mindset. They thought that Jesus was going to immediately usher in the kingdom and wipe out Roman rule (see [Luke 19:11](#)). But that wasn’t what Jesus was about then, and it isn’t what He is about now. We belong to a heavenly kingdom that is not of this world ([John 8:23](#)). We are seeking another home, a city “with foundations” ([Hebrews 11:10, 14; 13:14](#)). The world is passing away ([1 Corinthians 7:31; 1 John 2:17; Colossians 3:2-5](#)).”

“It is right and good to want to see justice done and biblical principles upheld ([Psalm 33:5; Amos 5:15; Micah 6:8](#)). And we are to do everything as unto the Lord ([1 Corinthians 10:31](#)). We are salt and light ([Matthew 5:13-16](#)), and it is perfectly reasonable for Christians to hold jobs in government and all other areas of society. But “bringing the kingdom of heaven to earth” is not our commission. Our commission is to tell people about the wonderful news that, despite the sick, sinful condition of our souls, God has provided salvation by sacrificing His own Son on our behalf ([Romans 5:6-8](#)). By grace, through faith, we become citizens of a perfect world that will last eternally ([Ephesians 2:8-9](#)). Our job is to “rescue those who are perishing; to hold back those stumbling towards slaughter” ([Proverbs 24:11](#)). Christian Dominionism seeks to perfect this world by political clout, but it is the Spirit who must bring change ([Zechariah 4:6](#)). One day, Jesus will bring His kingdom to earth, in justice and true righteousness, and it will signify the end of this world’s system (9)”.

Again, that belief they can Dominate/Control others and Kill those they most despise, isn’t of Christ; but always and forever the Opposition to Christ. Hatred is Separation from God and it is Irrevocably Proven that Lucifer uses those with Hatred in their Hearts to do Unspeakable Evils. Christian Dominionism, of which is a Devil Doctrine/False Doctrine is one of the core Doctrines of Christian Nationalism. Christian Nationalism is Anti-Christ due to the Domination/Control of Others and the Hatred thereof and believe of the adherent that their Hatred of Others and subsequent destruction/slaying of all those they Hate, is Justified and thus Christian Nationalism is Heretical. A Theologian and Expert on Christian Nationalism has this to say about Christian Nationalism "Among the many errors of Christian Nationalism is this one: It is a theology of the sword, not of the cross (10)" - Brain Zahnd; Orthodox Theologian

So, these Christian Nationalists and/or Fallen Christians of whom follow Lucifer’s 3 Basic Principles (that they can do as they wish (especially to others), that no one has authority over them and that they are the God’s of Themselves(they do not submit to God, nor actually follow Jesus’s Tenants and Testaments); are already converted to his Cause; Father Gabrielle Amorth states the adherent doesn’t have to officially consecrate themselves, however they are in grave Spiritual Peril. Again “Things are much simpler than we’d like. It isn’t that we don’t know God’s

Commandments, it's that we simply choose not to do them and as a subsequent result; we no longer know what is right and that is our predicament" (paraphrasing Dietrich Bonhoeffer). So, concerning Christian Nationalist Beliefs; let's take a look at a particular article that highlights Christian Nationalism as of its current state. According to the Time's Article: "3 Threats Christian Nationalism Poses to the United States" By Andrew Whitehead":

"In a recent national [poll](#), researchers found that a majority of Republicans favor declaring the United States a Christian nation. In July, Marjorie Taylor Greene made waves on social media when she claimed Republicans should proudly [embrace](#) Christian nationalism. Politicians like Pennsylvania gubernatorial candidate Doug Mastriano have increasingly used Christian nationalist [rhetoric](#) on the campaign trail, likely due to seeing how well it worked for [Trump](#). It is clear more people are paying attention to and using the term; there were more tweets including "Christian nationalism" in July of 2022 than in all of 2021 [combined](#)."

"But what is "Christian nationalism" and is it really worth the fuss? Christian [nationalism](#) refers to an ideology that asserts all civic life in the U.S. should be organized according to a particularly conservative and ethnocentric expression of Christianity. Christian nationalism is more than theological or religious beliefs. It includes several cultural assumptions including: Strict moral traditionalism focused on sustaining social hierarchies. Comfort with authoritarian control—exercised by the "right" people—that includes the threat and use of violence. A desire for strict ethno-racial boundaries around who is a "true" American, where non-white and non-natural born citizens are viewed as unworthy of full participation in American civic life. (This is why many label it *white* Christian nationalism). Christian nationalism centers and privileges the white, Christian experience. It believes the founders of the U.S. were conservative, white Christian men intent on founding a country where they and others like them could lead and flourish. Now, many Americans believe Christianity played an important role in the founding period or that the founding fathers were religious in one way or another. No reputable historian disputes these claims."

"However, Christian nationalism moves well beyond such observations. It views this particular expression of Christianity as the undisputed [framework](#) of the U.S. and wants all levels of the government to preserve that framework. This means that Christian nationalism does not want a government for the people by the people. It wants a government *for a particular people, by a particular people*. Namely, politically and religiously conservative white American Christians. [Survey](#) after [survey](#) show between 15-20 percent of Americans strongly embrace the tenets of Christian nationalism, while another one-third support it, albeit less fervently. Perhaps now we can sense the danger Christian nationalism poses to the U.S. if it sees our country as primarily

for the benefit of a small subset of the overall population. A burgeoning collection of recent social science research provides evidence of the threats Christian nationalism poses and here I will identify three.”

“Christian nationalism is anti-democratic. Christian nationalism is about power. Power in the “right” hands to ensure the U.S. fulfills its covenant with God. However, democracy demands we share power. This places Christian nationalism at odds with [democracy](#). Americans who embrace Christian nationalism want to ensure the country does not turn its back on God. So when the political winds turn against stances they see as endorsed by God, they must do all they can to stand for what they see as the ultimate good. Candidates like Mastriano are willing to set aside democracy itself, ignoring the results of fair and free elections, to fulfill their interpretations of God’s commands.”

“This is why in one [recent study](#) we find that Americans who embrace Christian nationalism are more likely to: Deny voter suppression is a problem. Believe it is “too easy to vote” in the U.S. Believe voter fraud is rampant. Support having to pass a civics test in order to vote. Support laws that would disenfranchise anyone who committed certain crimes. And the national [poll](#) showing a majority of Republicans favor declaring the United States a Christian nation referenced above? It found Republicans support this stance *despite* recognizing such a move would be unconstitutional. The anti-democratic propensities of Christian nationalism preserves the unequal access to the political process enjoyed by white, natural-born, conservative Christian citizens. Moves to expand who can pull the levers of power imperils this access. Therefore, Christian nationalism will discard democracy, if necessary.”

“Christian nationalism perpetuates racism. [Various](#) recent books [demonstrate](#) how white [Christianity](#) in the U.S. was a key part of the creation and [preservation](#) of racial hierarchies. White Christian nationalism served as the ideological mortar that allowed for the walls of racial division and inequality to be built, brick by brick. Today it perpetuates these systems. Recent [research](#) shows Christian nationalism obscures the origins of racial inequality by allowing some to consider the current state of affairs as “just the way things are” or attributing racial inequality to personal or individual shortcomings of Black Americans. In fact, for white Americans, Christian nationalism is linked to affirming *whites* are the real victims. Christian nationalism is also [directly linked](#) to blaming Black Americans when police use deadly force. According to the ideology of Christian nationalism, the negative experiences of racial and ethnic minorities in our country are mere distractions bent on sowing division.”

“Christian nationalism approves of political violence. Comfort with violence is a natural byproduct of Christian nationalism’s obsession with power. At times, the only way to get people to do what they should—as God commanded—is with the use (or threat) of force. Embracing Christian nationalism is a leading predictor of which Americans believe the riots at the Capitol on January 6th were [justified](#) and that violence is acceptable in advancing [political](#) goals. Christian nationalism is also closely linked to believing true American patriots may have to resort to physical violence in order to [save](#) the U.S. These findings suggest that to a subset of the American population, January 6th was no mere [aberration](#). The next time we hear anyone confidently declare “true Americans” and “faithful Christians” should embrace Christian nationalism, or that the outcomes of elections are battles of biblical proportions of good versus evil, we can remember how Christian nationalism is anti-democratic, perpetuates racism, and approves of political violence. These three threats are especially concerning when a national [poll](#) shows a majority of one political party favors declaring the U.S. a Christian nation. It is vital we identify and help others recognize the threats Christian nationalism poses if we want to move closer to a country that truly exemplifies liberty and justice for all (11)”.

Again, Christian Nationalism is Heretical at best and purely Anti-Christ at worst; since it goes against every Tenant and Testament of Christ. Orthodox Theologian Brian Zahnd has this to say about Christian Nationalism: “Christian orthodoxy confesses that God is all-powerful. (Rev 4:8). (though not in the manner of the tyrannical monarchs of this world.). Yet Paul’s glorious eschatology is not that God merely be over all, but that in the end God will be “all In all”. (1 Cor. 15:28).”

“Christianity without transcendence degenerates into politics. Yes, politics, as the necessary administration of the polis, must be attended to, but still, it’s not Christianity. Ultimately, the truth does not come from the left or right, it comes from above...I know it's necessary to tend to the running of the polis (or in the case of America, the empire); and I also know Christians should seek justice and the common good. But when political partisanship is held with religious devotion, politics becomes toxic and damaging to the soul. in the 21st century this is increasingly the case in the American political landscape. Bad politics teaches you who to hate; politics at its worst teaches you who to kill. And then you're on the highway to hell. The Church must be something other, an alternative society, a shelter from the storm. But without a radical focus on the Kingdom of God and Christ the King, the church is in danger of being swept away in a tsunami of ever more hateful political rhetoric. If that happens, we are no gospel, no good news, no redemptive presence. We will be salt without savor, an extinguished candle, just another irrelevant institution floundering in political poison and spiritual darkness.”

“When the church seeks to advance its mission through the apparatus of the state, the mission of the church is inevitably corrupted in the pursuit of political power. We are salt and light, not sword and gavel.”

"The nations are like a drop in the bucket and accounted as dust on the scales" - Isaiah 40:15. Nationalism is not seeking the common good of the polis but an idolatrous allegiance to one's nation over and against all other nations. Obviously Christians can seek the common good, but they must never pledge religious allegiance to their "drop in the bucket" Isaiah 40:15.

"When I began to critique Christian nationalism nearly twenty years ago, the pushback was along the lines of, "we're not Christian Nationalists, we're just patriotic Christians." But now the pushback is, "Yeah, we're Christian nationalists, so what of it". - Brian Zahnd (10A)”.

Brian Zahnd is on point concerning God is in all and uses people and Christianity cannot be tied to the Nation itself; as Christian Nationalists wish it to; to be dominated and used to dominate others. Christianity thus has to transcend the bounds of Human Nature and not degenerate into the stage that Christian Nationalists believe it should be; to be used to dominate, control and harm if not kill those they despise for whatever reasons. Again, Domination, Cheap Grace, Once Saved Always Saved and Christian Nationalism on a whole; is completely and irrevocably Anti-Christ (it is Anti-Love and Anti-Peace and again will perpetually go against Christ and his Tenants and Testaments) and has to be countered, it has to be Opposed since we as Christians are to Safeguard Life...to Protect The Victims of Hatred and Wrong. Now before I get further into Christian Nationalism before I talk about Imago Dei. When it comes to Christian Nationalism here in America, it isn't exactly a new concept. Specifically if you look through American History concerning Hatred and the subsequent Lynchings carried out by Christians obeying the Jim Crow Laws and the Preached False Doctrines to enforce adherence to their belief in their superiority and the racial hierarchy...still failing Imago Dei. After a Lynching, coming into Sunday Church Service, is the same type of Ancient Evil that happened during the Crusades; where Crusaders would enter cities and kill the inhabitants of the ghetto's containing Jews, Homosexuals and anyone they hated...all in the name of following Christ. What happened in Nazi Germany; the Nuremberg Laws and the Final Solution of the Jewish Question...again all arises from Hatred...Hatred is Separation from God. So many of them so consumed and following their Culture's Beliefs...as previously stated...the Spiritual Corruption in the Cultural Beliefs; as Father Gabrielle Amorth states in No Uncertain Terms: “What is the cause of this moral decline? Principally it is the diminution of the Christian conscious in the struggles against the powers of darkness. It is St. Paul who warns us: “For we are not contending against the flesh and blood, but against the principalities, against the powers, against the world rules of this

present darkness, against the spiritual hosts of wickedness in the heavenly places” (Eph. 6:12). Here is how Vatican II frames the situation: “When the order of values is jumbled and bad is mixed with the good, individuals and groups pay heed solely to their own interests, and not to those of others. Thus it happens that the world ceases to be a place of true brotherhood. In our own day, the magnified power of humanity threatens to destroy the race itself. For a monumental struggle against the powers of darkness pervades the whole history of man. The battle was joined from the very origins of the world and will continue until the last day, as the Lord has attested[cf. Matt. 24:13; 13:20-30, 36-43]. Caught in this conflict, man is obliged to wrestle constantly if he is to cling to what is good, nor can he achieve his own integrity without great efforts and the help of God’s grace (4)”.

Again the Hatred that is Taught to be “Normal” for the Civilization again is Anti-Christ regardless...again Spiritual Corruption begins when Individuals have the Inability to Love Others. The Dangers of Hatred; is that the God isn’t Recognized in the Other Human Being and subsequently this Person Dominated by “The Spirit of Hatred” Believes they can Eliminate the Other Human. This is why “Hatred is An Existential Threat To Human Life”. So, I do not adhere to the excuse of “the person was a product of their time”. There were many people in those Eras (Like Dietrich Bonhoeffer) who knew what was being done was wrong and truly followed Christ by Loving Others...especially those their Spiritually Fallen culture would designate the enemy; who spoke out against and protected the victims of the World’s (their society’s) contumely and contempt.

So before I complete the Christian Nationalist Exploration of this Presentation, let’s take a look at Christian Nationalist’s Beliefs in regard to Children’s Education According to the Article: “CHRISTIAN NATIONALISM HURTS THE CHILDREN IT CLAIMS TO PROTECT — AS AUTHOR LILLIAN SMITH UNDERSTOOD 80 YEARS AGO”:

“Recently, an Indiana Moms for Liberty chapter included—and *attributed*—a quote from Adolf Hitler in their newsletter: “He alone, who OWNS the youth, GAINS the future.” After backlash, the chapter went into damage control and chapter chair [Paige Miller wrote in a revised newsletter](#), “We condemn Adolf Hitler’s actions and his dark place in human history.”

“At their Philadelphia summit, as [Annika Brockschmidt notes](#) here at RD, Moms for Liberty frames their “hatred for ‘the Left,’ the LGBTQ+ community, and anti-racism efforts” as measures to protect children. Patriot Mobile spokeswoman Leigh Wambganss told the crowd,

“Our children belong to the Lord, not the government . . . This is not a political war, it is a spiritual war.” The summit, Brockschmidt observed, pulsed with a “religious character,” employing spiritual warfare imagery to promote the group’s agenda. The [Southern Poverty Law Center](#) classifies Moms for Liberty as an “anti-government extremist group,” and *Vice*’s [David Gilbert](#) uncovers the connections between Moms for Liberty and the Proud Boys, Three Percenters, and Christian nationalists. A Kentucky chapter, Gilbert reports, had John Birch Society member Pastor Jon Schrock speak to them “about defunding public education.” Amidst a litany of conspiracy theories, Schrock got to his main point, that educators should be replaced with “pastors” who would teach a curriculum “rooted in Christian fundamentalism”. During the same period, [Ryan Helfenbein](#), director of the Standing for Freedom Center at Liberty University, brought up Hitler, Joseph Stalin and Mao Zedong on education during an interview at a Faith and Freedom coalition event. When asked about the “brainwashing” of a generation in the classroom, Helfenbein replied by agreeing with the interviewer’s claim that the “left” is “indoctrinating” students, before adding: “What we’re discovering as parents and conservatives is [that] education really is evangelism. So, if you don’t control education, you cannot control the future. And Stalin knew that, Mao knew that, Hitler knew that. We have to get that back for conservative values.”

“Education has long been a battlefield for Christian nationalists. While textbooks such as [America’s Providential History](#) have been around for decades and tactics have evolved over time, the end goal remains the same: to construct a Christian nationalist education system leading to the establishment of a Christian nationalist nation. We’re seeing a surge of directives and laws being passed across the nation to overhaul the education system and prevent the promotion of diversity, equity, and inclusion. A governor-appointed commission in Georgia [voted to remove the words “diversity,” “equity,” “inclusion,” and even “social justice”](#) from teaching standards, while Louisiana, Rep. Clay Higgins [proposes that public libraries be replaced with church-owned alternatives](#) claiming that libraries have become “liberal grooming centers.” Some legislators embrace the term Christian nationalism in describing their positions. Rep. Marjorie Taylor Greene, at a TPUSA event [in 2022 proclaimed](#), “We need to be the party of nationalism and I’m a Christian, and I say it proudly, we should be Christian nationalists.” Amidst all of this, the relevance of the work of twentieth century civil rights author Lillian Smith to these current issues speaks to the importance of historical perspectives in efforts to counter today’s threats against democracy. “*Ever since the first white Christian enslaved the first black man, the conscience of America has been hurting.*” One would not find this sentence out of place in writings by Anthea Butler, Kristin Kobes du Mez, Danté Stewart, or Robert Jones—yet, none of the above wrote these words. Rather, they open Smith’s 1943 essay, “[The White Christian and His Conscience](#).”

“Written 80 years ago, Smith’s words run directly afoul of current laws such as Florida’s “stop WOKE act” and “divisive concepts” laws spreading across the nation. At its core, the essay targets Christian nationalism in the early 1940s and points out the threat it poses to democracy by linking it directly with fascism in Nazi Germany. Smith’s work grapples with conflicts of race and systemic inequality that are intertwined in American society. During Jim Crow, Smith examined the influence of Christian nationalism on the issues of her time, and her work continues to speak to us today. Current attempts by right-wing politicians to blur the lines of separation between church and state are a continuation of nationalist and fascist movements that existed not only in Smith’s lifetime, but throughout all of American history. Over the course of the essay, Smith recounts how Nazi Germany provided an external enemy that allowed White Christians in the United States, and especially in the Jim Crow South, to justify their own racist actions. After presenting Nazi atrocities and Hitler’s regimented dismantling of democracy, Smith asks her readers to look upon themselves, highlighting the stark contradictions at the center of Christian nationalism. She writes: “The white man in America was willing neither to give up Jesus nor to give up the slave. He was willing neither to give up democracy, nor white supremacy. He was willing neither to give up his conscience or his way of life.”

“She highlights how the cognitive dissonance created by Christian nationalism damages “our culture, our personalities, our children, seeping through every level of our life like a slow-spreading poison. Smith saw the ways that sex, what she called “sin,” and segregation joined together to maintain White supremacist beliefs for many Christians, encountering the lessons early. In “Growing into Freedom,” she details how she learned “that it is possible to be a Christian and a Southerner simultaneously; to be a gentlewoman and an arrogant callous creature in the same moment; to believe in freedom, to glow when the word is used, and to practice slavery from morning to night.” Initially, these lessons hindered Smith from initially looking upon her own self and interrogating the lessons she had learned. To move past the “deep inner conflict,” one must look in the mirror and dissect these internalized lessons. But this reflection comes with a price. If they believe in justice and equality, as Smith writes, “they must give up the teachings of their beloved families. For some people this is hard to do. It is like tearing part of your life out.”

“What’s the alternative? The poison continues to spread and they turn to hateful rhetoric. “It is so easy to use one’s hate as a crutch to lean upon when one feels weak,” Smith writes, “It is easy to use feelings of persecution as an excuse not to change one’s own attitude; as an excuse not to act constructively.” Current manifestations of hateful rhetoric, whether spewed from the stage in Philadelphia, in newsletters in Indiana, at galas, and in countless other places, cordon off self-reflection. They serve, as Smith noted in her work, as a means “to win an election, to break the strength of a union, to keep jobs for the white man,” all of which coalesced in “its main use . .

. [which is] to keep the white man from seeing what he is doing to other human beings.” If, as Moms for Liberty insists, they want to “protect children,” what does all of this do to children themselves? We know the harm it enacts upon those the group targets, but what does it do to a child *in* a Christian nationalist home who takes these lessons to heart? This is the question that Smith grapples with, pointing out that imbibing these lessons “tears up the heart and mind as much as to be hated.”

“That may be an exaggeration, but the important point is that it isn’t innocuous. It causes the heart to become calloused and creates deep chasms between individuals that can only be crossed with self-reflection, because, as Smith states, “A child’s personality grows by reaching out to others, by feeling identified with them, by acknowledging the common dignity of all men.” Calls for education reform and “protecting” children reside at the core of Christian nationalism because when children grow up and learn the lessons, they become so entangled in its grasp that extricating themselves from it requires extensive and difficult work. Smith sums this up in *Killers of The Dream* (1949) where she details a conversation with a camper she’d had as director of [Laurel Falls Camp for Girls](#). The camper, about 17 at the time, confronted Smith one night telling her, “I almost hate you to-night, for letting us fall in love with beliefs that I see now we can’t possibly live.”

“The camper continues, saying that it felt as though Smith had “swung a bright mirror” in front of her, causing her to look upon herself and ultimately hate her family. She tells Smith she knew what she learned at camp—to love her neighbor as herself and not to feel superior to anyone—was right, but she couldn’t act on those beliefs when she returned home from camp because, as she says, “I’m scared—at what I am looking at in me.” The reflection staring back at the young girl scared her, and facing that reflection caused her to tell Smith that she would teach her children “not to *think* about things like this” and “that money comes first.” Smith replies, “In other words, you would make little Nazis out of them.”

“The camper replies, “At least it would be honest.” She chose this route out of fear—fear of the future and her position within that future. The reactions she (probably accurately) anticipated scared her, even though she knew right from wrong. “To change things,” she tells Smith, “you’ve got to get mad when you see folks do what you think is wrong.” But she could not do that. After Smith lays bare the history of racism in America, the camper concludes by getting to the crux of her fears: “I guess . . . if you hated your family, it would be easier to fight for what is right, down here. It would be easier if you didn’t care how much you hurt them.” The camper embodies the impact of Christian nationalism on the children it purports to protect. She embodies the psychological conflicts that such lessons graft upon the psyche of children as they grow. She

knows the difference between right and wrong and how that butts up against the cognitive dissonance Christian nationalism created within her. In essence, she embodies the impact of groups like Moms for Liberty on children and future generations (10B)".

The Major point from Children learning about actual History instead of it being whitewashed; is looking in the mirror of them becoming something that is Not of Christ...this is the opening of eyes to the truth of the terrible world and why Hatred and acts of Hatred are pure Depravity and that their Family who tells racist jokes and believes them to be Superior aren't of Christ certainly and not Heaven Bound/ that they are Unsaved and possibly be used by Satan to further harm others in Domination and worse...and that realization of facing this stark reality of trying to save their own Family from Idolatry, Hatred and the like as we've seen from the Trump Presidency, long before and afterwards; The Mothers of Liberty and other Christian Nationalists (all Anti-Christ given their Open Rebellion Against God Beliefs) and these "Beings of Hatred" (those who have been Consumed/Dominated by "The Spirit of Hate/Hatred" for so long, would rather force the Veil of Darkness than have the Light of their Own Evils, especially the Evils of their Cultural Beliefs, exposed. This Reminds me of John 3: 19-21: "And this is the judgment: the light has come into the world, and people loved the darkness rather than the light because their works were evil. For everyone who does wicked things hates the light and does not come to the light, lest his works should be exposed. But whoever does what is true comes to the light, so that it may be clearly seen that his works have been carried out in God." John 3:19-21.

In John Chapter 3; Jesus Himself states that He(The Light) has come into the World and that People loved the Darkness and their own Wickedness and that they wouldn't come to the Light and Hated the Light lest their deeds were exposed. The Mom's of Liberty, the Sanitization of History by other Racists/Xenophobes/Christian Nationalists and their designs in Project 2025 especially in regard to Education (which will be covered later in this Presentation) is a perfect example of this. Those of Hatred are Not Of The Light and Again Do Not Have The Holy Spirit In Them. Again, their own Hatred and them continuing to cling to it; they are Lucifer's unless they are Saved (unless they Repent and Change)...especially from Themselves. Again those of the Light have to Stand Against The Ruler of This World and those who are under Lucifer's Enthrallment.

Again, God He Himself is Love and this is the point to being of Christ, to Love Others...everyone around you is your Neighbor and there can be no Limit as to who your Neighbors are. This is what it means to follow Imago Dei, "In God's Image". What is Imago Dei? Imago Dei is "In God's Image", which is expressed in the ordinances of 1st John 4:7-21.

“Beloved, let us love one another, for love is from God, and whoever loves has been born of God and knows God. Anyone who does not love does not know God, because God is love. In this the love of God was made manifest among us, that God sent his only Son into the world, so that we might live through him. In this is love, not that we have loved God but that he loved us and sent his Son to be the propitiation for our sins. Beloved, if God so loved us, we also ought to love one another. No one has ever seen God; if we love one another, God abides in us and his love is perfected in us. By this we know that we abide in him and he in us, because he has given us of his Spirit. And we have seen and testify that the Father has sent his Son to be the Savior of the world. Whoever confesses that Jesus is the Son of God, God abides in him, and he in God. So we have come to know and to believe the love that God has for us. God is love, and whoever abides in love abides in God, and God abides in him. By this is love perfected with us, so that we may have confidence for the day of judgment, because as he is so also are we in this world. There is no fear in love, but perfect love casts out fear. For fear has to do with punishment, and whoever fears has not been perfected in love. We love because he first loved us. If anyone says, “I love God,” and hates his brother, he is a liar; for he who does not love his brother whom he has seen cannot love God whom he has not seen. And this commandment we have from him: whoever loves God must also love his brother.” 1 John 4:7-21

God He Himself is Love. God Is Love. Those Who Are Not Of Love, are not of God, for God Is Love and God is in Every Single Human. Every Human Is Born In His Image...this is what Imago Dei Means...In God's Image and we as Humans are God's Children. So, We as Christians have to be of Love to be of God and there is No Exception To This. Dietrich Bonhoeffer summarizes Imago Dei succinctly in this quote: “Christ took upon himself this human form of ours. He became Man even as we are men. In his humanity and his lowliness we recognize our own form. He has become like a man, so that men should be like him. And in the Incarnation the whole human race recovers the dignity of the image of God. Henceforth, any attack even on the least of men is an attack on Christ, who took the form of man, and in his own Person restored the image of God in all that bears a human form. Through fellowship and communion with the incarnate Lord, we recover our true humanity, and at the same time we are delivered from that individualism which is the consequence of sin, and retrieve our solidarity with the whole human race. By being partakers of Christ incarnate, we are partakers in the whole humanity which he bore. We now know that we have been taken up and born in the humanity of Jesus, and therefore that new nature we now enjoy means that we too must bear the sins and sorrows of others. The incarnate Lord makes his followers the brothers of all mankind. The “philanthropy” of God (Titus 3.4) revealed in the Incarnation is the ground of Christian love towards all on earth that bears the name of man. The form of Christ incarnate makes the Church into the body of Christ. All the sorrows of mankind fall upon that form, and only through that form can they be borne”. – Dietrich Bonhoeffer

So, before we get further into this, let's take a look at 1st John Chapter 2.

“My little children, I am writing these things to you so that you may not sin. But if anyone does sin, we have an advocate with the Father, Jesus Christ the righteous. He is the propitiation for our sins, and not for ours only but also for the sins of the whole world. And by this we know that we have come to know him, if we keep his commandments. Whoever says “I know him” but does not keep his commandments is a liar, and the truth is not in him, but whoever keeps his word, in him truly the love of God is perfected. By this we may know that we are in him: whoever says he abides in him ought to walk in the same way in which he walked. Beloved, I am writing you no new commandment, but an old commandment that you had from the beginning. The old commandment is the word that you have heard. At the same time, it is a new commandment that I am writing to you, which is true in him and in you, because the darkness is passing away and the true light is already shining. Whoever says he is in the light and hates his brother is still in darkness. Whoever loves his brother abides in the light, and in him there is no cause for stumbling. But whoever hates his brother is in the darkness and walks in the darkness, and does not know where he is going, because the darkness has blinded his eyes. I am writing to you, little children, because your sins are forgiven for his name's sake. I am writing to you, fathers, because you know him who is from the beginning. I am writing to you, young men, because you have overcome the evil one. I write to you, children, because you know the Father. I write to you, fathers, because you know him who is from the beginning. I write to you, young men, because you are strong, and the word of God abides in you, and you have overcome the evil one. Do not love the world or the things in the world. If anyone loves the world, the love of the Father is not in him. For all that is in the world—the desires of the flesh and the desires of the eyes and pride of life—is not from the Father but is from the world. And the world is passing away along with its desires, but whoever does the will of God abides forever.” 1 John 2:1-17

Saint John states matter of fact, that you as a Christian have to be of Love without Exception. Those who are of Hatred, the Love of God, nor the Light of God/ let alone the Holy Spirit, isn't in them and they are Blind, following the Ruler of this World...their actions are the thing that condemns them. Sin is defined as Harm of Others. We must be of Love to be of Christ and thus following the Ethos of Imago Dei; “In God's Image/The Image of God.” So, when it comes to the Image of God, Imago Dei, according to Church Doctrine, Racism/Xenophobia (Hatred in general) is a Moral Evil. According to the Late Archbishop Harry J. Flynn in his “In The Image Of God: Pastoral Letter on Racism,” He describes Imago Dei and Anti-Imago Dei as:

“In our national pastoral letter on racism we bishops noted how racism is a rejection of the most basic values of the Scriptures: God's word proclaimed the oneness of the human family — from

the first words of Genesis, to the “Come, Lord Jesus” of the Book of Revelation. God’s word in Genesis announces that all men and women are created in God’s image; not just some races and racial types, but all bear the imprint of the Creator and are enlivened by the breath of His one Spirit ...[Racism] mocks the words of Jesus: “Treat others the way you would have them treat you.” Indeed, racism is more than a disregard for the words of Jesus; it is a denial of the truth of the dignity of each human being revealed by the mystery of the Incarnation. [6]. Those words remind us how seriously racism violates God’s will for us. It contradicts the meaning of the Incarnation and threatens our salvation. With the Incarnation, Jesus entered human history to transcend and transform the divisions of human sinfulness. He calls us to a communion with one another, a unity that reflects the unity of God’s own being in the Holy Trinity. In his life Jesus modeled this unity and deep reverence for the dignity of each person he met. Whether it was the Samaritan woman, the tax collector, the leper, or the prostitute, Jesus treated all people with the reverence that is their due as children of God.”

“If we are to follow the example of Jesus, then we must be keenly aware that every person is formed in the image and likeness of God. Every person must be treated with a deep reverence and respect. For we are all sons and daughters of the one God, in whose sacredness we share. God intends that we all live in harmony, that we practice a love that unites us and reflects our fundamental equality as human beings. Racism is a serious offense against God precisely because it violates the innate dignity of the human person. At its core racism is a failure to love our neighbor. Since we cannot claim to love God unless we love our neighbor, we can only be one with God if we reject racism and work aggressively to remove it from our personal lives, our church, and our society. Pope John Paul II, in an important teaching document entitled “Ecclesia in America,” reminds us, Every offense against the dignity of the person is an offense against God himself, in whose image human beings are made. This dignity is common to all, without exception, since all have been created in the image of God (cf. Gen 1:26). Jesus’ answer to the question “Who is my neighbor?” (Lk 10:29) demands of each individual an attitude of respect for the dignity of others and of real concern for them, even if they are strangers ... (cf. Lk 10:30-37). [7]”

“Responding to the sin of racism must begin with each of us examining our own selves on this subject. We need to be open to a change of heart. We should ask God’s Spirit to remove from us all traces of racial prejudice. We should avoid racial stereotypes, slurs and jokes. We should correct any expressions or racist attitudes among family members, friends, and co-workers. We should seek opportunities to know and learn from people of other races. Resisting racism also means examining our basic instincts and assumptions about race. How do these assumptions shape our daily lives? What are our fears about people of other races? (12)”

So, returning to Imago Dei. In Chapter 32 “The Image of Christ” in Dietrich Bonhoeffer’s “The Cost of Discipleship”; Dietrich Bonhoeffer describes/defines Image of God as:

“When the world began, God created Adam in his own image, as the climax of his creation. He wanted to have the joy of beholding in Adam the reflection of himself. “And behold, it was very good.” God saw himself in Adam. Here, right from the beginning, is the mysterious paradox of man. He is a creature, and yet he is destined to be like his Creator. Created man is destined to bear the image of Uncreated God. Adam is “as God.” His destiny is to bear this mystery in gratitude and obedience towards his Maker. But the false serpent persuaded Adam that he must still do something to become like God: he must achieve that likeness by deciding and acting for himself. Through this choice Adam rejected the grace of God, choosing his own action. He wanted instead to unravel the mystery of his being for himself, to make himself what God had already made him. That was the Fall of man. Adam became “as God”-sicut deus-in his own way. But now that he had made himself god, he no longer had a God. He ruled in solitude as a creator-god in a God-forsaken subjected world. But the riddle of human nature was still unresolved. With the loss of the God-like nature God had given him, man had forfeited the destiny of his being, which was to be like God. In short, man had ceased to be man. He must live without the ability to live. Herein lies the paradox of human nature and the source of all our woe. Since that day, the sons of Adam in their pride have striven to recover the divine image by their own efforts. The more serious and devoted their attempt to regain the lost image and the more proud and convincing their apparent success, the greater their contradiction to God. Their misshapen form, modelled after the god they had invented for themselves, grows more and more like the image of Satan, though they are unaware of it. The divine image, which God in his grace had given man, is lost forever on this earth.”

“But God does not neglect his lost creature. He plans to re-create his image in man, to recover his first delight in his handiwork. He is seeking in it his own image so that he may love it. But there is only one way to achieve this purpose and that is for God, out of sheer mercy, to assume the image and form of fallen man. As man can no longer be like the image of God, God must become like the image of man. But this restoration of the divine image concerns not just a part, but the whole of human nature. It is not enough for man simply to recover right ideas about God, or to obey his will in the isolated actions of his life. No, man must be re-fashioned as a living whole in the image of God. His whole form, body, soul and spirit, must once more bear that image on earth. Such is God’s purpose and destiny for man. His good pleasure can rest only on his perfected image. An image needs a living object, and a copy can only be formed from a model. Either man models himself on the god of his own invention, or the true and living God moulds the human form into his image. There must be a complete transformation, a “metamorphosis” (Rom. 12:2; II Cor. 3:18), if man is to be restored to the image of God. How

then is that transformation to be effected? Since fallen man cannot rediscover and assimilate the form of God, the only way is for God to take the form of man and come to him. The son of God who dwelt in the form of God the Father, lays aside that form, and comes to man in the form of a slave (Phil. 25 ff). The change of form, which could not take place in man, now takes place in God. The divine image which had existed from eternity with God, assumes the image of fallen, sinful man. God sends his Son in the likeness of sinful flesh (Rom 8.2f). God sends his Son-here lies the only remedy. It is not enough to give man a new philosophy or a better religion. A Man comes to men. Every man bears an image. His body and his life become visible. A man is not a bare word, a thought or a will. He is above all and always a man, a form, an image, a brother. And thus he does not create around him just a new way of thought, will and action, but he gives us the new image, the new form. Now in Jesus Christ this is just what has happened. The image of God has entered our midst, in the form of our fallen life, in the likeness of sinful flesh. In the teaching and acts of Christ, in his life and death, the image of God is revealed. In him the divine image has been re-created on earth.”

“Christ took upon himself this human form of ours. He became Man even as we are men. In his humanity and his lowliness we recognize our own form. He has become like a man, so that men should be like him. And in the Incarnation the whole human race recovers the dignity of the image of God. Henceforth, any attack even on the least of men is an attack on Christ, who took the form of man, and in his own Person restored the image of God in all that bears a human form. Through fellowship and communion with the incarnate Lord, we recover our true humanity, and at the same time we are delivered from that individualism which is the consequence of sin, and retrieve our solidarity with the whole human race. By being partakers of Christ incarnate, we are partakers in the whole humanity which he bore. We now know that we have been taken up and born in the humanity of Jesus, and therefore that new nature we now enjoy means that we too must bear the sins and sorrows of others. The incarnate Lord makes his followers the brothers of all mankind. The “philanthropy” of God (Titus 3.4) revealed in the Incarnation is the ground of Christian love towards all on earth that bears the name of man. The form of Christ incarnate makes the Church into the body of Christ. All the sorrows of mankind fall upon that form, and only through that form can they be borne (13)”.

Again, Imago Dei. God took our form and is in every Person that you meet! This is Personified in Matthew Chapter 25: “When the Son of Man comes in his glory, and all the angels with him, then he will sit on his glorious throne. Before him will be gathered all the nations, and he will separate people one from another as a shepherd separates the sheep from the goats. And he will place the sheep on his right, but the goats on the left. Then the King will say to those on his right, ‘Come, you who are blessed by my Father, inherit the kingdom prepared for you from the foundation of the world. For I was hungry and you gave me food, I was thirsty and you gave me

drink, I was a stranger and you welcomed me, I was naked and you clothed me, I was sick and you visited me, I was in prison and you came to me.' Then the righteous will answer him, saying, 'Lord, when did we see you hungry and feed you, or thirsty and give you drink? And when did we see you a stranger and welcome you, or naked and clothe you? And when did we see you sick or in prison and visit you?' And the King will answer them, 'Truly, I say to you, as you did it to one of the least of these my brothers, you did it to me.' "Then he will say to those on his left, 'Depart from me, you cursed, into the eternal fire prepared for the devil and his angels. For I was hungry and you gave me no food, I was thirsty and you gave me no drink, I was a stranger and you did not welcome me, naked and you did not clothe me, sick and in prison and you did not visit me.' Then they also will answer, saying, 'Lord, when did we see you hungry or thirsty or a stranger or naked or sick or in prison, and did not minister to you?' Then he will answer them, saying, 'Truly, I say to you, as you did not do it to one of the least of these, you did not do it to me.' And these will go away into eternal punishment, but the righteous into eternal life."

Matthew 25:31-46

In Matthew Chapter 25, Jesus states In No Uncertain Terms to His Disciples and us, that what we do to Others... "the Least of These" ...we do so unto God Himself. Dietrich Bonhoeffer in "God Is In The Manger: Reflections On Advent and Christmas; expounds Jesus's Message even further in this quote: "Jesus stands at the door knocking (Rev. 3:20). In total reality, he comes in the form of the beggar, of the dissolute human child in ragged clothes, asking for help. He confronts you in every person that you meet. As long as there are people, Christ will walk the earth as your neighbor, as the one through whom God calls you, speaks to you, makes demands on you. That is the great seriousness and great blessedness of the Advent message. Christ is standing at the door; he lives in the form of a human being among us (14)".

In Dietrich Bonhoeffer's statement that "God Will walk the Earth as your Neighbor; the One Whom God Calls to You...Speaks To You and Makes Demands of You," God Certainly will use People to test you as well. What You Do To Others, you Do to God...for God is In The Other Person of whom is the Limitation Jesus has placed on us Christians. As long as there are People on this Earth, Christ will walk this Earth as your Neighbor, who makes demands of you, calls upon you. What you do to Others, you do so unto God and yes everything you do on this Earth, God does record...every good and Sinful (harmful) thing. And again, Racism as with Xenophobia; is a Moral Sin and a Sin Against God; let alone those who are Racist/Xenophobic are in Direct Opposition to God and in Open Rebellion Against God (they aren't Christians; Officially or Otherwise and I do Affirm this as a Legally Ordained Reverend for we are called to be of Love since "God is Love! "(1st John 4:7-21)), for Racism/Xenophobia goes against Imago Dei. Christ took our form and in our form, He redeemed Man. As Dietrich Bonhoeffer states,

“any attack even on the least of man is an attack on Christ of whom in His Person restored the Image of God in all that bears a Human Form” (paraphrasing).

So, what Is Love? How Is Love Defined by Church Doctrine? What is Imago Dei, What Does Church Doctrine Say About Imago Dei? According To “Ethics” By Dietrich Bonhoeffer; Love Is Defined As: “And though I have the gift of prophecy, and understand all mysteries, and all knowledge; and though I have all faith, so that I could remove mountains, and have not love, I am nothing. And though I bestow all my goods to feed the poor, and though I give my body to be burned, and have not love, it profiteth me nothing” (1 Corinthians 13:2 and 3). This is the decisive word which marks the distinction between man in disunion and man in the origin. The word is love. There is a recognition of Christ, a powerful faith in Christ, and indeed a conviction and a devotion of love even unto death-all without love. That is the point. Without this “love” everything falls apart and everything is unacceptable, but in this love everything is united and everything is pleasing to God. What is this love?”

“Everything that we have so far seen to be true excludes all those definitions which endeavor to represent the essence of love as a human attitude, as conviction, devotion, sacrifice, the will to fellowship, feeling, brotherhood, service or action. All these, without exception, can, as we have just heard, arise without “love.” Everything that we are accustomed to call love, that which lives in the depths of the soul and in the visible deed, and even the brotherly service of one’s neighbour which proceeds from a pious heart, all this can be without “love”, not because there is always a “residue” of selfishness in all human conduct, entirely overshadowing love, but because love as a whole is something entirely different from what the word designates here. Nor is this love the direct relationship between persons, the acceptance of the personal and the individual in contrast to the law of the objective and impersonal institution. Quite apart from this thoroughly unbiblical and abstract wrenching apart of the “personal” and the “objective” or “real,” love here becomes an attitude of man, and only a partial one at that. “Love” now becomes the superior ethos of the personal, which perfects and completes the inferior ethos of the purely real and institutional. It is, for example, in accordance with this view that one regards love and truth as mutually conflicting and gives priority to love as the personal principle over truth as the impersonal principle, thereby coming into direct contradiction with St. Paul’s saying that love “rejoiceth in the truth” (1st Corinthians 13:6). For indeed love knows nothing of the very conflict in terms of which one seeks to define it. On the contrary, it is of the essence of love that it should lie beyond all disunion. A love which violates or even merely neutralizes truth is called by Luther, with his clear biblical vision, an “accursed love,” even though it may present itself in the most pious dress. A love which embraces only the sphere of personal human relations and which capitulates before the objective and real can never be the love of the New Testament.”

“If, then, there is no conceivable human attitude or conduct, which as such, can unequivocally be designated by the name of “love”, if love lies beyond all the disunion in which man lives, and if at the same time anything that men can understand and practice as love is conceivable only as human conduct within this actual disunion, can mean by “love”. The Bible does not fail to give us the answer. We know this answer well enough, but we continually misinterpret it. It is this: “God is Love” (1 John 4:16). First of all, for the sake of clarity, this sentence is to be read with the emphasis on the word God, whereas we have fallen into the habit of emphasizing the word love. God is love; that is to say not a human attitude, a conviction or a deed, but God Himself is love. Only he who knows God knows what love is; it is not the other way round; it is not that we first of all by nature know what love is and God reveals Himself to him. And so no one knows what love is except in the self-revelation of God. Love, then, is the revelation of God. And the revelation of God is Jesus Christ. “In this was manifested the love of God towards us, because that God sent his only begotten Son into the world, that we might live through him” (1 John 4:9). God’s revelation in Jesus Christ, God’s revelation of His Love, precedes all our love towards Him. Love has its origin not in us but in God. Love is not an attitude of men but an attitude of God. “Herein is love, not that we loved God, but that he loved us, and sent his Son to be the propitiation for our sins” (1 John 4:10). Only in Jesus Christ do we know what love is, namely in His Deed for us. “Hereby perceive we the love of God because he laid down his life for us” (2 John 3:16). And even here there is given no general definition of love, in the sense for example, of its being the laying down of one’s life for the lives of others. What is here called love is not this general principle but the utterly unique event of the laying down of the life of Jesus Christ for us. Love is inseparably bound up with the name of Jesus Christ as the revelation of God. The New Testament answers the question “What is Love?” quite unambiguously by pointing solely and entirely to Jesus Christ. He is the only definition of love. But again it would be a complete misunderstanding if we were to derive a general definition of love from our view of Jesus Christ and of His deed and His suffering. Love is not what He does and what He suffers, but it is what He does and what He suffers. Love is always He Himself. Love is always God Himself. Love is the always the revelation of God in Jesus Christ.”

“When all our ideas and principles relating to love are concentrated in the strictest possible manner upon the name of Jesus Christ this must, above all, not be allowed to reduce the name to a mere abstract concept. This name must always be understood in the full concrete significance of the historical reality of a living man. And so, without in any way contradicting what has been said so far, it is only the concrete action and suffering of this man Jesus Christ which will make it possible to understand what love is. The name of Jesus Christ, in which God reveals Himself, gives the explanation of itself in the life and the words of Jesus Christ. For, after all, the New Testament does not consist in an endless repetition of the name of Jesus Christ, but that which this name comprises is displayed in events, concepts and principles which are intelligible to use. And so, too, the choice of the concept of “love” is not simply arbitrary; this concept acquires an

entirely new connotation in the New Testament message, yet it is not entirely without connexion with what we understand by “love” in our own language. Certainly it is not true to say that the biblical concept of love is a particular form of what we have already in general understood by this word. Precisely the opposite turns out to be the case, namely, that the biblical concept of love, and it alone, is the foundation, the truth and the reality of love, in the sense that any natural thought about love contains truth and reality only in so far as it participates in this its origin, that is to say, in the love which is God Himself in Jesus Christ.”

“We can now continue to follow the Bible in answering the question “What is Love?” Love is the reconciliation of man with God in Jesus Christ. The disunion of men with God, with other men, with the world and with themselves, is at an end. Man’s origin is given back to him. Love, therefore is the name for what God does to man in overcoming the disunion in which man lives. This deed of God is Jesus Christ, is reconciliation. And so love is something which happens to man something passive, something over which he does not himself dispose, simply because it lies beyond his existence in disunion. Love means the undergoing of the transformation of one’s entire existence by God; it means being drawn in into the world as it lives and must live before God and in God. Love, therefore is not man’s choice, but it is the election of man by God. In what sense, then is this still possible to speak, as the New Testament does clearly enough, of love as an activity of men, of the love of men for God and for their neighbour? In view of the fact that God is love, what can now be meant by saying that man, too, can love and ought to love? “We love him, because he first loved us” (1 John 4:19). This means that our love for God rests solely upon our being loved by God, in other words that our love can be nothing other than the willing acceptance of the love of God in Jesus Christ. “If any man love God, the same is known of him” (1 Corinthians 8:3). “Known is the language of the Bible means “elected” and “engendered”. To love God means to accept willingly His election and His engendering in Christ. The relation between the divine love and human love is wrongly understood if we say that the divine love precedes the human love, but solely for the purpose of setting human love in motion as a love which, in relation to the divine love is an independent, free and autonomous activity of man. On the contrary, everything which is to be said of human love, too, is governed by the principle that God is love. The love with which man loves God and his neighbour is the love of God and no other; for there is no other love; there is no love which is free or independent from the love of God. In this, then, the love of men remains purely passive. Loving God is simply the other aspect of being loved by God. Being loved by God implies loving God; the two do not stand separately side by side.”

“In order to make this clearly intelligible a further word of explanation is necessary with regard to the use of the concept of passivity in this context. Here, as always in theology when there is a reference to the passivity of men, we are not concerned with a psychological concept but with

one which applies to the existence of man before God., that is to say, with a theological concept. Passivity with respect to the love of God does not mean that exclusion of all thoughts, words and deeds which is possible when I seek repose in a love of God that can come to me only in a particular “quiet hour.” The love of God is not only that haven of refuge in which I take shelter in distress. Being loved by God does not by any means deprive man of his mighty thoughts and his spirited deeds. It is as whole men, as men who think and who act, that we are loved by God and reconciled with God in Christ. And it is as whole men, who think and who act, that we love God and our brothers (15)”.

In “Ethics”, Dietrich Bonhoeffer puts in No Uncertain Terms that God is THE Source of Love; We Have No Love/No Ability to Love Others without God’s revelation of Himself to Us and Through Us the Love is made Manifest and the Form of Love is Jesus Christ, in whom reconciled us to God and through Jesus Christ are we Saved and that we have to be Of Christ; to Help Others and Love Our Neighbors and to not Wrong Others. For the Love we give Others is the same Infinite Love that God gives each of Us. Again here in this point of Dietrich Bonhoeffer, while we Humans have a term/understand of Love, the fact is...God Himself is Love and without He Himself in Us through Christ; we are nothing and will receive Nothing...for Without Love; we are Nothing and our inheritance is Nothing and therefore we as Christians have to be of Love to be of God since God Himself is Love. Those Who Know God and Follow Him; Love is Manifested...those who are not of God, the Ability to Love is not Present...so this same point from two different sources... Again, Hatred is Separation From God. Again, we Christians have to be of Love without Exception. The Love We Have/Have Not Given to Others will be the same Love that Judges Us in The Final Judgement. How is this so? In “An Exorcist Explains The Demonic: The Antics of Satan And His Army of Fallen Angels;” Father Gabrielle Amorth explains this in detail:

“The essential question is: What is the concrete rapport that each man has with God? As I have mentioned, the solemn response is found in the Gospel of Matthew. The saved and the damned will be chosen on the basis of their recognition or rejection of Christ in the infirm, in the hungry, and in the poor (Matt. 25:31-46). Two essential elements emerge from this. The first division, a schism, between those going to paradise and those going to hell, between the saved and the condemned. The second regards the manner in which this judgement will be accomplished- with love. God’s Commandments and every other precept are summarized solely in one commandment: “Love one another as I have loved you”(John 15:12). We can easily understand that this command is addressed to each human conscious in every age, including those who lived before Christ and those, who today, as in centuries past, never heard anyone speak of the Son of Man. Therefore, the finale of this stupendous passage is the beautiful passage from Matthew:

“Truly, I say to you, as you did it to one of the least of these my brethren, you did it to me” (Matt. 25:40).”

“If each man-apart from his religion, his culture, his epoch, and any other circumstance- has loved his neighbor, he has also loved the Lord Jesus in person. Any rapport with our brothers and sisters in any locality, any age, or any situation is, all in all, a rapport with Jesus Christ in person. Each human creature who achieves fulfillment in his human relationships is, at the same time, relating to God. For this reason, the love of neighbor is the fundamental precept of life. John the Evangelist helps us to understand that we cannot say that we love God, whom we cannot see, if we do not love our brother, whom we can see (cf. 1 John 4:20). The love that will judge us will be the same [love] that we have (or have not) practiced towards others, the same [love] that Jesus lived in His earthly experience and taught us in the Gospels, the same [love] to which we are entitled through the sacraments, through prayer, and through a life of faith. The ability to love comes from grace, and it is much reduced in those who do not know Christ; and even more so in those who know Him but do not follow Him, a choice that assumes a serious sin. Indeed, Jesus said: “He who believes and is baptized will be saved; but he who does not believe will be condemned” (Mark 16:16).”

“On the other hand, in announcing the extraordinary Jubilee of Mercy, Pope Francis reminds us that the other fundamental aspect of the question is that the love with which we shall be judged will be the Love of mercy. ‘Mercy is the ultimate and supreme act by which God comes to meet us’ This mercy, he says, “is the bridge that connects God and the man and opens our hearts to the hope of being loved forever despite our sinfulness.” God’s compassionate glance and His desire to live in total communion with us opens our hearts to the hope that each sin and each failure inflicted on man by his great enemy, Satan, will be looked upon with the eyes of a loving and accepting Father. Therefore let us live full of hope, because we know that, even in the difficulties of our life’s journey, God will wipe away all the tears from our eyes. On that day, “death shall be no more, neither shall there be mourning nor crying nor pain any more, for the former things have passed away” (Rev. 21:4) (4)”.

Again, the Love you have or have not given others will be what Judges You In The Final Judgement. What You Do To Others, You Do So Unto God. Everything you have ever done on this Earth is accounted for; God knows every thought and deed. So, you have to be of Love to be of God...you have to be of Love to follow Christ...you will be Condemned because of not being of Love...this is exemplified in Father Gabrielle Amorth’s statement: “The ability to love comes from grace, and it is much reduced in those who do not know Christ; and even more so in those who know Him but do not follow Him, a choice that assumes a serious sin. Indeed, Jesus said:

“He who believes and is baptized will be saved; but he who does not believe will be condemned” (Mark 16:16) (4)”.

Those who are not of Love easily obtain Spiritual Evils; become under Vexation or are under Spiritual Enthrallment to the Ruler of This World due to their Rebellion Against God. So, Sin is Defined as the Harm of Others (Romans 13:8-10). In My Most Recent Sermon, I talked about this and more; specifically Idolatry (The Selling of One’s Soul for A Single Human Being) in My Sermon for July 5th, entitled: "The Dangers of Selling One's Soul For A Single Human Being" (which will be link in sources for this Paper/Presentation).

Now that you know what Rebellion Against God is and why Hatred is an Existential Threat To Human Life, as what is Possession and why “The Spirit of Hatred” and the “Spirit of Murder” are incredibly dangerous...let’s take a look at The Limitation Jesus Christ has placed on us Christians. We as Christian's do have limitations placed on us by Jesus concerning how we treat others. Again, Jesus placed Limitations on us Christians to be of Him. Dietrich Bonhoeffer makes this point further in Chapter 18 “The Disciple and Unbelievers” in “The Cost of Discipleship”:

“But this raises the question of the relation between the Christians and their non-Christian neighbors. Does their separation from the rest of society confer on them special rights and privileges? Do Christians enjoy power, gifts, and standards of judgement which qualify them to exert a peculiar authority over others? How easy it would have been for the disciples to adopt a superior attitude, to pass unqualified condemnation on the rest of the world, and to persuade themselves that this was the will of God! That is why Jesus has to make it clear beyond all doubt that such misunderstandings would seriously imperil their discipleship. The disciples are not to judge. If they do so, they will themselves be judged by God. The sword wherewith they judge their brethren will fall upon their own heads. Instead of cutting themselves off from their brother as the just from the unjust, they find themselves cut off from Jesus. Why should this be so? The source of the disciple’s life lies exclusively in his fellowship with Jesus Christ. He possesses his righteousness only within that association, never outside it. That is why his righteousness can never become an objective criterion to be applied at will. He is a disciple not because he possesses such a new standard, but only because of Jesus Christ, the Mediator and the very Son of God. That is to say, his righteousness is hidden from himself in fellowship with Jesus. He cannot, as he could once, be a detached observer of himself and judge himself, for he can only

see Jesus and be seen by him, judged by him, and reprieved by him. It is not an approved standard of righteous living that separates a follower of Christ from the unbeliever, but it is Christ who stands between them. Christians always see other men as brethren to whom Christ comes; they meet them only by going to them with Jesus. Disciple and non-disciple can never encounter each other as free men, directly exchanging their views and judging one another by objective criteria. No, the disciple can meet the nondisciple only as a man to whom Jesus comes. Here alone Christ's fight for the soul of the unbeliever, his call, his love, his grace and his judgement comes into its own. Discipleship does not afford us a point of vantage from which to attack others; we come to them with an unconditional offer of fellowship, with the single-mindedness of the love of Jesus."

"If the disciples make judgements of their own, they set up standards of good and evil. But Jesus Christ is not a standard which I apply to others. He is judge of myself, revealing my own virtues to me as something altogether evil. Thus I am not permitted to apply to the other person what does not apply to me. For, with my judgement according to good and evil, I only affirm the other person's evil, for he does exactly the same. But he does not know of the hidden iniquity of the good but seeks his justification in it. If I condemn his evil actions I thereby confirm him in his apparently good actions which are yet never the good commended by Christ. Thus we remove from him the judgement of Christ and subject him to human judgement. But I bring God's judgement upon my head, for I then do not live any more on and out of the grace of Jesus Christ, but out of my knowledge of good and evil which I hold on to. Judging others makes us blind, whereas love is illuminating. By judging others we blind ourselves to our own evil and to the grace which others are just as entitled to as we are. But in the love of Christ we know all about every conceivable sin and guilty; for we know how Jesus suffered, and how all men have been forgiven at the foot of the cross. Christian love sees the fellow-man under the cross and therefore sees with clarity. If when we judged others, our real motive was to destroy evil, we should look for evil where it is certain to be found, and that is in our own hearts. But if we are on the look-out for evil in others, our real motive is obviously to justify ourselves, for we are seeking to escape punishment for our own sins by passing judgement on others, and are assuming by implication that the Word of God applies to ourselves in one way, and to others in another. All this is highly dangerous and misleading. We are trying to claim for ourselves a special privilege which we deny to others. But Christ's disciples have no rights of their own or standards of right and wrong which they could enforce with other people; they have received nothing but Christ's fellowship. Therefore the disciple is not to sit in judgement over his fellow-man because he would wrongly usurp the jurisdiction."

"But the Christian is not only forbidden to judge other men: even the word of salvation has its limits. He has neither power nor right to force it on other men in season and out of season. Every

attempt to impose the gospel by force, to run after people and proselytize them, to use our own resources to arrange the salvation of other people, is both futile and dangerous. It is futile, because the swine do not recognize the pearls that are cast before them, and dangerous, because it profanes the word of forgiveness, by causing those we fain would serve to sin against that which is holy. Worse still, we shall only meet with the blind rage of hardened and darkened hearts, and that will be useless and harmful. Our easy trafficking with the word of cheap grace simply bores the world to disgust, so that in the end it turns against those who try to force on it what it does not want. Thus a strict limit is placed upon the activities of the disciples, just as in Matt 10 they are told to shake the dust off their feet where the word of peace is refused a healing. Their restless energy which refuses to recognize any limit to their activity, the zeal which refuses to take note of resistance, springs from a confusion of the gospel with a victorious ideology. An ideology requires fanatics, who neither know nor notice opposition, and it is certainly a potent force. But the Word of God in its weakness takes the risk of meeting the scorn of men and being rejected. There are hearts which are hardened and doors which are closed to the Word. The Word recognizes opposition when it meets it, and is prepared to suffer it. What are the disciples to do when they encounter opposition and cannot penetrate the hearts of men? They must admit that in no circumstances do they possess any rights or powers over others, and that they have no direct access to them. The only way to reach others is through him in whose hands they are themselves like all other men. The disciples are taught to pray, and so they learn that the only way to reach others is by praying to God. Judgement and forgiveness are always in the hands of God. He closes and he opens. But the disciples must ask, they must seek and knock, and then God will hear them. They have to learn that their anxiety and concern for others must drive them to intercession. The promise of Christ gives to their prayer is the doughtiest weapon in their armory.”

"To sum up: it is clear from the foregoing that the Disciple has no special privilege or power on his own in all his intercourse with others. The mainspring of his life and work is the strength which comes from fellowship with Jesus Christ. Jesus offers his disciples a simple rule of thumb which will enable even the least sophisticated of them to tell whether his intercourse with others is on the right lines or not. All he needs to do is to say "I" instead of "Thou" and put himself in the other man's place. "All things whatsoever ye would that men should do unto you, even so do ye also unto them: for this is the law and the prophets." The moment he does that, the disciple forfeits all advantage over other men, and can no longer excuse in himself what he condemns in others. He is as strict in condemning Evil in himself as he was before with others, and as lenient with the evil in others as he was before with himself. The evil in the other person is exactly the same evil as in ourselves. There is only one judgement, one law, and one grace. Henceforth the disciple will look upon other men as forgiven sinners who owe their lives to the love of God. "This is the law and the prophets: -for this is none other than the supreme commandment: to love God above all things and our neighbors as ourselves (13)".

Again, we as Christians have to be of Love and be of Peace. Jesus placed Limitations on us Christians and if we surpass these Limitations, we are placed as Liable for Judgement and the Victims of this Domination/attempts at Control/Victims of Hatred and Wrong are Completely Justified to throw these Fallen Christians who do such things, to the Courts; this is Legal Accountability (Matthew 5:23-26). So, what does The Bible say about Atoning for the harm you cause others lest those you harm throw you to the Courts? This is found in The Book of Matthew Chapter 5: "So if you are offering your gift at the altar and there remember that your brother has something against you, leave your gift there before the altar and go. First be reconciled to your brother, and then come and offer your gift. Come to terms quickly with your accuser while you are going with him to court, lest your accuser hand you over to the judge, and the judge to the guard, and you be put in prison. Truly, I say to you, you will never get out until you have paid the last penny. " Matthew 5:23-26

In Matthew Chapter 5; Jesus Himself states in No Uncertain Terms that you as a Christian have to atone/make amends when you harm others; otherwise the Person you've harmed is Justified throw you to the Courts and then you yourself will be thrown in jail and won't get out until you've paid the last penny. Jesus Himself warns to forgive and ask for Forgiveness and to Atone...otherwise you will come to your own Damnation (The Law of Consequences).

Anger and Hatred both have their Judgement from God Already and the fact that God does utilize the Law of Consequences and so those who harm others have to reconcile with those they have harmed and atone for it. If they do not, their Victims are Justified to throw them to the Courts. In "The Cost of Discipleship" By Dietrich Bonhoeffer, Dietrich Bonhoeffer puts all of this succinctly in this Quote: "Anger is always an attack on the brother's life, for it refuses to let him live and aims at his destruction. Jesus will not accept the common distinction between righteous indignation and the unjustifiable anger. The disciple must be entirely innocent of anger, because anger is an offense against both God and his neighbour. Every idle word which we think so little of betrays our lack of respect for our neighbour, and shows that we place ourselves on a pinnacle above him and value our own lives higher than his. The angry word is a blow struck at our brother, a stab at his heart: it seeks to hit, to hurt and to destroy. A deliberate insult is even worse, for we are then openly disgracing our brother in the eyes of the world, and causing others to despise him. With our hearts burning with hatred, we seek to annihilate his moral and material existence. We are passing judgement on him, and that is murder. And the murderer will himself be judged. When a man gets angry with his brother and swears at him, when he publicly insults or slanders him, he is guilty of murder and forfeits his relation to God. He erects a barrier not

only between himself and his brother, but also between himself and God. He no longer has access to him: his sacrifice, worship and prayer are not acceptable in his sight. For the Christian, worship cannot be divorced from the service of the brethren, as it was with the rabbis. If we despise our brother our worship is unreal, and it forfeits every divine purpose. Let the fellowship of Christ examine itself and see whether it has given any token of love of Christ to the victims of the world's contumely and contempt, any token of that love of Christ which seeks to preserve, support and protect life. Otherwise however liturgically correct our serves are, and however devout our prayer, however brave our testimony, they will profit us nothing, nay rather, they must needs testify against us that we have as a Church ceased to follow our Lord. There is therefore only one way of following Jesus and of worshipping God, and that is to be reconciled with our brethren. If we come to hear the Word of God and receive the sacrament without first being reconciled with our neighbours, we shall come to our own damnation (13)".

Hatred again...this places barriers between Us and God; this is Spiritual Death. Placing Yourself above Others on a Pedestal and proclaiming yourself chosen over others...this fixed belief system is completely Anti-Christ...it is Open Rebellion Against God and The Way of Cain. We as Humans are all equal in God's eyes; His Heirs and this is found in The Book of Galatians Chapter 3:

"To give a human example, brothers: even with a man-made covenant, no one annuls it or adds to it once it has been ratified. Now the promises were made to Abraham and to his offspring. It does not say, "And to offsprings," referring to many, but referring to one, "And to your offspring," who is Christ. This is what I mean: the law, which came 430 years afterward, does not annul a covenant previously ratified by God, so as to make the promise void. For if the inheritance comes by the law, it no longer comes by promise; but God gave it to Abraham by a promise. Why then the law? It was added because of transgressions, until the offspring should come to whom the promise had been made, and it was put in place through angels by an intermediary. Now an intermediary implies more than one, but God is one. Is the law then contrary to the promises of God? Certainly not! For if a law had been given that could give life, then righteousness would indeed be by the law. But the Scripture imprisoned everything under sin, so that the promise by faith in Jesus Christ might be given to those who believe. Now before faith came, we were held captive under the law, imprisoned until the coming faith would be revealed. So then, the law was our guardian until Christ came, in order that we might be justified by faith. But now that faith has come, we are no longer under a guardian, for in Christ Jesus you are all sons of God, through faith. For as many of you as were baptized into Christ have put on Christ. There is neither Jew nor Greek, there is neither slave nor free, there is no male and

female, for you are all one in Christ Jesus. And if you are Christ's, then you are Abraham's offspring, heirs according to promise." Galatians 3:15-22

In Galatians Chapter 3, St. Paul states to the early Christians and us too that we as Humans are all equal joint heirs in Christ, for we are all equal as Human Beings and heirs to God's Promise to Abraham which was fulfilled in Christ Jesus our Lord; his Death and Resurrection. Again, half measures do not exist for the Christian, you are either of God (Love/Peace) or you are of Satan (Hatred and everything else that is Anti-Christ). Jesus Himself states you are Defined By Your Actions and Your Words: "Either make the tree good and its fruit good, or make the tree bad and its fruit bad, for the tree is known by its fruit." Matthew 12:33

You are Known By Your Actions And Your Words. By Your Actions, especially in regard to How You Treat Others...this is how People will Know If You Truly are of Christ. If your Actions are not of Love; then no matter what you Profess; You are in Direct Opposition to God. Now, before I go into the main topic of this Paper/Presentation, I wish to reiterate this point; Evil is an Absolute Absence of Love. When it comes to what we are taught by others (our cultural beliefs specifically), our views of Other Individuals...if we place ourselves on a Pedestal above theirs...again this belief/fixed belief System (that Racists/Xenophobes and Christian Nationalists Believe Delusionally) is Anti-Christ for again in Galatians Chapter 3; Paul writes that we Humans are All One; all equal Heirs to God's Promise to Abraham...all joint heirs in Christ regardless of our ethnicity/gender; etc....all are Children of God. Hatred is Rebellion against God since in the fixed belief system (of Hatred), others are not created in God's Image...and subsequently the Moral Decline and the Removal of The Holy Spirit in Individuals begins with Hatred. Hatred is a Cancer and it removes the Holy Spirit in Others...thus Spiritual Evils always enter where The Holy Spirit isn't in individuals and then as they are consumed/dominated by "The Spirit of Hate", Lucifer uses them to do Unspeakable Evils and this is Irrevocably Proven...Hatred for Others is the Beginning of Moral Decline, Spiritual Death and the growth of Depravity. Father Gabrielle Amorth puts all this succinctly in this quote: What is the cause of this moral decline? Principally it is the diminution of the Christian conscious in the struggles against the powers of darkness. It is St. Paul who warns us: "For we are not contending against the flesh and blood, but against the principalities, against the powers, against the world rules of this present darkness, against the spiritual hosts of wickedness in the heavenly places" (Eph. 6:12). Here is how Vatican II frames the situation: "When the order of values is jumbled and bad is mixed with the good, individuals and groups pay heed solely to their own interests, and not to those of others. Thus it happens that the world ceases to be a place of true brotherhood. In our own day, the magnified power of humanity threatens to destroy the race itself. For a monumental struggle against the powers of darkness pervades the whole history of man. The battle was joined from the very origins of the world and will continue until the last day, as the Lord has attested[cf.

Matt. 24:13; 13:20-30, 36-43]. Caught in this conflict, man is obliged to wrestle constantly if he is to cling to what is good, nor can he achieve his own integrity without great efforts and the help of God's grace (4)".

So, returning to what we learned in Matthew Chapter 5 concerning the fact that we Christians have to reconcile with those we harm and have to Atone for what we've done. This is why We will Damn Ourselves if we Do Not Atone/Reconcile with the People We Harm. Those We as Christians and as Individuals Harm; they are Justified to Throw Us To The Courts. So We as Christians have to stand against Christian Nationalism and Protect/Defend their Victims from Them, to Safeguard Human Life.

Again we as Christians have to Safeguard and Protect Human Life and that means standing against Hatred and Wrong; Standing Against Depravity and doing all of this by Peace and Love/Legal Accountability (through Legal Accountability, the Individual gets the Mental Health Help and Spiritual Help they desperately need, they atone for what they've done and get to know of God, Repent and are ultimately Forgiven). Dietrich Bonhoeffer in these two quotes puts all of this succinctly.

"The followers of Christ have been called to peace. And they must not only have peace but make it. And to that end they renounce all violence and tumult. In the cause of Christ nothing is to be gained by such methods . His disciples keep the peace by choosing to endure suffering themselves rather than inflict it on others. They maintain fellowship where others would break it off. They renounce hatred and wrong. In so doing they overcome evil with good, and establish the peace of God in the midst of a world of war and hate." -Dietrich Bonhoeffer

"Let the fellowship of Christ examine itself and see whether it has given any token of love of Christ to the victims of the world's contumely and contempt, any token of that love of Christ which seeks to preserve, support and protect life. Otherwise however liturgically correct our serves are, and however devout our prayer, however brave our testimony, they will profit us nothing, nay rather, they must needs testify against us that we have as a Church ceased to follow our Lord. " - Dietrich Bonhoeffer, The Cost Of Discipleship

Again, with Christian Nationalism and that restless energy of Nationalism is highly dangerous especially to Human Life and those who listen/read my Sermons know why I am opposed to Christian Nationalism; the Zealotry...Hatred and Domination/Destruction and Death of all that aren't them. Again, you are given a choice in your decisions/actions...either for God or for Satan. As Father Gabrielle Amorth states concerning this: "God has given us everything; we must recognize only Him, adore only Him, and be guided by only Him, because inevitably, if we do not give to God, we necessarily give to Idols. "He who is not with me is against me," Jesus says (Matt. 12:30). Half measures do not exist. Either we are of Christ, or we are of Satan. At

times we would like to go halfway: serving Christ partway. Well, that is not possible. The devious method that the devil used with Adam and Eve works also with us: it leads us to think that evil and sin do not exist, that to sin, distancing ourselves from God, trying each thing for the pleasure of having experiences, is a gain. "So, in the end, what evil is there?" - Father Gabrielle Amorth

Again, Half Measures do not Exist. Either you are of God (Love) or you are of Satan (Hatred). As I've learned in my Exorcist Training, the Early Church Considered that there was No Discernable Difference between a Demoniac (Someone Under Vexation) and Someone Not Baptized. I will add from my Personal Experience doing Exorcism for over three years: "There is No Discernable Difference Between Someone Under Vexation/Spiritual Enthrallment and Someone Who Doesn't Have The Holy Spirit In Them." The Holy Spirit can only be Muted due to Lack of Love...so when Hatred enters a Person's Heart...this is the Point where The Holy Spirit is Muted in a Person. Our Enemies are Defined As "Those Who's Hearts Are Stifled With Hatred;" Dietrich Bonhoeffer sums this up in this Quote: "Love your enemies." The preceding commandment had spoken only of the passive endurance of evil; here Jesus goes further and bids us not only to hear with evil and the evil person patiently, not only to refrain from treating him as he treats us, but actively to engage in heart-felt love towards him. We are to serve our enemy in all things without hypocrisy and utter sincerity. No sacrifice which a lover would make for his beloved is too great for us to make for our enemy. If out of love for our brother we are willing to sacrifice goods, honour, and life, we must be prepared to do the same for our enemy. We are not to imagine that this is to condone his evil; such love proceeds from strength rather than weakness, from the truth rather than fear, and therefore it cannot be guilty of the hatred of another. And who is to be the object of such a love, if not those whose hearts are stifled with hatred (13)?"

Again as a Christian, your Enemy is Those Who's Hearts Are Stifled With Hatred. There was No Hatred Until Lucifer And His Angels Rebelled Against God. God is Love. Hatred is Rebellion Against God since God is the Source/Emanation of Love. Those Who Follow Lucifer are Consumed/Dominated by Hatred; numerous amounts unknowingly. Hatred is Separation From God and Spiritual Death. Hatred Is An Existential Threat To All Human Life. So knowing this all of this; the Final Judgement again...the Love You Give Others on this Earth will be the same Love that Judges You in The Final Judgement. Father Gabrielle Amorth states this succinctly in this quote: "The essential question is: What is the concrete rapport that each man has with God? As I have mentioned, the solemn response is found in the Gospel of Matthew. The saved and the damned will be chosen on the basis of their recognition or rejection of Christ in the infirm, in the hungry, and in the poor (Matt. 25:31-46). Two essential elements emerge from this. The first division, a schism, between those going to paradise and those going to hell, between the saved and the condemned. The second regards the manner in which this judgement will be accomplished- with love. God's Commandments and every other precept are summarized solely in one commandment: "Love one another as I have loved you"(John 15:12). We can easily understand that this command is addressed to each human conscious in every age, including

those who lived before Christ and those, who today, as in centuries past, never heard anyone speak of the Son of Man. Therefore, the finale of this stupendous passage is the beautiful passage from Matthew: “Truly, I say to you, as you did it to one of the least of these my brethren, you did it to me” (Matt. 25:40). If each man-apart from his religion, his culture, his epoch, and any other circumstance- has loved his neighbor, he has also loved the Lord Jesus in person. Any rapport with our brothers and sisters in any locality, any age, or any situation is, all in all, a rapport with Jesus Christ in person. Each human creature who achieves fulfillment in his human relationships is, at the same time, relating to God. For this reason, the love of neighbor is the fundamental precept of life. John the Evangelist helps us to understand that we cannot say that we love God, whom we cannot see, if we do not love our brother, whom we can see (cf. 1 John 4:20). The love that will judge us will be the same [love] that we have (or have not) practiced towards others, the same [love] that Jesus lived in His earthly experience and taught us in the Gospels, the same [love] to which we are entitled through the sacraments, through prayer, and through a life of faith. The ability to love comes from grace, and it is much reduced in those who do not know Christ; and even more so in those who know Him but do not follow Him, a choice that assumes a serious sin.” – Father Gabrielle Amorth



Stock Photo: Microsoft

Part: III

Now that we’ve talked about why Christian Nationalism, Hatred/Racism, which fueled the Trump Administration’s Practice of Caging Migrant Children to do severe Mental/Psychological Harm and Psychological Torture to them in an attempt to curb Immigration (which broke numerous Human Rights Articles in The Universal Declaration of Human Rights) and is Ethically and Morally Evil/Depraved and why Christian Nationalism/Trumpism and Project 2025 Should Be Rejected By The American People and Christians alike; so before we get into

my Plea to You to Sign Petitions and Help Form Laws to Protect Children...the question should be asked...what is Project 2025?

According to The BBC News Article: “Project 2025: A wish list for a Trump presidency, explained”:

“A proposed Republican Party platform is expected to be approved at the party’s national convention next week, but a much more detailed think-tank proposal has drawn attention for some of its suggestions. Project 2025 was created by the Heritage Foundation think tank and runs for nearly 900 pages. Spearheaded by former Trump administration officials, it calls for the sacking of thousands of civil servants, expanding the power of the president, dismantling the Department of Education, sweeping tax cuts, a ban on pornography, halting sales of the abortion pill, and a whole lot more. Donald Trump has attempted to distance himself from the document, while Democrats have sought to highlight the proposals, which they say are hints at what might happen during a second Trump term. It is common for Washington think-tanks of all political stripes to propose policy Wishlist’s for potential governments-in-waiting. The conservative Heritage Foundation has been producing policy blueprints for future Republican administrations since Ronald Reagan was elected in 1980. The current Project 2025 report was unveiled in April 2023. Many of the proposals would likely face immediate legal challenges if implemented. There has been a spike of attention around the document recently. Liberal opposition has ramped up now that Trump extended his polling lead in the wake of President Joe Biden's poor debate performance. The recent US Supreme Court decision that strengthened presidential immunity has further worried Democrats about what the former president might achieve if he returned to the White House.”

“With Mr. Biden's age increasingly a key election topic, the party has aimed to refocus their supporters' attention in an effort to mobilize voters against Project 2025 - which Mr. Biden recently said would "destroy America".

“In response, Trump has disavowed the document. “I know nothing about Project 2025,” Trump posted on his social media website, Truth Social. “I have no idea who is behind it. I disagree with some of the things they’re saying and some of the things they’re saying are absolutely ridiculous and abysmal.” But the project is chock full of former Trump advisers, including director Paul Dans, who was chief of staff at the Office of Personnel Management while Trump was president. Russell Vought, another former Trump administration official, wrote a key chapter in the document and also serves as the Republican National Committee’s 2024 platform policy director. More than 100 conservative organizations contributed to the document, Heritage says, including many that would be hugely influential in Washington if Republicans take back the White House.

In early July, Heritage president Kevin Roberts further stoked the ire around Project 25 by raising the prospect of political violence during a podcast interview.”

“We are in the process of the second American revolution, which will remain bloodless if the left allows it to be,” Mr. Roberts told the War Room podcast, founded by Trump adviser Steve Bannon. In response, the Biden campaign accused Trump and his allies of "dreaming of a violent revolution to destroy the very idea of America".

“[The Project 2025 document](#) claims four main policy aims: restore the family as the centrepiece of American life; dismantle the administrative state; defend the nation’s sovereignty and borders; and secure God-given individual rights to live freely. Here’s an outline of several of its key proposals:

“Government. Project 2025 proposes that the entire federal bureaucracy, including independent agencies such as the Department of Justice, be placed under direct presidential control - a controversial idea known as “unitary executive theory”. In practice, that would streamline decision-making, allowing the president to directly implement policies in a number of areas. The proposals also call for eliminating job protections for thousands of government employees, who could then be replaced by political appointees. The document labels the FBI a “bloated, arrogant, increasingly lawless organization”. It calls for drastic overhauls of this and several other federal agencies, as well as the complete elimination of the Department of Education.”

“Immigration. Increased funding for a wall on the US-Mexico border - one of Trump’s signature proposals in 2016 - is proposed in the document. Project 2025 also proposes dismantling the Department of Homeland Security and combining it with other immigration enforcement units in other agencies, creating a much larger and more powerful border policing operation. Other proposals include eliminating visa categories for crime and human trafficking victims, increasing fees on immigrants and allowing fast-tracked applications for migrants who pay a premium.”

“Climate And Economy. The document proposes slashing federal money for research and investment in renewable energy sources, and calls for the next president to "stop the war on oil and natural gas". Carbon-reduction goals would be replaced by efforts to increase energy production and energy security. The paper sets out two competing visions on tariffs, and is divided on whether the next president should try to boost free trade or raise barriers to imports. But the economic advisers suggest that a second Trump administration should slash corporate

and income taxes, abolish the Federal Reserve and even consider a return to gold-backed currency.”

“Abortion and family. Project 2025 does not call for a nationwide abortion ban. However, it proposes withdrawing the abortion pill mifepristone from the market, and using existing but little-enforced laws to stop the drug being sent through the post. The document suggests that the department of Health and Human Services should "maintain a biblically based, social science-reinforced definition of marriage and family."

“Tech and education. Under the proposals, pornography would be banned, and tech and telecoms companies that facilitate access to such content would be shut down. The document calls for school choice and parental control over schools, and takes aim at what it calls “woke propaganda”.. It proposes to eliminate a long list of terms from all laws and federal regulations, including “sexual orientation”, “gender equality”, "abortion" and “reproductive rights. Project 2025 aims to end diversity, equity and inclusion programs in schools and government departments as part of what it describes as a wider crackdown on "woke" ideology.”

“The plan's future. Project 2025 is backed by a \$22m (£17m) budget and includes strategies for implementing policies immediately after the presidential inauguration in January 2025. Heritage is also creating a database of conservative loyalists to fill government positions. Democrats led by Jared Huffman, a congressman from California, have launched a Stop Project 2025 Task Force (16)”.

I myself have read the “Project 2025 Paper (17)” and I will Reiterate that it is a grave Violation of Human Rights set in The Universal Declaration Of Human Rights. What Rights will be Abolished in Trump’s 2025 Project you might ask? Here is a List: End no fault divorce. Complete ban on abortions without exceptions. Ban contraceptives. Additional Tax breaks for Corporations and the 1%. Higher taxes for the working class. Elimination of Unions and Worker Protections (The Right to Unionize is a Protected Right in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (Article 20)). Raise the retirement age. Cut Social Security (Social Security is also a Protected Right in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (Article 22)). Cut Medicare (which again Social Security is a Protected Right in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (Article 22)). End the Affordable Care Act. Raise Prescription Drug Prices. Elimination of the Department of Education. Use public, taxpayer money to pay for private religious schools. End free and discounted school lunch programs (an abject failure of Matthew Chapter 25). End Civil Rights and DEI Protections in government. Ban African American and gender studies in all levels of Education. Ban books and curriculum about slavery. End Climate Protections (which is significantly increase the danger to Human Life. Article 3 of The Universal Declaration of

Human Rights... “The Right to Life” (will be compromised)). Increase Arctic Drilling. Deregulate big business and the oil industry. Promote and Expedite Capital Punishment. End Marriage Equality (which is a Protected Fundamental Right in The Universal Declaration Of Human Rights (Articles 1,2,3,6,7, 15 and 16)). Condemn Single Mothers while promoting only “traditional families”. Defund the FBI and Homeland Security. Use the Military to break up domestic Protests (Protesting Politics (Peacefully) is a Protected Fundamental Right in The Universal Declaration of Human Rights (Articles 18-21)). Mass Deportation of Immigrants and Incarceration into “Camps” (which breaks innumerable Human Rights Violations that are Protected in The Universal Declaration of Human Rights (in the Rights that Trump Administration Violated in His Zero Tolerance Policy I do have highlighted those specific rights incidentally down below)). End Birthright Citizenship (which is another Protected Right in The Universal Declaration Of Human Rights (Articles 1,2,3, 6, 7, 13-15)). Ban Muslims from entering the Country (another Racist/Extremist desire which again is Anti-Christ as well as breaking numerous Protected Rights in The Universal Declaration of Human Rights). Eliminate Federal Agencies like the FDA, EPA, NOAA and more.

Continue to pack the Supreme Court and lower Courts with Right Wing Judges. So, Project 2025’s Design to Pack the Courts with Right Wing Judges, this will spell doom...death certainly for all whom those Christian Nationalist Judge’s despise and yes no checks or balances on law and breaking numerous Human Rights Laws from The Universal Declaration of Human Rights...specifically the Right To Life ultimately. “Article 3: Everyone has the right to life, liberty and security of person”. In Article 3 of The Universal Declaration of Human Rights, The Article states that Everyone has the Right to Life. The Right To Life is the Right to Exist...a Right to Live. This is a Fundamental Human Right. From a Psychological Aspect and Sociological Aspect And As A Certified Human Rights Consultant, I will Certify; that any Nation State (which includes any possible future Christian Nationalist Extremist State or a Trump Dictatorship) that Violates Article 3 of The Universal Declaration Of Human Rights should not be trusted with Human Life (nor should be trusted with Children period. From a Sociological Aspect concerning Dehumanization (of the other) in Nationalism, especially when allied with Religion, such as Christian Nationalism and more specifically Zionism; this is the Theological observation: "But when political partisanship is held with religious devotion, politics becomes toxic and damaging to the soul. Bad politics teaches you who to hate; politics at its worst teaches you who to kill. And then you're on the highway to hell." - Brian Zand; Orthodox Theologian

Here are Two Specific Quotes on this as well:

"The Propagandist's purpose is to make one set of people forget that certain other sets of people are Human." - Aldous Huxley

"When You Teach Your Children To Devalue Others They Can Devalue Anyone...Even Their Parents" - Anonymous

So no, any Nation State (which includes a possible future Trump Dictatorship/Project 2025) that Violates Article 3 of The Universal Declaration Of Human Rights should not be trusted with Human Life (nor should ever be trusted with Children). Again, The Domination of Human Life by Fallen Christians and the subsequent Ending of a Human Life (expedited Executions) is an Abomination in general and an Abomination To God. The Harming of Children which will happen due to Project 2025/ Christian Nationalism is an Abomination to God. The Bible in numerous passages states Children are Precious to God and to not harm them. Here are multiple examples:

"Religion that is pure and undefiled before God the Father is this: to visit orphans and widows in their affliction, and to keep oneself unstained from the world." James 1:27

"but Jesus said, "Let the little children come to me and do not hinder them, for to such belongs the kingdom of heaven." Matthew 19:14

"if you do not oppress the sojourner, the fatherless, or the widow, or shed innocent blood in this place, and if you do not go after other gods to your own harm," Jeremiah 7:6

"You shall not mistreat any widow or fatherless child. If you do mistreat them, and they cry out to me, I will surely hear their cry," Exodus 22:22-23

"Thus says the Lord : Do justice and righteousness, and deliver from the hand of the oppressor him who has been robbed. And do no wrong or violence to the resident alien, the fatherless, and the widow, nor shed innocent blood in this place." Jeremiah 22:3

"do not oppress the widow, the fatherless, the sojourner, or the poor, and let none of you devise evil against another in your heart." Zechariah 7:10

We as Christians cannot treat others poorly, especially the stranger/immigrant/foreigner. So, what does the Bible specifically say about Welcome Foreigners and What Does Theology say about Immigrants/treating others well, Hatred/Liable for Judgement (God will and can enact the Law of Consequences; The 1st Universal Law Created after the Fall of Adam and Eve to counter our Negative Actions. As God's Children, God will discipline his Children as a Father has to discipline their kids when they do wrong (Hebrews 12:5-9)) and Judgement? Let's start with Scripture and work our way into Theology and Church Doctrine and then Human Rights.

“You shall not wrong a sojourner or oppress him, for you were sojourners in the land of Egypt. You shall not mistreat any widow or fatherless child. If you do mistreat them, and they cry out to me, I will surely hear their cry,” Exodus 22:21-23

In this verse, Moses speaks the commandment God decreed; that the Israelites shouldn't wrong the stranger(foreigner/immigrant) in their midst, lest their cries be heard by God and God will enact Law of Consequences on them (those who harmed the immigrants/widowers, children; etc.), most severely. This still applies to us Christians...do unto others. Surpassing the limitations placed on us Christians...we place ourselves as Liable for Judgement.

“You shall not pervert the justice due to your poor in his lawsuit. Keep far from a false charge, and do not kill the innocent and righteous, for I will not acquit the wicked. And you shall take no bribe, for a bribe blinds the clear-sighted and subverts the cause of those who are in the right. “You shall not oppress a sojourner. You know the heart of a sojourner, for you were sojourners in the land of Egypt.” Exodus 23:6-9

Again in Exodus 23, Moses states God's commandments to the Israelites of how they are not to oppress/harm the Sojourner (foreigner/immigrant).

“You shall have the same rule for the sojourner and for the native, for I am the Lord your God.” Leviticus 24:22

In this verse, what applies lawfully to the Israelites, equally applies to the foreigner/immigrant. Again, the do unto others.

"And the word of the Lord came to Zechariah, saying, “Thus says the Lord of hosts, Render true judgments, show kindness and mercy to one another, do not oppress the widow, the fatherless, the sojourner, or the poor, and let none of you devise evil against another in your heart.” Zechariah 7:8-10

In Zechariah 7, the Prophet stated to the Israelites that in order to serve God, they as Followers of Yahweh, had to be Kind; especially to widows, orphans, the poor and the sojourners

(foreigners/immigrants) and to not cherish maliciousness and malevolence towards others. This statute is true to this day especially; due to Imago Dei.

“Let brotherly love continue. Do not neglect to show hospitality to strangers, for thereby some have entertained angels unawares. Remember those who are in prison, as though in prison with them, and those who are mistreated, since you also are in the body.” Hebrews 13:1-3

In Hebrews 13, the Believer is reminded to treat others; especially strangers/foreigners well...because you as a Person may be dealing with an Angel or something else entirely. Again be very careful with what you do concerning others; especially with what you don't do concerning the suffering/needs of others. When it comes to how you Treat Others as well as when you receive Accountability for your Actions. God uses others to make it so. Sometimes you will encounter Angels as well. When dealing with People day to day, you have no knowledge of what exactly or whom you are dealing with, this is why you have to be very, very careful how you treat others (this is the double meaning of Imago Dei; In God's Image). Dietrich Bonhoeffer puts all this Succinctly in This Quote: “Jesus stands at the door knocking (Rev. 3:20). In total reality, he comes in the form of the beggar, of the dissolute human child in ragged clothes, asking for help. He confronts you in every person that you meet. As long as there are people, Christ will walk the earth as your neighbor, as the one through whom God calls you, speaks to you, makes demands on you. That is the great seriousness and great blessedness of the Advent message. Christ is standing at the door; he lives in the form of a human being among us (14)”

In the Amazing Brilliance of God; due to the Incarnation ...Jesus is in every Human/ever person that you meet (again, God knows every thought you make and every deed; they are all accounted for (especially actions towards others as well as inaction to the suffering of others (Apathy to Evil won't be counted as guiltless either). Sin again is defined as Harming Others). Which is why Imago Dei is very important and is certainly double meaning. How you treat others does in fact matter; which is why your treatment of others; especially strangers, is also very important. Again, Human Nature is Intrinsically Evil. Those Who believe they can do all that they wish to others and purposely fail Imago Dei, are in Open Rebellion Against God and place themselves in great Spiritual Peril, not only that of course but they are Liable for Judgement and again Lucifer uses those with Hatred in their Hearts to do Unspeakable Evils and this is Irrevocable Proven. When it comes to Hatred...Hatred “seeks to Hurt and to Destroy an Individual's Spiritual and Material Existence” (paraphrasing Dietrich Bonhoeffer).

The Bible and Theology both make it clear and this is why Christian Nationalism, Project 2025 and Trumpism has to be Rejected and Protections for Civil Rights and Child Protection Policies that won't allow Children to be harmed and placed in Cages, is Necessary. Domination, and Depravity, this is Christian Nationalism...to Control/Dominate Others and especially Kill Others they Despise. Project 2025 and Trumpism as a whole needs to be not only Rejected, but Legal Protections in Place to Safeguard Human Life, especially Children of whom will bear the brunt of this Depravity, a term I use very lightly. So, what can you do against such Evil? Do your Moral and Ethical Duty...Legally Hold Them to Accountability through Laws, sign Petitions.

This Evening (7/10/2024) I signed a Change.Org Petition to Oppose Project 2025. Here is what I wrote: "I, as a Certified Human Rights Consultant (Certified By The US Institute of Diplomacy And Human Rights) affirm that Project 2025 will completely strip numerous Protected Rights from The Universal Declaration Of Human Rights and has to be Morally and Ethically Opposed if you Cherish Human Life. I, as a Certified Human Rights Consultant (Certified By The US Institute of Diplomacy And Human Rights) affirm that Project 2025 will completely strip numerous Protected Rights from The Universal Declaration Of Human Rights and has to be Morally and Ethically Opposed if you Cherish Human Life. I myself have read the "Project 2025 Paper" and I will Reiterate that it is a grave Violation of Human Rights set in The Universal Declaration Of Human Rights. What Rights will be Abolished in Trump's 2025 Project you might ask? Here is a List: End no fault divorce. Complete ban on abortions without exceptions. Ban contraceptives. Additional Tax breaks for Corporations and the 1%. Higher taxes for the working class. Elimination of Unions and Worker Protections (The Right to Unionize is a Protected Right in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights). Raise the retirement age. Cut Social Security (Social Security is also a Protected Right in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights). Cut Medicare (which again Social Security is a Protected Right in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights). End the Affordable Care Act. Raise Prescription Drug Prices. Elimination of the Department of Education. Use public, taxpayer money to pay for private religious schools. End free and discounted school lunch programs (an abject failure of Matthew Chapter 25). End Civil Rights and DEI Protections in government. Ban African American and gender studies in all levels of Education. Ban books and curriculum about slavery. End Climate Protections (which is significantly increase the danger to Human Life. Article 3 of The Universal Declaration of Human Rights... "The Right to Life" (will be compromised)). Increase Arctic Drilling. Deregulate big business and the oil industry. Promote and Expedite Capital Punishment. End Marriage Equality (which is a Protected Fundamental Right in The Universal Declaration Of Human Rights). Condemn Single Mothers while promoting only "traditional families". Defund the FBI and Homeland Security. Use the Military to break up domestic Protests (Protesting Politics (Peacefully) is a Protected Fundamental Right in The Universal Declaration of Human Rights). Mass Deportation of Immigrants and Incarceration into "Camps" (which breaks innumerable Human Rights Violations that are Protected in The Universal Declaration of Human

Rights (in the Rights that Trump Administration Violated in His Zero Tolerance Policy I do have highlighted those specific rights incidentally down below)). End Birthright Citizenship (which is another Protected Right in The Universal Declaration Of Human Rights). Ban Muslims from entering the Country (another Racist/Extremist desire which again is Anti-Christ as well as breaking numerous Protected Rights in The Universal Declaration of Human Rights). Eliminate Federal Agencies like the FDA, EPA, NOAA and more. Continue to pack the Supreme Court and lower Courts with Right Wing Judges (which will spell doom...death certainly for all whom those Christian Nationalist Judge's despise and yes no checks or balances on law and breaking numerous Human Rights Laws from The Universal Declaration of Human Rights...specifically the Right To Life ultimately.) I will be doing a Human Rights Presentation On My YouTube Channel next Monday, so in the meantime, here is a link to My Human Rights Teaching Playlist: <https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PLXH-X55NM-asIumwI61MliXSBG3Rf7y4E>

Universal Declaration of Human Rights:

<https://www.un.org/en/about-us/universal-declaration-of-human-rights>

So, Please sign this Petition as well! Here is a Link to a Change.org Petition: "Stop Project 2025: Protect Our Rights and Choices!": <https://chng.it/WryjxqPs7G>



[This Photo](#) by Unknown Author is licensed under [CC BY-NC](#)

Part IV

So, now that we've talked about Project 2025 and why it is a Threat to Human Life concerning Human Rights Violations, what is the Convention Of The Rights Of The Child?

The Convention Of The Rights Of The Child was a Series of Laws combined in a Universal Human Rights Treaty of 54 Articles Adopted By The United Nations in 1989 and Enforced by The United Nations in 1990. The Convention Of The Rights Of The Child (CRC) was ratified by 196 Countries/States of the United Nations, though the USA did not sign the Convention Of The Rights Of The Child; which is why The Trump Administration was able to place Migrant Children in Cages in their highly Racist and Inhumane Trump's Zero Tolerance Immigration Policy. So in this Presentation, I am Advocating For The United States of America to Sign/Adopt The Convention Of The Rights Of The Child. This Proposal/Presentation does include fully The Convention Of The Rights Of The Child as transcribed below:

The Convention Of The Rights Of The Child:

Preamble:

"The States Parties to the present Convention, Considering that, in accordance with the principles proclaimed in the Charter of the United Nations, recognition of the inherent dignity and of the equal and inalienable rights of all members of the human family is the foundation of freedom, justice and peace in the world, Bearing in mind that the peoples of the United Nations have, in the Charter, reaffirmed their faith in fundamental human rights and in the dignity and worth of the human person, and have determined to promote social progress and better standards of life in larger freedom, Recognizing that the United Nations has, in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and in the International Covenants on Human Rights, proclaimed and agreed that everyone is entitled to all the rights and freedoms set forth therein, without distinction of any

kind, such as race, colour, sex, language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social origin, property, birth or other status, Recalling that, in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the United Nations has proclaimed that childhood is entitled to special care and assistance, Convinced that the family, as the fundamental group of society and the natural environment for the growth and well-being of all its members and particularly children, should be afforded the necessary protection and assistance so that it can fully assume its responsibilities within the community, Recognizing that the child, for the full and harmonious development of his or her personality, should grow up in a family environment, in an atmosphere of happiness, love and understanding, Considering that the child should be fully prepared to live an individual life in society, and brought up in the spirit of the ideals proclaimed in the Charter of the United Nations, and in particular in the spirit of peace, dignity, tolerance, freedom, equality and solidarity, Bearing in mind that the need to extend particular care to the child has been stated in the Geneva Declaration of the Rights of the Child of 1924 and in the Declaration of the Rights of the Child adopted by the General Assembly on 20 November 1959 and recognized in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, in the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (in particular in articles 23 and 24), in the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (in particular in article 10) and in the statutes and relevant instruments of specialized agencies and international organizations concerned with the welfare of children, Bearing in mind that, as indicated in the Declaration of the Rights of the Child, "the child, by reason of his physical and mental immaturity, needs special safeguards and care, including appropriate legal protection, before as well as after birth", Recalling the provisions of the Declaration on Social and Legal Principles relating to the Protection and Welfare of Children, with Special Reference to Foster Placement and Adoption Nationally and Internationally; the United Nations Standard Minimum Rules for the Administration of Juvenile Justice (The Beijing Rules); and the Declaration on the Protection of Women and Children in Emergency and Armed Conflict, Recognizing that, in all countries in the world, there are children living in exceptionally difficult conditions, and that such children need special consideration, Taking due account of the importance of the traditions and cultural values of each people for the protection and harmonious development of the child, Recognizing the importance of international co-operation for improving the living conditions of children in every country, in particular in the developing countries, Have agreed as follows:

Article 1: "For the purposes of the present Convention, a child means every human being below the age of eighteen years unless under the law applicable to the child, majority is attained earlier."

Article 2: "1. States Parties shall respect and ensure the rights set forth in the present Convention to each child within their jurisdiction without discrimination of any kind, irrespective of the

child's or his or her parent's or legal guardian's race, colour, sex, language, religion, political or other opinion, national, ethnic or social origin, property, disability, birth or other status. 2. States Parties shall take all appropriate measures to ensure that the child is protected against all forms of discrimination or punishment on the basis of the status, activities, expressed opinions, or beliefs of the child's parents, legal guardians, or family members.”

Article 3: “1. In all actions concerning children, whether undertaken by public or private social welfare institutions, courts of law, administrative authorities or legislative bodies, the best interests of the child shall be a primary consideration. 2. States Parties undertake to ensure the child such protection and care as is necessary for his or her well-being, taking into account the rights and duties of his or her parents, legal guardians, or other individuals legally responsible for him or her, and, to this end, shall take all appropriate legislative and administrative measures. 3. States Parties shall ensure that the institutions, services and facilities responsible for the care or protection of children shall conform with the standards established by competent authorities, particularly in the areas of safety, health, in the number and suitability of their staff, as well as competent supervision.”

Article 4: “States Parties shall undertake all appropriate legislative, administrative, and other measures for the implementation of the rights recognized in the present Convention. With regard to economic, social and cultural rights, States Parties shall undertake such measures to the maximum extent of their available resources and, where needed, within the framework of international co-operation.”

Article 5: “States Parties shall respect the responsibilities, rights and duties of parents or, where applicable, the members of the extended family or community as provided for by local custom, legal guardians or other persons legally responsible for the child, to provide, in a manner consistent with the evolving capacities of the child, appropriate direction and guidance in the exercise by the child of the rights recognized in the present Convention.”

Article 6: “1. States Parties recognize that every child has the inherent right to life. 2. States Parties shall ensure to the maximum extent possible the survival and development of the child.”

Article 7: 1. The child shall be registered immediately after birth and shall have the right from birth to a name, the right to acquire a nationality and, as far as possible, the right to know and be

cared for by his or her parents. 2. States Parties shall ensure the implementation of these rights in accordance with their national law and their obligations under the relevant international instruments in this field, in particular where the child would otherwise be stateless.”

Article 8: “1. States Parties undertake to respect the right of the child to preserve his or her identity, including nationality, name and family relations as recognized by law without unlawful interference. 2. Where a child is illegally deprived of some or all of the elements of his or her identity, States Parties shall provide appropriate assistance and protection, with a view to re-establishing speedily his or her identity.”

Article 9: “1. States Parties shall ensure that a child shall not be separated from his or her parents against their will, except when competent authorities subject to judicial review determine, in accordance with applicable law and procedures, that such separation is necessary for the best interests of the child. Such determination may be necessary in a particular case such as one involving abuse or neglect of the child by the parents, or one where the parents are living separately and a decision must be made as to the child's place of residence. 2. In any proceedings pursuant to paragraph 1 of the present article, all interested parties shall be given an opportunity to participate in the proceedings and make their views known. 3. States Parties shall respect the right of the child who is separated from one or both parents to maintain personal relations and direct contact with both parents on a regular basis, except if it is contrary to the child's best interests. 4. Where such separation results from any action initiated by a State Party, such as the detention, imprisonment, exile, deportation or death (including death arising from any cause while the person is in the custody of the State) of one or both parents or of the child, that State Party shall, upon request, provide the parents, the child or, if appropriate, another member of the family with the essential information concerning the whereabouts of the absent member(s) of the family unless the provision of the information would be detrimental to the well-being of the child. States Parties shall further ensure that the submission of such a request shall of itself entail no adverse consequences for the person(s) concerned.”

Article 10: “1. In accordance with the obligation of States Parties under article 9, paragraph 1, applications by a child or his or her parents to enter or leave a State Party for the purpose of family reunification shall be dealt with by States Parties in a positive, humane and expeditious manner. States Parties shall further ensure that the submission of such a request shall entail no adverse consequences for the applicants and for the members of their family. 2. A child whose

parents reside in different States shall have the right to maintain on a regular basis, save in exceptional circumstances personal relations and direct contacts with both parents. Towards that end and in accordance with the obligation of States Parties under article 9, paragraph 1, States Parties shall respect the right of the child and his or her parents to leave any country, including their own, and to enter their own country. The right to leave any country shall be subject only to such restrictions as are prescribed by law and which are necessary to protect the national security, public order (ordre public), public health or morals or the rights and freedoms of others and are consistent with the other rights recognized in the present Convention.”

Article 11: “1. States Parties shall take measures to combat the illicit transfer and non-return of children abroad. 2. To this end, States Parties shall promote the conclusion of bilateral or multilateral agreements or accession to existing agreements.”

Article 12: “1. States Parties shall assure to the child who is capable of forming his or her own views the right to express those views freely in all matters affecting the child, the views of the child being given due weight in accordance with the age and maturity of the child. 2. For this purpose, the child shall in particular be provided the opportunity to be heard in any judicial and administrative proceedings affecting the child, either directly, or through a representative or an appropriate body, in a manner consistent with the procedural rules of national law.”

Article 13: “1. The child shall have the right to freedom of expression; this right shall include freedom to seek, receive and impart information and ideas of all kinds, regardless of frontiers, either orally, in writing or in print, in the form of art, or through any other media of the child's choice. 2. The exercise of this right may be subject to certain restrictions, but these shall only be such as are provided by law and are necessary: (a) For respect of the rights or reputations of others; or (b) For the protection of national security or of public order (ordre public), or of public health or morals.”

Article 14: “1. States Parties shall respect the right of the child to freedom of thought, conscience and religion. 2. States Parties shall respect the rights and duties of the parents and, when applicable, legal guardians, to provide direction to the child in the exercise of his or her right in a manner consistent with the evolving capacities of the child. 3. Freedom to manifest one's religion or beliefs may be subject only to such limitations as are prescribed by law and are necessary to protect public safety, order, health or morals, or the fundamental rights and freedoms of others.”

Article 15: “1. States Parties recognize the rights of the child to freedom of association and to freedom of peaceful assembly. 2. No restrictions may be placed on the exercise of these rights other than those imposed in conformity with the law and which are necessary in a democratic society in the interests of national security or public safety, public order (ordre public), the protection of public health or morals or the protection of the rights and freedoms of others.”

Article 16: “1. No child shall be subjected to arbitrary or unlawful interference with his or her privacy, family, home or correspondence, nor to unlawful attacks on his or her honour and reputation. 2. The child has the right to the protection of the law against such interference or attacks.”

Article 17: “States Parties recognize the important function performed by the mass media and shall ensure that the child has access to information and material from a diversity of national and international sources, especially those aimed at the promotion of his or her social, spiritual and moral well-being and physical and mental health. To this end, States Parties shall: (a) Encourage the mass media to disseminate information and material of social and cultural benefit to the child and in accordance with the spirit of article 29; (b) Encourage international co-operation in the production, exchange and dissemination of such information and material from a diversity of cultural, national and international sources; (c) Encourage the production and dissemination of children's books; (d) Encourage the mass media to have particular regard to the linguistic needs of the child who belongs to a minority group or who is indigenous; (e) Encourage the development of appropriate guidelines for the protection of the child from information and material injurious to his or her well-being, bearing in mind the provisions of articles 13 and 18.”

Article 18: “1. States Parties shall use their best efforts to ensure recognition of the principle that both parents have common responsibilities for the upbringing and development of the child. Parents or, as the case may be, legal guardians, have the primary responsibility for the upbringing and development of the child. The best interests of the child will be their basic concern. 2. For the purpose of guaranteeing and promoting the rights set forth in the present Convention, States Parties shall render appropriate assistance to parents and legal guardians in the performance of their child-rearing responsibilities and shall ensure the development of institutions, facilities and services for the care of children. 3. States Parties shall take all appropriate measures to ensure that children of working parents have the right to benefit from child-care services and facilities for which they are eligible.”

Article 19: “1. States Parties shall take all appropriate legislative, administrative, social and educational measures to protect the child from all forms of physical or mental violence, injury or abuse, neglect or negligent treatment, maltreatment or exploitation, including sexual abuse, while in the care of parent(s), legal guardian(s) or any other person who has the care of the child. 2. Such protective measures should, as appropriate, include effective procedures for the establishment of social programmes to provide necessary support for the child and for those who have the care of the child, as well as for other forms of prevention and for identification, reporting, referral, investigation, treatment and follow-up of instances of child maltreatment described heretofore, and, as appropriate, for judicial involvement.”

Article 20: “1. A child temporarily or permanently deprived of his or her family environment, or in whose own best interests cannot be allowed to remain in that environment, shall be entitled to special protection and assistance provided by the State. 2. States Parties shall in accordance with their national laws ensure alternative care for such a child. 3. Such care could include, inter alia, foster placement, kafalah of Islamic law, adoption or if necessary placement in suitable institutions for the care of children. When considering solutions, due regard shall be paid to the desirability of continuity in a child's upbringing and to the child's ethnic, religious, cultural and linguistic background.”

Article 21: “States Parties that recognize and/or permit the system of adoption shall ensure that the best interests of the child shall be the paramount consideration and they shall:

(a) Ensure that the adoption of a child is authorized only by competent authorities who determine, in accordance with applicable law and procedures and on the basis of all pertinent and reliable information, that the adoption is permissible in view of the child's status concerning parents, relatives and legal guardians and that, if required, the persons concerned have given their informed consent to the adoption on the basis of such counselling as may be necessary; (b) Recognize that inter-country adoption may be considered as an alternative means of child's care, if the child cannot be placed in a foster or an adoptive family or cannot in any suitable manner be cared for in the child's country of origin; (c) Ensure that the child concerned by inter-country adoption enjoys safeguards and standards equivalent to those existing in the case of national adoption; (d) Take all appropriate measures to ensure that, in inter-country adoption, the placement does not result in improper financial gain for those involved in it; (e) Promote, where appropriate, the objectives of the present article by concluding bilateral or multilateral arrangements or agreements, and endeavour, within this framework, to ensure that the placement of the child in another country is carried out by competent authorities or organs.”

Article 22: “1. States Parties shall take appropriate measures to ensure that a child who is seeking refugee status or who is considered a refugee in accordance with applicable international or domestic law and procedures shall, whether unaccompanied or accompanied by his or her parents or by any other person, receive appropriate protection and humanitarian assistance in the enjoyment of applicable rights set forth in the present Convention and in other international human rights or humanitarian instruments to which the said States are Parties. 2. For this purpose, States Parties shall provide, as they consider appropriate, co-operation in any efforts by the United Nations and other competent intergovernmental organizations or non-governmental organizations co-operating with the United Nations to protect and assist such a child and to trace the parents or other members of the family of any refugee child in order to obtain information necessary for reunification with his or her family. In cases where no parents or other members of the family can be found, the child shall be accorded the same protection as any other child permanently or temporarily deprived of his or her family environment for any reason , as set forth in the present Convention.”

Article 23: “1. States Parties recognize that a mentally or physically disabled child should enjoy a full and decent life, in conditions which ensure dignity, promote self-reliance and facilitate the child's active participation in the community. 2. States Parties recognize the right of the disabled child to special care and shall encourage and ensure the extension, subject to available resources, to the eligible child and those responsible for his or her care, of assistance for which application is made and which is appropriate to the child's condition and to the circumstances of the parents or others caring for the child. 3. Recognizing the special needs of a disabled child, assistance extended in accordance with paragraph 2 of the present article shall be provided free of charge, whenever possible, taking into account the financial resources of the parents or others caring for the child, and shall be designed to ensure that the disabled child has effective access to and receives education, training, health care services, rehabilitation services, preparation for employment and recreation opportunities in a manner conducive to the child's achieving the fullest possible social integration and individual development, including his or her cultural and spiritual development. 4. States Parties shall promote, in the spirit of international cooperation, the exchange of appropriate information in the field of preventive health care and of medical, psychological and functional treatment of disabled children, including dissemination of and access to information concerning methods of rehabilitation, education and vocational services, with the aim of enabling States Parties to improve their capabilities and skills and to widen their experience in these areas. In this regard, particular account shall be taken of the needs of developing countries.”

Article 24: “1. States Parties recognize the right of the child to the enjoyment of the highest attainable standard of health and to facilities for the treatment of illness and rehabilitation of

health. States Parties shall strive to ensure that no child is deprived of his or her right of access to such health care services. 2. States Parties shall pursue full implementation of this right and, in particular, shall take appropriate measures: (a) To diminish infant and child mortality; (b) To ensure the provision of necessary medical assistance and health care to all children with emphasis on the development of primary health care; (c) To combat disease and malnutrition, including within the framework of primary health care, through, inter alia, the application of readily available technology and through the provision of adequate nutritious foods and clean drinking-water, taking into consideration the dangers and risks of environmental pollution; (d) To ensure appropriate pre-natal and post-natal health care for mothers; (e) To ensure that all segments of society, in particular parents and children, are informed, have access to education and are supported in the use of basic knowledge of child health and nutrition, the advantages of breastfeeding, hygiene and environmental sanitation and the prevention of accidents; (f) To develop preventive health care, guidance for parents and family planning education and services. 3. States Parties shall take all effective and appropriate measures with a view to abolishing traditional practices prejudicial to the health of children. 4. States Parties undertake to promote and encourage international co-operation with a view to achieving progressively the full realization of the right recognized in the present article. In this regard, particular account shall be taken of the needs of developing countries.”

Article 25: “States Parties recognize the right of a child who has been placed by the competent authorities for the purposes of care, protection or treatment of his or her physical or mental health, to a periodic review of the treatment provided to the child and all other circumstances relevant to his or her placement.”

Article 26: “1. States Parties shall recognize for every child the right to benefit from social security, including social insurance, and shall take the necessary measures to achieve the full realization of this right in accordance with their national law. 2. The benefits should, where appropriate, be granted, taking into account the resources and the circumstances of the child and persons having responsibility for the maintenance of the child, as well as any other consideration relevant to an application for benefits made by or on behalf of the child.”

Article 27: “1. States Parties recognize the right of every child to a standard of living adequate for the child's physical, mental, spiritual, moral and social development. 2. The parent(s) or others responsible for the child have the primary responsibility to secure, within their abilities and financial capacities, the conditions of living necessary for the child's development. 3. States Parties, in accordance with national conditions and within their means, shall take appropriate measures to assist parents and others responsible for the child to implement this right and shall in

case of need provide material assistance and support programmes, particularly with regard to nutrition, clothing and housing. 4. States Parties shall take all appropriate measures to secure the recovery of maintenance for the child from the parents or other persons having financial responsibility for the child, both within the State Party and from abroad. In particular, where the person having financial responsibility for the child lives in a State different from that of the child, States Parties shall promote the accession to international agreements or the conclusion of such agreements, as well as the making of other appropriate arrangements.”

Article 28: “1. States Parties recognize the right of the child to education, and with a view to achieving this right progressively and on the basis of equal opportunity, they shall, in particular: (a) Make primary education compulsory and available free to all; (b) Encourage the development of different forms of secondary education, including general and vocational education, make them available and accessible to every child, and take appropriate measures such as the introduction of free education and offering financial assistance in case of need; (c) Make higher education accessible to all on the basis of capacity by every appropriate means; (d) Make educational and vocational information and guidance available and accessible to all children; (e) Take measures to encourage regular attendance at schools and the reduction of drop-out rates. 2. States Parties shall take all appropriate measures to ensure that school discipline is administered in a manner consistent with the child's human dignity and in conformity with the present Convention. 3. States Parties shall promote and encourage international cooperation in matters relating to education, in particular with a view to contributing to the elimination of ignorance and illiteracy throughout the world and facilitating access to scientific and technical knowledge and modern teaching methods. In this regard, particular account shall be taken of the needs of developing countries.”

Article 29: “1. States Parties agree that the education of the child shall be directed to: (a) The development of the child's personality, talents and mental and physical abilities to their fullest potential; (b) The development of respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms, and for the principles enshrined in the Charter of the United Nations; (c) The development of respect for the child's parents, his or her own cultural identity, language and values, for the national values of the country in which the child is living, the country from which he or she may originate, and for civilizations different from his or her own; (d) The preparation of the child for responsible life in a free society, in the spirit of understanding, peace, tolerance, equality of sexes, and friendship among all peoples, ethnic, national and religious groups and persons of indigenous origin; (e) The development of respect for the natural environment. 2. No part of the present article or article 28 shall be construed so as to interfere with the liberty of individuals and bodies to establish and direct educational institutions, subject always to the observance of the principle

set forth in paragraph 1 of the present article and to the requirements that the education given in such institutions shall conform to such minimum standards as may be laid down by the State.”

Article 30: “In those States in which ethnic, religious or linguistic minorities or persons of indigenous origin exist, a child belonging to such a minority or who is indigenous shall not be denied the right, in community with other members of his or her group, to enjoy his or her own culture, to profess and practise his or her own religion, or to use his or her own language.”

Article 31: “1. States Parties recognize the right of the child to rest and leisure, to engage in play and recreational activities appropriate to the age of the child and to participate freely in cultural life and the arts. 2. States Parties shall respect and promote the right of the child to participate fully in cultural and artistic life and shall encourage the provision of appropriate and equal opportunities for cultural, artistic, recreational and leisure activity.”

Article 32: “1. States Parties recognize the right of the child to be protected from economic exploitation and from performing any work that is likely to be hazardous or to interfere with the child's education, or to be harmful to the child's health or physical, mental, spiritual, moral or social development. 2. States Parties shall take legislative, administrative, social and educational measures to ensure the implementation of the present article. To this end, and having regard to the relevant provisions of other international instruments, States Parties shall in particular: (a) Provide for a minimum age or minimum ages for admission to employment; (b) Provide for appropriate regulation of the hours and conditions of employment; (c) Provide for appropriate penalties or other sanctions to ensure the effective enforcement of the present article.”

Article 33: States Parties shall take all appropriate measures, including legislative, administrative, social and educational measures, to protect children from the illicit use of narcotic drugs and psychotropic substances as defined in the relevant international treaties, and to prevent the use of children in the illicit production and trafficking of such substances.”

Article 34: “States Parties undertake to protect the child from all forms of sexual exploitation and sexual abuse. For these purposes, States Parties shall in particular take all appropriate national, bilateral and multilateral measures to prevent: (a) The inducement or coercion of a child to engage in any unlawful sexual activity; (b) The exploitative use of children in prostitution or other unlawful sexual practices; (c) The exploitative use of children in pornographic performances and materials.”

Article 35: States Parties shall take all appropriate national, bilateral and multilateral measures to prevent the abduction of, the sale of or traffic in children for any purpose or in any form.”

Article 36: “States Parties shall protect the child against all other forms of exploitation prejudicial to any aspects of the child's welfare.”

Article 37: “States Parties shall ensure that: (a) No child shall be subjected to torture or other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment. Neither capital punishment nor life imprisonment without possibility of release shall be imposed for offences committed by persons below eighteen years of age; (b) No child shall be deprived of his or her liberty unlawfully or arbitrarily. The arrest, detention or imprisonment of a child shall be in conformity with the law and shall be used only as a measure of last resort and for the shortest appropriate period of time; (c) Every child deprived of liberty shall be treated with humanity and respect for the inherent dignity of the human person, and in a manner which takes into account the needs of persons of his or her age. In particular, every child deprived of liberty shall be separated from adults unless it is considered in the child's best interest not to do so and shall have the right to maintain contact with his or her family through correspondence and visits, save in exceptional circumstances; (d) Every child deprived of his or her liberty shall have the right to prompt access to legal and other appropriate assistance, as well as the right to challenge the legality of the deprivation of his or her liberty before a court or other competent, independent and impartial authority, and to a prompt decision on any such action.”

Article 38: “1. States Parties undertake to respect and to ensure respect for rules of international humanitarian law applicable to them in armed conflicts which are relevant to the child. 2. States Parties shall take all feasible measures to ensure that persons who have not attained the age of fifteen years do not take a direct part in hostilities. 3. States Parties shall refrain from recruiting any person who has not attained the age of fifteen years into their armed forces. In recruiting among those persons who have attained the age of fifteen years but who have not attained the age of eighteen years, States Parties shall endeavour to give priority to those who are oldest. 4. In accordance with their obligations under international humanitarian law to protect the civilian population in armed conflicts, States Parties shall take all feasible measures to ensure protection and care of children who are affected by an armed conflict.”

Article 39: “States Parties shall take all appropriate measures to promote physical and psychological recovery and social reintegration of a child victim of: any form of neglect,

exploitation, or abuse; torture or any other form of cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment; or armed conflicts. Such recovery and reintegration shall take place in an environment which fosters the health, self-respect and dignity of the child.”

Article 40: “1. States Parties recognize the right of every child alleged as, accused of, or recognized as having infringed the penal law to be treated in a manner consistent with the promotion of the child's sense of dignity and worth, which reinforces the child's respect for the human rights and fundamental freedoms of others and which takes into account the child's age and the desirability of promoting the child's reintegration and the child's assuming a constructive role in society. 2. To this end, and having regard to the relevant provisions of international instruments, States Parties shall, in particular, ensure that: (a) No child shall be alleged as, be accused of, or recognized as having infringed the penal law by reason of acts or omissions that were not prohibited by national or international law at the time they were committed; (b) Every child alleged as or accused of having infringed the penal law has at least the following guarantees: (i) To be presumed innocent until proven guilty according to law; (ii) To be informed promptly and directly of the charges against him or her, and, if appropriate, through his or her parents or legal guardians, and to have legal or other appropriate assistance in the preparation and presentation of his or her defence; (iii) To have the matter determined without delay by a competent, independent and impartial authority or judicial body in a fair hearing according to law, in the presence of legal or other appropriate assistance and, unless it is considered not to be in the best interest of the child, in particular, taking into account his or her age or situation, his or her parents or legal guardians; (iv) Not to be compelled to give testimony or to confess guilt; to examine or have examined adverse witnesses and to obtain the participation and examination of witnesses on his or her behalf under conditions of equality; (v) If considered to have infringed the penal law, to have this decision and any measures imposed in consequence thereof reviewed by a higher competent, independent and impartial authority or judicial body according to law; (vi) To have the free assistance of an interpreter if the child cannot understand or speak the language used; (vii) To have his or her privacy fully respected at all stages of the proceedings. 3. States Parties shall seek to promote the establishment of laws, procedures, authorities and institutions specifically applicable to children alleged as, accused of, or recognized as having infringed the penal law, and, in particular: (a) The establishment of a minimum age below which children shall be presumed not to have the capacity to infringe the penal law; (b) Whenever appropriate and desirable, measures for dealing with such children without resorting to judicial proceedings, providing that human rights and legal safeguards are fully respected. 4. A variety of dispositions, such as care, guidance and supervision orders; counselling; probation; foster care; education and vocational training programmes and other alternatives to institutional care shall be available to ensure that children are dealt with in a manner appropriate to their well-being and proportionate both to their circumstances and the offence.”

Article 41: Nothing in the present Convention shall affect any provisions which are more conducive to the realization of the rights of the child and which may be contained in: (a) The law of a State party; or (b) International law in force for that State.”

Article 42: “States Parties undertake to make the principles and provisions of the Convention widely known, by appropriate and active means, to adults and children alike.”

Article 43: “1. For the purpose of examining the progress made by States Parties in achieving the realization of the obligations undertaken in the present Convention, there shall be established a Committee on the Rights of the Child, which shall carry out the functions hereinafter provided. 2. The Committee shall consist of eighteen experts of high moral standing and recognized competence in the field covered by this Convention.^{1/} The members of the Committee shall be elected by States Parties from among their nationals and shall serve in their personal capacity, consideration being given to equitable geographical distribution, as well as to the principal legal systems. 3. The members of the Committee shall be elected by secret ballot from a list of persons nominated by States Parties. Each State Party may nominate one person from among its own nationals. 4. The initial election to the Committee shall be held no later than six months after the date of the entry into force of the present Convention and thereafter every second year. At least four months before the date of each election, the Secretary-General of the United Nations shall address a letter to States Parties inviting them to submit their nominations within two months. The Secretary-General shall subsequently prepare a list in alphabetical order of all persons thus nominated, indicating States Parties which have nominated them, and shall submit it to the States Parties to the present Convention. 5. The elections shall be held at meetings of States Parties convened by the Secretary-General at United Nations Headquarters. At those meetings, for which two thirds of States Parties shall constitute a quorum, the persons elected to the Committee shall be those who obtain the largest number of votes and an absolute majority of the votes of the representatives of States Parties present and voting. 6. The members of the Committee shall be elected for a term of four years. They shall be eligible for re-election if renominated. The term of five of the members elected at the first election shall expire at the end of two years; immediately after the first election, the names of these five members shall be chosen by lot by the Chairman of the meeting. 7. If a member of the Committee dies or resigns or declares that for any other cause he or she can no longer perform the duties of the Committee, the State Party which nominated the member shall appoint another expert from among its nationals to serve for the remainder of the term, subject to the approval of the Committee. 8. The Committee shall establish its own rules of procedure. 9. The Committee shall elect its officers for a period of two years. 10. The meetings of the Committee shall normally be held at United Nations Headquarters or at any other convenient place as determined by the Committee. The Committee shall normally meet annually. The duration of the meetings of the Committee shall be determined, and

reviewed, if necessary, by a meeting of the States Parties to the present Convention, subject to the approval of the General Assembly. 11. The Secretary-General of the United Nations shall provide the necessary staff and facilities for the effective performance of the functions of the Committee under the present Convention. 12. With the approval of the General Assembly, the members of the Committee established under the present Convention shall receive emoluments from United Nations resources on such terms and conditions as the Assembly may decide.”

Article 44: “1. States Parties undertake to submit to the Committee, through the Secretary-General of the United Nations, reports on the measures they have adopted which give effect to the rights recognized herein and on the progress made on the enjoyment of those rights (a) Within two years of the entry into force of the Convention for the State Party concerned; (b) Thereafter every five years. 2. Reports made under the present article shall indicate factors and difficulties, if any, affecting the degree of fulfilment of the obligations under the present Convention. Reports shall also contain sufficient information to provide the Committee with a comprehensive understanding of the implementation of the Convention in the country concerned. 3. A State Party which has submitted a comprehensive initial report to the Committee need not, in its subsequent reports submitted in accordance with paragraph 1 (b) of the present article, repeat basic information previously provided. 4. The Committee may request from States Parties further information relevant to the implementation of the Convention. 5. The Committee shall submit to the General Assembly, through the Economic and Social Council, every two years, reports on its activities. 6. States Parties shall make their reports widely available to the public in their own countries.”

Article 45: In order to foster the effective implementation of the Convention and to encourage international co-operation in the field covered by the Convention: (a) The specialized agencies, the United Nations Children's Fund, and other United Nations organs shall be entitled to be represented at the consideration of the implementation of such provisions of the present Convention as fall within the scope of their mandate. The Committee may invite the specialized agencies, the United Nations Children's Fund and other competent bodies as it may consider appropriate to provide expert advice on the implementation of the Convention in areas falling within the scope of their respective mandates. The Committee may invite the specialized agencies, the United Nations Children's Fund, and other United Nations organs to submit reports on the implementation of the Convention in areas falling within the scope of their activities; (b) The Committee shall transmit, as it may consider appropriate, to the specialized agencies, the United Nations Children's Fund and other competent bodies, any reports from States Parties that

contain a request, or indicate a need, for technical advice or assistance, along with the Committee's observations and suggestions, if any, on these requests or indications; (c) The Committee may recommend to the General Assembly to request the Secretary-General to undertake on its behalf studies on specific issues relating to the rights of the child; (d) The Committee may make suggestions and general recommendations based on information received pursuant to articles 44 and 45 of the present Convention. Such suggestions and general recommendations shall be transmitted to any State Party concerned and reported to the General Assembly, together with comments, if any, from States Parties.”

Article 46: “The present Convention shall be open for signature by all States.”

Article 47: “The present Convention is subject to ratification. Instruments of ratification shall be deposited with the Secretary-General of the United Nations.”

Article 48: “The present Convention shall remain open for accession by any State. The instruments of accession shall be deposited with the Secretary-General of the United Nations.”

Article 49: “1. The present Convention shall enter into force on the thirtieth day following the date of deposit with the Secretary-General of the United Nations of the twentieth instrument of ratification or accession. 2. For each State ratifying or acceding to the Convention after the deposit of the twentieth instrument of ratification or accession, the Convention shall enter into force on the thirtieth day after the deposit by such State of its instrument of ratification or accession.”

Article 50: “1. Any State Party may propose an amendment and file it with the Secretary-General of the United Nations. The Secretary-General shall thereupon communicate the proposed amendment to States Parties, with a request that they indicate whether they favour a conference of States Parties for the purpose of considering and voting upon the proposals. In the event that, within four months from the date of such communication, at least one third of the States Parties favour such a conference, the Secretary-General shall convene the conference under the auspices of the United Nations. Any amendment adopted by a majority of States Parties present and voting at the conference shall be submitted to the General Assembly for approval. 2. An

amendment adopted in accordance with paragraph 1 of the present article shall enter into force when it has been approved by the General Assembly of the United Nations and accepted by a two-thirds majority of States Parties. 3. When an amendment enters into force, it shall be binding on those States Parties which have accepted it, other States Parties still being bound by the provisions of the present Convention and any earlier amendments which they have accepted.”

Article 51: “ 1. The Secretary-General of the United Nations shall receive and circulate to all States the text of reservations made by States at the time of ratification or accession. 2. A reservation incompatible with the object and purpose of the present Convention shall not be permitted. 3. Reservations may be withdrawn at any time by notification to that effect addressed to the Secretary-General of the United Nations, who shall then inform all States. Such notification shall take effect on the date on which it is received by the Secretary-General”

Article 52: “ A State Party may denounce the present Convention by written notification to the Secretary-General of the United Nations. Denunciation becomes effective one year after the date of receipt of the notification by the Secretary-General.”

Article 53: “The Secretary-General of the United Nations is designated as the depositary of the present Convention.”

Article 54: “The original of the present Convention, of which the Arabic, Chinese, English, French, Russian and Spanish texts are equally authentic, shall be deposited with the Secretary-General of the United Nations. In witness thereof the undersigned plenipotentiaries, being duly authorized thereto by their respective Governments, have signed the present Convention.”

“ The General Assembly, in its resolution 50/155 of 21 December 1995 , approved the amendment to article 43, paragraph 2, of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, replacing the word “ten” with the word “eighteen”. The amendment entered into force on 18 November 2002 when it had been accepted by a two-thirds majority of the States parties (128 out of 191) (18)”.

The Convention Of The Rights Of The Child (CRC) was adopted by The United Nations in 1989. Out of the Nation States that make up the United Nations many (2/3rds majority) signed the Human Rights Treaty; the United States never did and thus Human Rights Violations concerning Family Separation and Children in Cages in Trump’s Inhumane/ Racist/Depraved

(Anti-Christ) Zero Tolerance Immigration Policy; which Project 2025/ Trump promises to return to with great zeal. While the Biden Administration has successfully reunited separated Children with their families; it has still caused a lot of Psychological Trauma to the Children that will take decades to heal. On a Spiritual Level, From my viewpoint as a Deliverance Minister, Again that Hatred in the Racist Policy of Trump's Zero Tolerance Policy, when Individuals have the Inability to Love Others, The Dangers of Hatred; is that the Image of God isn't Recognized in the Other Human Being and subsequently this Person Dominated by "The Spirit of Hatred" Believes they can Eliminate the Other Human. This is why "Hatred is An Existential Threat To Human Life". After all, "Hatred, taken to its logical conclusion, is the elimination of the Humanity of another" – Bethany Verrett.

And that is the point of Trump's Zero Tolerance Policy, which is even more Draconic in Project 2025...the Elimination of the Humanity in Other Humans (Immigrants) and again Seeking Asylum and immigrating to other countries is a Protected Right in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, which the United States did sign. Again, this is why The United States of America needs to sign the "Convention of The Rights Of The Child", to Protect Children; both Foreign and Domestic; to safeguard Life...especially the growing up of the next generation. The Convention Of The Rights Of The Child has a Protected Principle known as "The Best Interests of The Child" in which urges/mandates adults dealing with Children to regard the Child's Best Interests as the adult can see to those best interests.

So before I get further into Why The United States of America needs to adopt The Convention Of The Rights Of The Child, here is some more information (directly from The US. Institute of Diplomacy And Human Rights) I'll share concerning The CRC in relation of Protecting The Rights of Migrating Children:

"The Invisibility of Migrating Children and the lack of Child Focused Services/Policies create substantial risk. The Convention on The Rights Of The Child obligates Governments to treat all Children within their territories equally, regardless of their Migration status."

"It is important to remember that Children despite their Legal Status, have Rights Everywhere in Every Country in The World, if they Ratify The Rights of A Child. It really doesn't matter what your passport says, what your legal status is, who stamped your passport. You have a Right To Life, A Right to An Education. You have a Right to a Better Life than you received." – Andrea Rossi

“There are considerable ethical issues that arise with working with Children involved with Migration. First of all there is difficulty that arises from International Law, the Mandate in The Convention Of The Rights of A Child; the CRC, which is the Prevailing Instrument Governing Children’s Rights. It establishes Two Principles that cause some tension between each other. On one hand there is The Principle of What Is Best For The Child, which is a Protected Principle, which basically mandates Adults dealing with Children to have regard for Their Best Interest as the adult can see to those Best Interests. On the other hand there is the obligation to give the Child a Voice or allow the Child to Participate or be Heard in Any Process or Any Decision Effecting That Child. And here you can see the first Ethical Dilemma. You might decide that it is in the Child’s Best Interest to be Returned to Their Family, because they will be looked after, they will be fed; they will be housed or something different. Who makes that decision? How do you calibrate that? How do you measure the Child’s Maturity or how the precedent of them having influence over your views?”

“I think it really is Important to Protect The Child’s Best Interests and Children should never be in these Expedited Procedures. They should always have a Full Procedure. Because you can’t be sure if you are sending the Child back. Which is something dangerous. Because many Children do get sent back, which will lead to situations such as Re-Trafficking, which will lead to another hazardous journey. Which may be Life Threatening. And relating to that, my Final Point Being; that Children being subjected to Flight, alternatively they should never be Forced To Go Back to a Risk Country. Because they hail from some part of that Country that is Safe. It may be possible for an Adult to be placed in one part of a Country and be insulated from the dangers. But with Children there is much more risk that Harm will effect Them. So it seems contrary to The Best Interest Principles to send Children back to let’s say Warzones, because one area might be deemed Safe.” – Jacquelyn Bhabha

“The effects of Migration on Children might vary. They are quiet distinct; The Good, The Bad, The Ugly. The Ugly is when they are Faced by Racism, by Xenophobia, by Exploitation and Abuse. The Bad shares that. But the Bad is what gets most attention. How do we stop Child Labor? How do we stop Child Trafficking? I’ve noticed a lot of people I work with focusing on how to stop those negatives as opposed to how to increase the positives and the Good Outcomes.” – Mike Dottridge

“I think there are other kinds of Ethical Issues about the sort of decisions Children may want to make. Should Children be forced to attend School? Should Children be allowed to work? So, how much work should they do? How should we think of the context where they will live? Should we locate a place? 16–17-year-olds in accommodation with Adults if that is what the

Children want? Or is that Dangerous? Or is that a Violation on The mandate To Protect Them? And then how should we think about Re-unification? And the obligation to trace Families to Reunify Children. A lot of work has gone on to challenge the assumption that Family Reunification is the Right Default Mode.” – Jacquelyn Bhabha

“One of the lessons of The Child’s Rights Convention adopted back in 1989, is that we have to look at Children as Rights Holders, People who can take opportunities and profit from Their Rights; not just poor vulnerable young people who want to protect against abuse. There’s the Two Sides of Child’s Rights. That was very clear when the Convention was adopted back in 1989. I think in the last 10-15 years that has been overshadowed by concerns to Protect Children. Of course Children are Entitled to Protection, but they are also Entitled to Survive and Thrive.” – Mike Dottridge

“A Child’s Migration Status must not be allowed to become a barrier to the Care, Protection and Essential Services they Require.” – UNICEF.irc.org

“Research efforts can start by improving, understanding of the Risks and Opportunities that Migrant Children Face (19)”

In Trump’s Zero Tolerance Policy where Racism/Xenophobia/Christian Nationalist’s beliefs held sway; denying numerous Human Rights, Separating Families in expedited immigration policies that sent Children back with up to 5,000 Children Separated from their Families; yes the Trump Administration broke numerous Human Rights, both from The Universal Declaration of Human Rights as well as The Convention Of The Rights Of The Child (though the USA never signed the Human Rights Treaty (it is still completely Anti-Christ/Open Rebellion Against God however). So, what Conventions On The Rights Of The Child Did The Trump Administration Violate?:

Article 2: “1. States Parties shall respect and ensure the rights set forth in the present Convention to each child within their jurisdiction without discrimination of any kind, irrespective of the child's or his or her parent's or legal guardian's race, colour, sex, language, religion, political or other opinion, national, ethnic or social origin, property, disability, birth or other status. 2. States Parties shall take all appropriate measures to ensure that the child is protected against all forms of discrimination or punishment on the basis of the status, activities, expressed opinions, or beliefs of the child's parents, legal guardians, or family members.”

Article 3: “1. In all actions concerning children, whether undertaken by public or private social welfare institutions, courts of law, administrative authorities or legislative bodies, the best interests of the child shall be a primary consideration. 2. States Parties undertake to ensure the child such protection and care as is necessary for his or her well-being, taking into account the rights and duties of his or her parents, legal guardians, or other individuals legally responsible for him or her, and, to this end, shall take all appropriate legislative and administrative measures. 3. States Parties shall ensure that the institutions, services and facilities responsible for the care or protection of children shall conform with the standards established by competent authorities, particularly in the areas of safety, health, in the number and suitability of their staff, as well as competent supervision.”

Article 4: “States Parties shall undertake all appropriate legislative, administrative, and other measures for the implementation of the rights recognized in the present Convention. With regard to economic, social and cultural rights, States Parties shall undertake such measures to the maximum extent of their available resources and, where needed, within the framework of international co-operation.”

Article 5: “States Parties shall respect the responsibilities, rights and duties of parents or, where applicable, the members of the extended family or community as provided for by local custom, legal guardians or other persons legally responsible for the child, to provide, in a manner consistent with the evolving capacities of the child, appropriate direction and guidance in the exercise by the child of the rights recognized in the present Convention.”

Article 6: “1. States Parties recognize that every child has the inherent right to life. 2. States Parties shall ensure to the maximum extent possible the survival and development of the child.”

Article 7: “1. The child shall be registered immediately after birth and shall have the right from birth to a name, the right to acquire a nationality and, as far as possible, the right to know and be cared for by his or her parents. 2. States Parties shall ensure the implementation of these rights in accordance with their national law and their obligations under the relevant international instruments in this field, in particular where the child would otherwise be stateless.”

Article 8: “1. States Parties undertake to respect the right of the child to preserve his or her identity, including nationality, name and family relations as recognized by law without unlawful interference. 2. Where a child is illegally deprived of some or all of the elements of his or her

identity, States Parties shall provide appropriate assistance and protection, with a view to re-establishing speedily his or her identity.”

Article 9: “1. States Parties shall ensure that a child shall not be separated from his or her parents against their will, except when competent authorities subject to judicial review determine, in accordance with applicable law and procedures, that such separation is necessary for the best interests of the child. Such determination may be necessary in a particular case such as one involving abuse or neglect of the child by the parents, or one where the parents are living separately and a decision must be made as to the child's place of residence. 2. In any proceedings pursuant to paragraph 1 of the present article, all interested parties shall be given an opportunity to participate in the proceedings and make their views known. 3. States Parties shall respect the right of the child who is separated from one or both parents to maintain personal relations and direct contact with both parents on a regular basis, except if it is contrary to the child's best interests. 4. Where such separation results from any action initiated by a State Party, such as the detention, imprisonment, exile, deportation or death (including death arising from any cause while the person is in the custody of the State) of one or both parents or of the child, that State Party shall, upon request, provide the parents, the child or, if appropriate, another member of the family with the essential information concerning the whereabouts of the absent member(s) of the family unless the provision of the information would be detrimental to the well-being of the child. States Parties shall further ensure that the submission of such a request shall of itself entail no adverse consequences for the person(s) concerned.”

Article 10: “1. In accordance with the obligation of States Parties under article 9, paragraph 1, applications by a child or his or her parents to enter or leave a State Party for the purpose of family reunification shall be dealt with by States Parties in a positive, humane and expeditious manner. States Parties shall further ensure that the submission of such a request shall entail no adverse consequences for the applicants and for the members of their family. 2. A child whose parents reside in different States shall have the right to maintain on a regular basis, save in exceptional circumstances personal relations and direct contacts with both parents. Towards that end and in accordance with the obligation of States Parties under article 9, paragraph 1, States Parties shall respect the right of the child and his or her parents to leave any country, including their own, and to enter their own country. The right to leave any country shall be subject only to such restrictions as are prescribed by law and which are necessary to protect the national security, public order (ordre public), public health or morals or the rights and freedoms of others and are consistent with the other rights recognized in the present Convention.”

Article 11: “1. States Parties shall take measures to combat the illicit transfer and non-return of children abroad. 2. To this end, States Parties shall promote the conclusion of bilateral or multilateral agreements or accession to existing agreements.”

Article 12: “1. States Parties shall assure to the child who is capable of forming his or her own views the right to express those views freely in all matters affecting the child, the views of the child being given due weight in accordance with the age and maturity of the child. 2. For this purpose, the child shall in particular be provided the opportunity to be heard in any judicial and administrative proceedings affecting the child, either directly, or through a representative or an appropriate body, in a manner consistent with the procedural rules of national law.”

Article 16: “1. No child shall be subjected to arbitrary or unlawful interference with his or her privacy, family, home or correspondence, nor to unlawful attacks on his or her honour and reputation. 2. The child has the right to the protection of the law against such interference or attacks.”

Article 18: “1. States Parties shall use their best efforts to ensure recognition of the principle that both parents have common responsibilities for the upbringing and development of the child. Parents or, as the case may be, legal guardians, have the primary responsibility for the upbringing and development of the child. The best interests of the child will be their basic concern. 2. For the purpose of guaranteeing and promoting the rights set forth in the present Convention, States Parties shall render appropriate assistance to parents and legal guardians in the performance of their child-rearing responsibilities and shall ensure the development of institutions, facilities and services for the care of children. 3. States Parties shall take all appropriate measures to ensure that children of working parents have the right to benefit from child-care services and facilities for which they are eligible.”

Article 19: “1. States Parties shall take all appropriate legislative, administrative, social and educational measures to protect the child from all forms of physical or mental violence, injury or abuse, neglect or negligent treatment, maltreatment or exploitation, including sexual abuse, while in the care of parent(s), legal guardian(s) or any other person who has the care of the child. 2. Such protective measures should, as appropriate, include effective procedures for the establishment of social programmes to provide necessary support for the child and for those who have the care of the child, as well as for other forms of prevention and for identification, reporting, referral, investigation, treatment and follow-up of instances of child maltreatment described heretofore, and, as appropriate, for judicial involvement.”

Article 20: “1. A child temporarily or permanently deprived of his or her family environment, or in whose own best interests cannot be allowed to remain in that environment, shall be entitled to special protection and assistance provided by the State. 2. States Parties shall in accordance with their national laws ensure alternative care for such a child. 3. Such care could include, inter alia, foster placement, kafalah of Islamic law, adoption or if necessary placement in suitable institutions for the care of children. When considering solutions, due regard shall be paid to the desirability of continuity in a child's upbringing and to the child's ethnic, religious, cultural and linguistic background.”

Article 22: “1. States Parties shall take appropriate measures to ensure that a child who is seeking refugee status or who is considered a refugee in accordance with applicable international or domestic law and procedures shall, whether unaccompanied or accompanied by his or her parents or by any other person, receive appropriate protection and humanitarian assistance in the enjoyment of applicable rights set forth in the present Convention and in other international human rights or humanitarian instruments to which the said States are Parties. 2. For this purpose, States Parties shall provide, as they consider appropriate, co-operation in any efforts by the United Nations and other competent intergovernmental organizations or non-governmental organizations co-operating with the United Nations to protect and assist such a child and to trace the parents or other members of the family of any refugee child in order to obtain information necessary for reunification with his or her family. In cases where no parents or other members of the family can be found, the child shall be accorded the same protection as any other child permanently or temporarily deprived of his or her family environment for any reason , as set forth in the present Convention.”

Article 23: “1. States Parties recognize that a mentally or physically disabled child should enjoy a full and decent life, in conditions which ensure dignity, promote self-reliance and facilitate the child's active participation in the community. 2. States Parties recognize the right of the disabled child to special care and shall encourage and ensure the extension, subject to available resources, to the eligible child and those responsible for his or her care, of assistance for which application is made and which is appropriate to the child's condition and to the circumstances of the parents or others caring for the child. 3. Recognizing the special needs of a disabled child, assistance extended in accordance with paragraph 2 of the present article shall be provided free of charge, whenever possible, taking into account the financial resources of the parents or others caring for the child, and shall be designed to ensure that the disabled child has effective access to and receives education, training, health care services, rehabilitation services, preparation for

employment and recreation opportunities in a manner conducive to the child's achieving the fullest possible social integration and individual development, including his or her cultural and spiritual development. 4. States Parties shall promote, in the spirit of international cooperation, the exchange of appropriate information in the field of preventive health care and of medical, psychological and functional treatment of disabled children, including dissemination of and access to information concerning methods of rehabilitation, education and vocational services, with the aim of enabling States Parties to improve their capabilities and skills and to widen their experience in these areas. In this regard, particular account shall be taken of the needs of developing countries.”

Article 24: “1. States Parties recognize the right of the child to the enjoyment of the highest attainable standard of health and to facilities for the treatment of illness and rehabilitation of health. States Parties shall strive to ensure that no child is deprived of his or her right of access to such health care services. 2. States Parties shall pursue full implementation of this right and, in particular, shall take appropriate measures: (a) To diminish infant and child mortality; (b) To ensure the provision of necessary medical assistance and health care to all children with emphasis on the development of primary health care; (c) To combat disease and malnutrition, including within the framework of primary health care, through, inter alia, the application of readily available technology and through the provision of adequate nutritious foods and clean drinking-water, taking into consideration the dangers and risks of environmental pollution; (d) To ensure appropriate pre-natal and post-natal health care for mothers; (e) To ensure that all segments of society, in particular parents and children, are informed, have access to education and are supported in the use of basic knowledge of child health and nutrition, the advantages of breastfeeding, hygiene and environmental sanitation and the prevention of accidents; (f) To develop preventive health care, guidance for parents and family planning education and services. 3. States Parties shall take all effective and appropriate measures with a view to abolishing traditional practices prejudicial to the health of children. 4. States Parties undertake to promote and encourage international co-operation with a view to achieving progressively the full realization of the right recognized in the present article. In this regard, particular account shall be taken of the needs of developing countries.”

Article 25: “States Parties recognize the right of a child who has been placed by the competent authorities for the purposes of care, protection or treatment of his or her physical or mental health, to a periodic review of the treatment provided to the child and all other circumstances relevant to his or her placement.”

Article 26: “1. States Parties shall recognize for every child the right to benefit from social security, including social insurance, and shall take the necessary measures to achieve the full realization of this right in accordance with their national law. 2. The benefits should, where appropriate, be granted, taking into account the resources and the circumstances of the child and persons having responsibility for the maintenance of the child, as well as any other consideration relevant to an application for benefits made by or on behalf of the child.”

Article 27: “1. States Parties recognize the right of every child to a standard of living adequate for the child's physical, mental, spiritual, moral and social development. 2. The parent(s) or others responsible for the child have the primary responsibility to secure, within their abilities and financial capacities, the conditions of living necessary for the child's development. 3. States Parties, in accordance with national conditions and within their means, shall take appropriate measures to assist parents and others responsible for the child to implement this right and shall in case of need provide material assistance and support programmes, particularly with regard to nutrition, clothing and housing. 4. States Parties shall take all appropriate measures to secure the recovery of maintenance for the child from the parents or other persons having financial responsibility for the child, both within the State Party and from abroad. In particular, where the person having financial responsibility for the child lives in a State different from that of the child, States Parties shall promote the accession to international agreements or the conclusion of such agreements, as well as the making of other appropriate arrangements.”

Article 40: “1. States Parties recognize the right of every child alleged as, accused of, or recognized as having infringed the penal law to be treated in a manner consistent with the promotion of the child's sense of dignity and worth, which reinforces the child's respect for the human rights and fundamental freedoms of others and which takes into account the child's age and the desirability of promoting the child's reintegration and the child's assuming a constructive role in society. 2. To this end, and having regard to the relevant provisions of international instruments, States Parties shall, in particular, ensure that: (a) No child shall be alleged as, be accused of, or recognized as having infringed the penal law by reason of acts or omissions that were not prohibited by national or international law at the time they were committed; (b) Every child alleged as or accused of having infringed the penal law has at least the following guarantees: (i) To be presumed innocent until proven guilty according to law; (ii) To be informed promptly and directly of the charges against him or her, and, if appropriate, through his or her parents or legal guardians, and to have legal or other appropriate assistance in the preparation and presentation of his or her defence; (iii) To have the matter determined without delay by a competent, independent and impartial authority or judicial body in a fair hearing according to law, in the presence of legal or other appropriate assistance and, unless it is considered not to be in the best interest of the child, in particular, taking into account his or her age or situation, his or

her parents or legal guardians; (iv) Not to be compelled to give testimony or to confess guilt; to examine or have examined adverse witnesses and to obtain the participation and examination of witnesses on his or her behalf under conditions of equality; (v) If considered to have infringed the penal law, to have this decision and any measures imposed in consequence thereof reviewed by a higher competent, independent and impartial authority or judicial body according to law; (vi) To have the free assistance of an interpreter if the child cannot understand or speak the language used; (vii) To have his or her privacy fully respected at all stages of the proceedings. 3. States Parties shall seek to promote the establishment of laws, procedures, authorities and institutions specifically applicable to children alleged as, accused of, or recognized as having infringed the penal law, and, in particular: (a) The establishment of a minimum age below which children shall be presumed not to have the capacity to infringe the penal law; (b) Whenever appropriate and desirable, measures for dealing with such children without resorting to judicial proceedings, providing that human rights and legal safeguards are fully respected. 4. A variety of dispositions, such as care, guidance and supervision orders; counselling; probation; foster care; education and vocational training programmes and other alternatives to institutional care shall be available to ensure that children are dealt with in a manner appropriate to their well-being and proportionate both to their circumstances and the offence”.

As a Certified Human Rights Consultant, out of The 54 Articles of The Convention Of The Rights Of The Child, these are the Rights of Child that were Violated by the Trump Administration’s Zero Tolerance Immigration Policy; excluding the Trauma (both Physical and Mental) which can be technically be considered Psychological Torture under “Psychological Torture” Definition and torture itself is an act violated by the Geneva Convention. So, What Human Rights as from The Universal Declaration Of Human Rights as Adopted By The UN in 1948 did The Trump Administration Violate in Trump’s Zero Tolerance Policy?:

The Universal Declaration Of Human Rights, which was adopted By The United Nations in 1948 and has 30 Articles, These Human Rights Articles that were violated by the Trump Administration in Trump’s Zero Tolerance Immigration Policy:

Article 1: “All human beings are born free and equal in dignity and rights. They are endowed with reason and conscience and should act towards one another in a spirit of brotherhood.”

Article 2: “Everyone is entitled to all the rights and freedoms set forth in this Declaration, without distinction of any kind, such as race, colour, sex, language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social origin, property, birth or other status. Furthermore, no distinction shall

be made on the basis of the political, jurisdictional or international status of the country or territory to which a person belongs, whether it be independent, trust, non-self-governing or under any other limitation of sovereignty.”

Article 3: “Everyone has the right to life, liberty and security of person.” (The Right To Life)

Article 4: “No one shall be held in slavery or servitude; slavery and the slave trade shall be prohibited in all their forms.”

Article 5: “No one shall be subjected to torture or to cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment.”

Article 6: “Everyone has the right to recognition everywhere as a person before the law.”

Article 7: “All are equal before the law and are entitled without any discrimination to equal protection of the law. All are entitled to equal protection against any discrimination in violation of this Declaration and against any incitement to such discrimination.”

Article 8: “Everyone has the right to an effective remedy by the competent national tribunals for acts violating the fundamental rights granted him by the constitution or by law.”

Article 9: “No one shall be subjected to arbitrary arrest, detention or exile.”

Article 13: “Everyone has the right to freedom of movement and residence within the borders of each state. Everyone has the right to leave any country, including his own, and to return to his country.”

Article 14: “Everyone has the right to seek and to enjoy in other countries asylum from persecution. This right may not be invoked in the case of prosecutions genuinely arising from non-political crimes or from acts contrary to the purposes and principles of the United Nations.”

Article 15: “Everyone has the right to a nationality. No one shall be arbitrarily deprived of his nationality nor denied the right to change his nationality (20)”.

The Human Rights Articles are self-explanatory. Everyone has a right to the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. Everyone has the Right to Live, to be regarded as a Human (Person/Individual with a Conscious) and a Right’s Holder. Most Importantly...Article 3: Everyone has The Right To Life (The Right to Exist, The Right to Be Alive, to Right to not Have Their Life Taken From Them). Articles 9,13,14-15 are more in regard to Migration/Immigration/Asylum: Article 9: No One shall be subject to arbitrary arrest, detention or exile (so people being arrested for no reason...so no due process and/being removed). Article 13: Everyone has the right to freedom of movement within their country and can leave their own country and return to their country. Article 14: Everyone has the right to seek asylum, to escape persecution, war/genocides (though this right can’t be used for running from non-political crimes or acts contrary to the purposes and principles of the United Nations). Article 15: Everyone has the right to a nationality; their right to their nationality can’t be deprived/denied from them and they can’t be denied the right to change their nationality.

So, going back to Human Rights and violations which at the end of the day is the perpetual aim of Christian Nationalism of which we witnessed during Trump’s 4 Years as President of the United States and what we are witnessing now with the actions of Christian Nationalists and what we will witness if Trump enters office and Project 2025 goes ahead as planned by Christian Nationalists/Racists/Xenophobes. Did the Trump Administration break with United Nations conventions and commit Human Rights Violations? Yes, and it is thoroughly documented (especially how Racist the Immigration Policy actually is and the Racist who drafted it) and which Trump Supporters by their support of Trump and His Administration are Apathetic to Evil (Complacent/Complicit to Evil); so equally as guilty as those who followed the Trump Administration to place Children in Cages. Apathy to Evil is Evil. Dietrich Bonhoeffer Defines Apathy to Evil succinctly in this quote: “Silence in the face of Evil, is itself Evil. God will not hold guiltless. To not speak is to speak. To not act is to act.” – Dietrich Bonhoeffer

Conclusion

In Conclusion, I, as a Priest (Legally Ordained Reverend) and as a Certified Human Rights Consultant (Certified By The US Institute Of Diplomacy And Human Rights) strongly believe that in order to safeguard Children, both Domestic and Foreign; the United States should Adopt The Convention Of The Rights Of The Child. We, The United States of America need to Adopt

The Convention Of The Rights Of The Child, to Safeguard Children from Human Trafficking, to Safeguard Children from Child Labor and to Safeguard Children from Acts of Hatred (Racism/Xenophobia) especially in regard to our Immigration System so that no Child should be Placed in Cages Again and Separated From Their Families. I urge those who are reading this Presentation or watching the Presentation Video to sign Petitions for America's Adoption Of "The Convention Of The Rights Of The Child" (as well as Petitions to Stand Against Project 2025) and call/email your local State Representatives and State Senators to get them act. For those of Legal/Political Authority, I plead to you to use your power given to you, to do your Ethical and Moral Duty to help America adopt The Convention Of The Rights Of The Child...to save Children and Safeguard Human Life if not American Citizens.

If You want to take Legal Action to Safeguard Children and their Rights and more specifically to create better Child Protection Policies so that Children will not end up in Cages again, here is a Petition for you to sign.

My Change.org Petition: "Why The United States of America Should Adopt The Convention Of The Rights Of The Child":

<https://chnng.it/wJKwFGjq5g>

<https://www.change.org/USAdoptTHECRC>

Closing

So to close this Theology And Human Rights Presentation, let's take a look at what Theology has to say about Prayer. Dietrich Bonhoeffer says about the Potency of Prayer: "The disciples are taught to pray, and so they learn that the only way to reach others is by praying to God. Judgement and forgiveness are always in the hands of God. He closes and he opens. But the disciples must ask, they must seek and knock, and then God will hear them. They have to learn that their anxiety and concern for others must drive them to intercession. The promise of Christ gives to their prayer is the doughtiest weapon in their armory (13)".

Prayer is the most powerful weapon in the Arsenal of a Christian. We are called to Intercede for Others, for Intercession is Prayer...we are Pleading the Other Individual's Case to God, doing Vicariously for them what they cannot do for themselves and that is Love. Dietrich Bonhoeffer states this about why Prayer is Important: "Pray for them which despitefully use you and persecute you." This is the supreme demand. Through the medium of prayer we go to our enemy, stand by his side, and plead for him to God. Jesus does not promise that when we bless our

enemies and do good to them they will not spitefully use and persecute us. They certainly will. But not even that can hurt or overcome us, so long as we pray for them. For if we pray for them, we are taking their distress and poverty, their guilt and perdition upon ourselves, and pleading to God for them. We are doing vicariously for them what they cannot do for themselves. Every insult they utter only serves to bind us more closely to God and them. Their persecution of us only serves to bring them nearer to reconciliation with God and to further the triumphs of love (13)".

In Closing, We go to God In Prayer, to Intercede for Others and Work Towards Reconciliation with our Fallen World; not only that we are tasked to Save Others, from Themselves and what Spiritually Dominates Them. So, Pray for Those Who's Hearts Are Stifled With Hatred; Pray that God Heals their Hearts, Minds, Bodies and Souls and that they Repent and Atone before it is too late. God will change them, it may take hours, days, weeks, months or even years. Never doubt the Power of Prayer. However Prayer is far more Effective if it is Followed By Just/Appropriate Action; given I do Exorcism I can Affirm this. Here is a Theological Quote Concerning Why You Have To Follow Prayer with Appropriate and Just Action: "There is something deeply hypocritical about praying for a problem that you are unwilling to resolve" – Miroslav Volf"

So do not just pray...also act in Righteousness and Justice and Pursue Justice and Peace by Peaceful Means. Again, we are to Help Others and Love Others. St. Paul gives the Early Church and us to this Commandment: "and to esteem them very highly in love because of their work. Be at peace among yourselves. And we urge you, brothers, admonish the idle, encourage the fainthearted, help the weak, be patient with them all. See that no one repays anyone evil for evil, but always seek to do good to one another and to everyone. Rejoice always, pray without ceasing, give thanks in all circumstances; for this is the will of God in Christ Jesus for you. Do not quench the Spirit. Do not despise prophecies, but test everything; hold fast what is good. Abstain from every form of evil." 1 Thessalonians 5:13-22

In 1st Thessalonians 5, St. Paul states we as Christians have to be of Love, to be of Peace, encourage the fainthearted/Anxious and Spiritually/Mentally Downtrodden, to help the Destitute and the Suffering and to intervene in Human affairs to make peace...to keep those seeking destruction from destroying others and those who oppose them from not returning Hatred/Malevolence with even more so...because Evil(Lucifer) will Win in these actions which will damn the persons doing so. We as Christians cannot be Apathetic to Evil. "Silence in the face of Evil, is itself Evil. God will not hold guiltless. To not speak is to speak. To not act is to act"- Dietrich Bonhoeffer

Again we have to make Peace in this World by Peaceful Means and Love and confront the Evils of this World directly by Love. In Combatting the Evils of this World; the Murders, Genocides and all the Terrible Anti-Christ Actions committed by Individuals under Spiritual Enthrallment; We as Christians Have to First Pray in any and all circumstances. As stated: “Not through Might, Not through Power, but through My Spirit” says the Lord. Only through the Holy Spirit working through you, can you indeed serve God. The Holy Spirit isn’t in those who are in Rebellion however...though Muted and it is your responsibility as a Christian to Unmute it in Others.

So, Follow Prayer (Intercession and Minor/Major Exorcism as necessary) with Appropriate And Morally/Ethically Just Action through Legal Accountability; we hold those who have Authority Over Others To Accountability When They Abuse their Position To Harm Others...we sign Petitions and Stand Against The Ruler Of This World. When People Harm Others by giving into the Demonic Suggestion To, we cannot be Apathetic to Evil; so by Confronting It and making certain there is Legal Accountability; the Individual will get the Spiritual and Mental Health Help they Desperately need. Should Racists/Xenophobes (those that aren’t of Christ who Profess to be Christians but don’t have the Holy Spirit) and those who Fail Imago Dei (In God’s Image) be Excommunicated From Christianity and the Church until Repentance? Yes, there is a specific Reason why we have Excommunication Procedures; it is to Spiritually Safeguard the Church according to the Catechism as well as Spiritually Restoring the Individual upon their Repentance. If this doesn’t happen...Spiritual Corruption ends up Spreading through the Church and the Church itself gets engulfed by “The Jezebel Spirit”, which is the type of Demonic Spirit that Spiritually Depletes a Church until it’s Spiritually Dead...this is why there are numerous Churches that are Spiritually Dead and I’ve encountered some Churches upon entering them where I had to stop myself from Performing an Exorcism there right on the spot; to Banish what was inside. Again, there is a Reason why we have Excommunication. This is What It Means To Be A Christian, To Stand Against Hatred And Wrong and Stand Against The Ruler Of This World. We as Christians have to Protect/Safeguard Life and Spiritually Protect Others. All Humans are our Brothers/Sisters According to God’s Promise To Abraham that was fulfilled by the coming, Death and Resurrection of Jesus Christ our Lord. Therefore there is no Limit as to your Brothers and Sisters on this Earth. Your One Mission given to you as soon as you become of Christ, is to Love Others. Love Does No Wrong To Others (Romans 13:9-10).

Sources:

1. [How a Trump-era policy that separated thousands of migrant families came to pass | PBS News Weekend](#)
2. [After Charlottesville: Is Racism a Mental Illness? | Psychology Today](#)
3. [Why do demons hate people? | The Cripplegate](#)
4. [Amazon.com: Exorcist Explains the Demonic: 9781622823451: Amorth, Father Gabriele, Fasi, Charlotte J: Books](#)
5. [Different Kinds of Demonic Spirits \(bible-knowledge.com\)](#)
6. <https://www.tomorrowworld.org/commentary/a-malevolent-spirit>
7. [Why Is the Sin of Hatred So Dangerous? - \(christianity.com\)](#)
8. [What Is Christian Nationalism? | Christianity Today](#)
9. [What is Christian dominionism? | GotQuestions.org](#)
10. [Brian Zahnd on Instagram: "Politics."](#)
- 10B. [Christian Nationalism Hurts the Children It Claims to Protect — As Author Lillian Smith Understood 80 Years Ago | Religion Dispatches](#)
11. [3 Threats Christian Nationalism Poses to the United States \(msn.com\)](#)
12. [In God's Image: Pastoral Letter on Racism - Archdiocese of Saint Paul and Minneapolis \(archspm.org\)](#)
13. [The Cost of Discipleship: Dietrich Bonhoeffer: 9780684815008 - Christianbook.com](#)
14. [God Is in the Manger: Reflections on Advent and Christmas: Dietrich Bonhoeffer, Jana Riess, Jana Riess, O. C. Dean Jr.: 9780664234294: Amazon.com: Books](#)
15. [Ethics: Bonhoeffer, Dietrich: 9780684815015: Amazon.com: Books](#)
16. [Project 2025: A wish list for a Trump presidency, explained \(bbc.com\)](#)
17. [2025_MandateForLeadership_FULL.pdf \(project2025.org\)](#)
18. [Convention on the Rights of the Child | OHCHR](#)
19. [About Us | USIDHR](#)
20. [Universal Declaration of Human Rights | United Nations](#)

References And Other Sources:

My Sermon for July 5th, entitled: "The Dangers of Selling One's Soul For A Single Human Being":

[July 5th Sermon: Understanding The Dangers Of Selling One's Soul For A Single Human Being \(youtube.com\)](#)

My June 17nth Sermon: "Why Christians Have To Stand Against Hatred And Wrong":

[June 17nth Sermon: Why Christians Have To Stand Against Hatred And Wrong \(youtube.com\)](#)

My Memorial Day Sermon: "Understanding Sacrifice And Overcoming Fear":

[Memorial Day Sermon: Understanding Sacrifice And Overcoming Fear \(youtube.com\)](#)

My Easter 2024 Sermon: "Understanding What It Means To Live In Christ":

[Easter 2024 Sermon: Understanding What It Means To Live In Christ \(youtube.com\)](#)

Links concerning Theologies Racists/Xenophobes despise:

[What Does the Bible Say About Interracial Marriage and Interracial Dating? \(christianbiblereference.org\)](#)

[What The Bible Says - Does The Bible Not Oppose Homosexuality? | Assault On Gay America | FRONTLINE | PBS](#)